



## Evaluation Summary

### C.3.h STORMWATER CONTROL MEASURES INSPECTOR WORKSHOP

San Mateo, CA

Wednesday, Feb. 1, 2017  
Afternoon Session (MRP C.3.h)  
Attendance: 56  
Evaluations: 32 (57%)

---

1. **MRP C.3.h: FY 2016/17 Implementation** – Given by Kristin Kerr, Program Staff

**Very Useful 24**

**Somewhat Useful 7**

**Not useful 0**

**Comments:**

- Less direct reading off PPT and more anecdotes would be useful.
- Good reminders
- Use graphics, photos, PowerPoint
- Focus on updates for new MRP

2. **Installation Inspection Experience** – Given by Katherine Sheehan, CSG Engineering

**Very Useful 30**

**Somewhat Useful 2**

**Not useful 0**

**Comments:**

- Nice having a broad array of “real world” treatment measures and pavers projects to review.
- Good examples supported with pictures.
- Good anecdotes, detail and common mistakes discussion
- Maybe maintenance agreement content n/a to inspector training?
- I liked the pictures.
- Very good details for milestones for construction inspections
- Very good and helpful
- Great overview of in progress inspection for post construction BMPs – good detail

3. **O&M Inspection Experience** – Given by Peter Schultze-Allen, Program Staff

**Very Useful 25**

**Somewhat Useful 4**

**Not useful 0**

**Comments:**

- Good variety of examples
- Good examples supported with pictures for problems and issues in stormwater system.
- Good visuals, key themes not very coherent/integrated.
- I liked the pictures
- Liked the pictures, so maybe don't print them out on slide handouts. – Poor quality used more paper.
- Good pictures
- Visuals good
- Nice examples of BMPs in practice problems and solution.

**4. Group Exercise – Program Staff**

**Very Useful 12**

**Somewhat Useful 5**

**Not useful 0**

**Comments:**

- Good concept, but 1<sup>st</sup> exercise didn't really involve template checklist, so not too instructive (gross design failure)
- Good practice, interesting.

**5. Did this training meet your expectations? Yes: 28**

**No: 0**

**6. What parts of the training were most useful to you?**

- Great refresher course. Was useful seeing changeups to MRP and how they affect our inspections and plan review.
- Actual site pictures with explanations presented by the speaker.
- SCM
- O+M inspections FY 2016/17 implementation presentation
- Examples
- Caltrans and CSG mostly all topics
- Group exercise
- O&M Inspection Regulations: Inspection sample – what to look for.
- Many examples of different treatment systems.
- Picture examples!
- Topics regarding installation of new bio-retention systems
- Practical examples
- Installation and O&M Inspection experience

- Installation inspection experience. Gave use opportunity to see projects under construction which cannot be seen after completion of projects.
- Pictures and site visit anecdotes
- Pictures showing on the ground examples.
- O&M
- Details and overview, for both experts and newbies.

**7. What would have made this training more useful?**

- More light
- Actual projects, group discussions
- Some water, instead of just soft drinks.
- New innovation that may lead to better retention systems
- Do more/longer group exercises

**8. What topics would you recommend for a future training?**

- Can you discuss the contact time for bio-retention site – 24hrs, 48hrs, 72hrs?
- Keep the updated regulations and more real world examples coming – Thanks.
- Solutions to problems during inspections
- Greater depth of O&M agreements and issues with long-term O&M funding and implementation for G1 and LID.

**9. General Comments?**

- Long day!
- Excellent
- Thanks for the lunch.
- The workshop is helpful for me a non-expert
- Unfortunately whispering was distracting.
- First time attending – very informative
- Great job! Good attendance too!
- Thank you!
- It introduced the concepts a basic knowledge and the inspection examples/pics helped.
- Excellent!
- Landscape architects would benefit seeing the failings of LID.
- Cookies!!!