



Scenic Pacifica
Incorporated Nov. 22, 1957

CITY OF PACIFICA

170 Santa Maria Avenue • Pacifica, California 94044-2506
www.cityofpacifica.org

MAYOR
Mary Ann Nihart

MAYOR PRO TEM
Karen Ervin

COUNCIL
Mike O'Neill
Sue Digre
Len Stone

September 15, 2014

Mr. Bruce H. Wolfe
Executive Officer
San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board
1515 Clay Street, Suite 1400
Oakland, CA 94612

Subject: City of Pacifica FY 2013/14 Annual Report

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

This letter and Annual Report with attachments is submitted by the City of Pacifica pursuant to Permit Provision C.16.a of the Municipal Regional Stormwater NPDES Permit (MRP), Order R2-2009-0074, NPDES Permit No CAS612008 issued by the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board. The Annual Report provides documentation of compliance activities conducted during FY 2013/14 and related accomplishments.

Please contact Raymund D. Donguines at (650) 738-3768 regarding any questions or concerns.

Very truly yours,

Van Dominic Ocampo, P.E.
Director of Public Works/City Engineer



Scenic Pacifica
Incorporated Nov. 22, 1957

CITY OF PACIFICA

170 Santa Maria Avenue • Pacifica, California 94044-2506
www.cityofpacifica.org

MAYOR
Mary Ann Nihart

MAYOR PRO TEM
Karen Ervin

COUNCIL
Mike O'Neill
Sue Digre
Len Stone

CITY OF PACIFICA FY 2013/14 ANNUAL REPORT

Certification Statement

"I certify, under penalty of law, that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to ensure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted, is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Signature of Duly Authorized Representative:



Van Dominic Ocampo
Director of Public Works/City Engineer

9/4/14
Date

Table of Contents

Section	Page
Section 1 – Permittee Information.....	1-1
Section 2 – Provision C.2 Municipal Operations	2-1
Section 3 – Provision C.3 New Development and Redevelopment	3-1
Section 4 – Provision C.4 Industrial and Commercial Site Controls	4-1
Section 5 – Provision C.5 Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination	5-1
Section 6 – Provision C.6 Construction Site Controls.....	6-1
Section 7 – Provision C.7 Public Information and Outreach	7-1
Section 8 – Provision C.8 Water Quality Monitoring.....	8-1
Section 9 – Provision C.9 Pesticides Toxicity Controls	9-1
Section 10 – Provision C.10 Trash Load Reduction	10-1
Section 11 – Provision C.11 Mercury Controls	11-1
Section 12 – Provision C.12 PCBs Controls	12-1
Section 13 – Provision C.13 Copper Controls.....	13-1
Section 14 – Provision C.14 PBDE, Legacy Pesticides and Selenium Controls.....	14-1
Section 15 – Provision C.15 Exempted and Conditionally Exempted Discharges	15-1

Section 1 – Permittee Information

Background Information			
Permittee Name:	City of Pacifica		
Population:	39,995		
NPDES Permit No.:	CAS612008		
Order Number:	R2-2009-0074R		
Reporting Time Period (month/year):	July 2013 through June 2014		
Name of the Responsible Authority:	Van Dominic Ocampo	Title:	DPW Director/City Engineer
Mailing Address:	170 Santa Maria Ave.		
City:	Pacifica	Zip Code:	94044
		County:	San Mateo
Telephone Number:	(650) 738-3767	Fax Number:	(650) 738-3003
E-mail Address:	ocampov@ci.pacifica.ca.us		
Name of the Designated Stormwater Management Program Contact (if different from above):	Raymund Donguines	Title:	Associate Civil Engineer
Department:	Public Works		
Mailing Address:	170 Santa Maria Ave.		
City:	Pacifica	Zip Code:	94044
		County:	San Mateo
Telephone Number:	(650) 738-3768	Fax Number:	(650) 738-3003
E-mail Address:	donguinesr@ci.pacifica.ca.us		

Section 2 - Provision C.2 Reporting Municipal Operations

Program Highlights and Evaluation

Highlight/summarize activities for reporting year:

Summary:

Participated in the SMCWPPP Public Works Municipal Maintenance Subcommittee and attended the countywide program Trash Reduction Work Group. Provided employee training for all corporation yard BMPs and pollution prevention. Refer to the C.2 Municipal Operations section of the SMCWPPP FY 13-14 Annual Report for a description of activities implemented at the countywide and/or regional level.

C.2.a. ► Street and Road Repair and Maintenance

Place a **Y** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were implemented. If not applicable, type **NA** in the box and provide an explanation in the comments section below. Place an **N** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were not implemented for one or more of these activities during the reporting fiscal year, then in the comments section below provide an explanation of when BMPs were not implemented and the corrective actions taken.

Y	Control of debris and waste materials during road and parking lot installation, repaving or repair maintenance activities from polluting stormwater
Y	Control of concrete slurry and wastewater, asphalt, pavement cutting, and other street and road maintenance materials and wastewater from discharging to storm drains from work sites.
Y	Sweeping and/or vacuuming and other dry methods to remove debris, concrete, or sediment residues from work sites upon completion of work.

Comments:

All construction BMPs are practiced during all roadway projects and repair. Construction BMPs are included in project bid documents and daily operating procedures. The City of Pacifica has an ongoing street sweeping program on all commercial and residential roadways. Vacuum truck and other equipment's are used on large projects; site maintenance, storm drain maintenance as well as emergency clean up.

C.2.b. ► Sidewalk/Plaza Maintenance and Pavement Washing

Place a **Y** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were implemented. If not applicable, type **NA** in the box and provide an explanation in the comments section below. Place an **N** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were not implemented for one or more of these activities during the reporting fiscal year, then in the comments section below provide an explanation of when BMPs were not implemented and the corrective actions taken.

Y	Control of wash water from pavement washing, mobile cleaning, pressure wash operations at parking lots, garages, trash areas, gas station fueling areas, and sidewalk and plaza cleaning activities from polluting stormwater
Y	Implementation of the BASMAA Mobile Surface Cleaner Program BMPs

Comments:

All wash water is contained and disposed of properly. BMPs are implemented to protect storm drains and water ways. Vacuum trucks are used on large projects. The City of Pacifica complies with BASMAA Surface Cleaner Program BMPs.

C.2.c. ► Bridge and Structure Maintenance and Graffiti Removal

Place a **Y** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were implemented. If not applicable, type **NA** in the box and provide an explanation in the comments section below. Place an **N** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were not implemented for one or more of these activities during the reporting fiscal year, then in the comments section below provide an explanation of when BMPs were not implemented and the corrective actions taken.

Y	Control of discharges from bridge and structural maintenance activities directly over water or into storm drains
Y	Control of discharges from graffiti removal activities
Y	Proper disposal for wastes generated from bridge and structure maintenance and graffiti removal activities
Y	Implementation of the BASMAA Mobile Surface Cleaner Program BMPs for graffiti removal
Y	Employee training on proper capture and disposal methods for wastes generated from bridge and structural maintenance and graffiti removal activities.
Y	Contract specifications requiring proper capture and disposal methods for wastes generated from bridge and structural maintenance and graffiti removal activities.

Comments:

Proper BMPs are implemented in all bridge/structure maintenance and graffiti removal projects to contain all wash water and protect storm drains and water ways. Vacuum truck and other equipment's are used to capture discharges from bridge/structure maintenance as well as graffiti removal operations.

C.2.d. ► Stormwater Pump Stations

Does your municipality own stormwater pump stations: **Yes** **No**

If your answer is **No** then skip to **C.2.e.**

Complete the following table for dry weather DO monitoring and inspection data for pump stations¹ (add more rows for additional pump stations). If a pump station is exempt from DO monitoring, explain why it is exempt.

Pump Station Name and Location	First inspection Dry Weather DO Data		Second inspection Dry Weather DO Data	
	Date	mg/L	Date	mg/L
Linda Mar Pump Station	8/23/2013	4.50	9/13/2013	6.02
Anza Pump Station	8/23/2013	7.38	9/13/2013	6.55

Summarize corrective actions as needed for DO monitoring at or below 3 mg/L. Attach inspection records of additional DO monitoring for corrective actions:

Summary:
All DO are within normal range.

Attachments:

Complete the following table for wet weather inspection data for pump stations (add more rows for additional pump stations):

Pump Station Name and Location	Date (2x/year required)	Presence of Trash (Cubic Yards)	Presence of Odor (Yes or No)	Presence of Color (Yes or No)	Presence of Turbidity (Yes or No)	Presence of Floating Hydrocarbons (Yes or No)
Linda Mar Pump Station	12/06/2013	0	N	N	N	N
	12/16/2013	0	N	N	N	N
Anza Pump Station	12/06/2013	0	N	N	N	N
	12/16/2013	0	N	N	N	N

¹ DO monitoring is exempted where all discharge from a pump station remains in a stormwater collection system or infiltrates into a dry creek immediately downstream.

C.2.e. ► Rural Public Works Construction and Maintenance			
Does your municipality own/maintain rural ² roads:		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X	<input type="checkbox"/> No
If your answer is No then skip to C.2.f.			
Place a Y in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were implemented. If not applicable, type NA in the box and provide an explanation in the comments section below. Place an N in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were not implemented for one or more of these activities during the reporting fiscal year, then in the comments section below provide an explanation of when BMPs were not implemented and the corrective actions taken.			
NA	Control of road-related erosion and sediment transport from road design, construction, maintenance, and repairs in rural areas		
NA	Identification and prioritization of rural road maintenance based on soil erosion potential, slope steepness, and stream habitat resources		
NA	No impact to creek functions including migratory fish passage during construction of roads and culverts		
NA	Inspection of rural roads for structural integrity and prevention of impact on water quality		
NA	Maintenance of rural roads adjacent to streams and riparian habitat to reduce erosion, replace damaging shotgun culverts and excessive erosion		
NA	Re-grading of unpaved rural roads to slope outward where consistent with road engineering safety standards, and installation of water bars as appropriate		
NA	Inclusion of measures to reduce erosion, provide fish passage, and maintain natural stream geomorphology when replacing culverts or design of new culverts or bridge crossings		
Comments including listing increased maintenance in priority areas: Not Applicable			

² Rural means any watershed or portion thereof that is developed with large lot home-sites, such as one acre or larger, or with primarily agricultural, grazing or open space uses.

C.2.f. ► Corporation Yard BMP Implementation			
Place an X in the boxes below that apply to your corporations yard(s):			
NA	We do not have a corporation yard		
NA	Our corporation yard is a filed NOI facility and regulated by the California State Industrial Stormwater NPDES General Permit		
X	We have a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) for the Corporation Yard(s)		
Place an X in the boxes below next to implemented SWPPP BMPs to indicate that these BMPs were implemented in applicable instances. If not applicable, type NA in the box. If one or more of the BMPs were not adequately implemented during the reporting fiscal year then indicate so and explain in the comments section below:			
X	Control of pollutant discharges to storm drains such as wash waters from cleaning vehicles and equipment		
X	Routine inspection prior to the rainy seasons of corporation yard(s) to ensure non-stormwater discharges have not entered the storm drain system		
X	Containment of all vehicle and equipment wash areas through plumbing to sanitary or another collection method		
X	Use of dry cleanup methods when cleaning debris and spills from corporation yard(s) or collection of all wash water and disposing of wash water to sanitary or other location where it does not impact surface or groundwater when wet cleanup methods are used		
X	Cover and/or berm outdoor storage areas containing waste pollutants		
Comments: The City of Pacifica currently utilizes a Corporation Yard BMP check list that meets all of the MRP requirements. The BMP checklist is constantly monitored and reviewed. All public works employees are trained on all aspects of the corp. yard BMPs. We utilize the CASQA handbook for our BMP standard for corp. yard management.			
If you have a corporation yard(s) that is not an NOI facility, complete the following table for inspection results for your corporation yard(s) or attach a summary including the following information:			
Corporation Yard Name	Inspection Date (1x/year required)	Inspection Findings/Results	Follow-up Actions
City of Pacifica	9/12/2013	When we inspected our Corporation Yard we found the facility to be clean with no visible trash. The wash pad area is kept clean and free of debris and silt and is plumbed to the sanitary sewer system. We have indoor spill proof cabinets for our solvents, gasoline cans, and aerosol cans. Trash dumpsters have attached lids on them to prevent trash from blowing out and are serviced 2X a week. We also have our recycling containers indoors in marked containers. Also no regular fuel dispensing occurs at facility.	Our department has looked into covering the wash pad area but has not been able to do this due to budgetary constraints.

Section 3 - Provision C.3 Reporting New Development and Redevelopment

C.3.b.v.(2)(a) ► Green Streets Status Report

(All projects to be completed by December 1, 2014)

On an annual basis (if applicable), report on the status of any pilot green street projects within your jurisdiction. For each completed project, report the capital costs, operation and maintenance costs, legal and procedural arrangements in place to address operation and maintenance and its associated costs, and the sustainable landscape measures incorporated in the project including, if relevant, the score from the Bay-Friendly Landscape Scorecard.

Summary:

The C.3 New Development and Redevelopment section of the SMCWPPP FY 13-14 Annual Report includes a description of activities conducted at the countywide or regional level.

C.3.b.v.(1) ► Regulated Projects Reporting

Fill in attached table **C.3.b.v.(1)** or attach your own table including the same information.

See Table C.3.b.v.(1)

C.3.e.v. ► Alternative or In-Lieu Compliance with Provision C.3.c.

(For FY 11-12 Annual Report and each Annual Report thereafter)

Is your agency choosing to require 100% LID treatment onsite for all Regulated Projects and not allow alternative compliance under Provision C.3.e.?

	Yes	X	No
--	------------	----------	-----------

Comments (optional):

N/A

C.3.e.vi ► Special Projects Reporting

1. Has your agency received, but not yet granted final discretionary approval of, a development permit application for a project that has been identified as a potential Special Project based on criteria listed in MRP Provision C.3.e.ii(2) for any of the three categories of Special Projects (Categories A, B or C)?		Yes	X	No
2. Has your agency granted final discretionary approval of a project identified as a Special Project in the March 15, 2014 report? If yes, include the project in both the C.3.b.v.(1) Table, and the C.3.e.vi. Table.		Yes	X	No
If you answered "Yes" to either question, 1) Complete Table C.3.e.vi . below. 2) Attach narrative discussion of 100% LID Feasibility or Infeasibility for each project. Answers to Questions 1 and 2 were "NO."				

C.3.h.iv. ► Installed Stormwater Treatment Systems Operation and Maintenance Verification Inspection Program Reporting

(1) Fill in attached table C.3.h.iv.(1) or attach your own table including the same information.
(2) On an annual basis, provide a discussion of the inspection findings for the year and any common problems encountered with various types of treatment systems and/or HM controls. This discussion should include a general comparison to the inspection findings from the previous year.
Summary: We have only one qualifying site in Pacifica which is located in the Cypress Walk Development. The detention basin was inspected by City staff on 6/6/14 and was found to be functioning properly.
(3) On an annual basis, provide a discussion of the effectiveness of the O&M Program and any proposed changes to improve the O&M Program (e.g., changes in prioritization plan or frequency of O&M inspections, other changes to improve effectiveness program).
Summary: No changes in prioritization plan or frequency of O&M inspections.
(4) During the reporting year, did your agency:

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inspect all newly installed stormwater treatment systems and HM controls within 45 days of installation? 		Yes		No	X	Not applicable. No new facilities were installed.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inspect at least 20 percent of the total number of installed stormwater treatment systems or HM controls?³ 	X	Yes		No		Not applicable. No treatment measures
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inspect at least 20 percent of the total number of installed vault-based systems? 		Yes		No	X	Not applicable. No vault systems.
If you answered "No" to any of the questions above, please explain: N/A						

C.3.i. ► Required Site Design Measures for Small Projects and Detached Single Family Home Projects

On an annual basis, discuss the implementation of the requirements of Provision C.3.i, including ordinance revisions, permit conditions, development of standard specifications and/or guidance materials, and staff training.

Summary:
BASMAA prepared standard specifications in four fact sheets regarding the site design measures listed in Provision C.3.i, as a resource for Permittees. The City has modified local policies/procedures and forms/checklists to require all applicable projects approved after December 1, 2012 to implement at least one of the site design measures listed in Provision C.3.i. City staff references the following resources for C.3.i implementation:

- **BASMAA's site design fact sheets**
- **SMCWPPP C.3 Regulated Projects Checklist**
- **C.3 Stormwater Technical Guidance Appendix L "Site Design Requirements for Small Projects"**

In addition, select staff from Planning, Building, and Public Works attended "Annual C.3 Stormwater Workshop Current Trends in LID Implementation" training hosting by SMCWPPP in June 2014.

³ If there is only 1 treatment measure in the jurisdiction, the agency must inspect it every year.

C.3.b.v.(1) ► Regulated Projects Reporting Table (part 1) – Projects Approved During the Fiscal Year Reporting Period

Project Name Project No.	Project Location ¹⁰ , Street Address	Name of Developer	Project Phase No. ¹¹	Project Type & Description ¹²	Project Watershed ¹³	Total Site Area (Acres)	Total Area of Land Disturbed (Acres)	Total New Impervious Surface Area (ft ²) ¹⁴	Total Replaced Impervious Surface Area (ft ²) ¹⁵	Total Pre- Project Impervious Surface Area ¹⁶ (ft ²)	Total Post- Project Impervious Surface Area ¹⁷ (ft ²)
Private Projects											
Holiday Inn Express Expansion	519 Nick Gust Way; Hwy-1 & Rockaway Beach Ave; APN 022-024-250, -270, & -280	N.D. Patel, property owner	N/A	Redevelopment of vacant restaurant and single-family residence to construct a 44-room (35,617 sq. ft.) addition with associated 24-stall (8,405 sq. ft.) parking garage to an existing 38-room hotel	Rockaway Creek	0.75	*	*	*	*	*
Public Projects											
None											
Comments: * Staff was unable to locate a C.3 Regulated Projects Checklist for the Holiday Inn Express Expansion Project ("Project"). Project has received planning entitlements, but has not yet submitted a building permit application. Staff will ensure completion of a Checklist prior to issuance of a building permit.											

¹⁰ Include cross streets

¹¹ If a project is being constructed in phases, indicate the phase number and use a separate row entry for each phase. If not, enter "NA".

¹² Project Type is the type of development (i.e., new and/or redevelopment). Example descriptions of development are: 5-story office building, residential with 160 single-family homes with five 4-story buildings to contain 200 condominiums, 100 unit 2-story shopping mall, mixed use retail and residential development (apartments), industrial warehouse.

¹³ State the watershed(s) in which the Regulated Project is located. Downstream watershed(s) may be included, but this is optional.

¹⁴ All impervious surfaces added to any area of the site that was previously existing pervious surface.

¹⁵ All impervious surfaces added to any area of the site that was previously existing impervious surface.

¹⁶ For redevelopment projects, state the pre-project impervious surface area.

¹⁷ For redevelopment projects, state the post-project impervious surface area.

C.3.b.v.(1) ► Regulated Projects Reporting Table (part 2) – Projects Approved During the Fiscal Year Reporting Period (private projects)

Project Name Project No.	Application Deemed Complete Date ¹⁸	Application Final Approval Date ¹⁹	Source Control Measures ²⁰	Site Design Measures ²¹	Treatment Systems Approved ²²	Type of Operation & Maintenance Responsibility Mechanism ²³	Hydraulic Sizing Criteria ²⁴	Alternative Compliance Measures ^{25/26}	Alternative Certification ²⁷	HM Controls ^{28/29}
Private Projects										
Holiday Inn Express Expansion	2/28/2013	3/25/2014	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Comments: * Staff was unable to locate a C.3 Regulated Projects Checklist for the Holiday Inn Express Expansion Project (“Project”). Project has received planning entitlements, but has not yet submitted a building permit application. Staff will ensure completion of a Checklist prior to issuance of a building permit.										

¹⁸ For private projects, state project application deemed complete date. If the project did not go through discretionary review, report the building permit issuance date.

¹⁹ For private projects, state project application final discretionary approval date. If the project did not go through discretionary review, report the building permit issuance date.

²⁰ List source control measures approved for the project. Examples include: properly designed trash storage areas; storm drain stenciling or signage; efficient landscape irrigation systems; etc.

²¹ List site design measures approved for the project. Examples include: minimize impervious surfaces; conserve natural areas, including existing trees or other vegetation, and soils; construct sidewalks, walkways, and/or patios with permeable surfaces, etc.

²² List all approved stormwater treatment system(s) to be installed onsite or at a joint stormwater treatment facility (e.g., flow through planter, bioretention facility, infiltration basin, etc.).

²³ List the legal mechanism(s) (e.g., O&M agreement with private landowner; O&M agreement with homeowners’ association; O&M by public entity, etc…) that have been or will be used to assign responsibility for the maintenance of the post-construction stormwater treatment systems.

²⁴ See Provision C.3.d.i. “Numeric Sizing Criteria for Stormwater Treatment Systems” for list of hydraulic sizing design criteria. Enter the corresponding provision number of the appropriate criterion (i.e., 1.a., 1.b., 2.a., 2.b., 2.c., or 3).

²⁵ For Alternative Compliance at an offsite location in accordance with Provision C.3.e.i.(1), on a separate page, give a discussion of the alternative compliance site including the information specified in Provision C.3.b.v.(1)(m)(i) for the offsite project.

²⁶ For Alternative Compliance by paying in-lieu fees in accordance with Provision C.3.e.i.(2), on a separate page, provide the information specified in Provision C.3.b.v.(1)(m)(ii) for the Regional Project.

²⁷ Note whether a third party was used to certify the project design complies with Provision C.3.d.

²⁸ If HM control is not required, state why not.

²⁹ If HM control is required, state control method used (e.g., method to design and size device(s) or method(s) used to meet the HM Standard, and description of device(s) or method(s) used, such as detention basin(s), bioretention unit(s), regional detention basin, or in-stream control).

C.3.b.v.(1) ► Regulated Projects Reporting Table (part 2) – Projects Approved During the Fiscal Year Reporting Period (public projects)										
Project Name Project No.	Approval Date ³⁰	Date Construction Scheduled to Begin	Source Control Measures ³¹	Site Design Measures ³²	Treatment Systems Approved ³³	Operation & Maintenance Responsibility Mechanism ³⁴	Hydraulic Sizing Criteria ³⁵	Alternative Compliance Measures ^{36/37}	Alternative Certification ³⁸	HM Controls ^{39/40}
Public Projects										
None										
Comments: N/A										

³⁰ For public projects, enter the plans and specifications approval date.

³¹ List source control measures approved for the project. Examples include: properly designed trash storage areas; storm drain stenciling or signage; efficient landscape irrigation systems; etc.

³² List site design measures approved for the project. Examples include: minimize impervious surfaces; conserve natural areas, including existing trees or other vegetation, and soils; construct sidewalks, walkways, and/or patios with permeable surfaces, etc.

³³ List all approved stormwater treatment system(s) to be installed onsite or at a joint stormwater treatment facility (e.g., flow through planter, bioretention facility, infiltration basin, etc.).

³⁴ List the legal mechanism(s) (e.g., maintenance plan for O&M by public entity, etc...) that have been or will be used to assign responsibility for the maintenance of the post-construction stormwater treatment systems.

³⁵ See Provision C.3.d.i. "Numeric Sizing Criteria for Stormwater Treatment Systems" for list of hydraulic sizing design criteria. Enter the corresponding provision number of the appropriate criterion (i.e., 1.a., 1.b., 2.a., 2.b., 2.c., or 3).

³⁶ For Alternative Compliance at an offsite location in accordance with Provision C.3.e.i.(1), on a separate page, give a discussion of the alternative compliance site including the information specified in Provision C.3.b.v.(1)(m)(i) for the offsite project.

³⁷ For Alternative Compliance by paying in-lieu fees in accordance with Provision C.3.e.i.(2), on a separate page, provide the information specified in Provision C.3.b.v.(1)(m)(ii) for the Regional Project.

³⁸ Note whether a third party was used to certify the project design complies with Provision C.3.d.

³⁹ If HM control is not required, state why not.

⁴⁰ If HM control is required, state control method used (e.g., method to design and size device(s) or method(s) used to meet the HM Standard, and description of device(s) or method(s) used, such as detention basin(s), bioretention unit(s), regional detention basin, or in-stream control).

C.3.h.iv. ► Table of Installed Stormwater Treatment Systems Operation and Maintenance Verification Inspection Program Reporting

Fill in table below or attach your own table including the same information.

Name of Facility/Site Inspected	Address of Facility/Site Inspected	Newly Installed? (YES/NO) ⁴¹	Party Responsible ⁴² For Maintenance	Date of Inspection	Type of Inspection ⁴³	Type of Treatment/HM Control(s) Inspected ⁴⁴	Inspection Findings or Results ⁴⁵	Enforcement Action Taken ⁴⁶	Comments/Follow-up
Cypress Walk	Cypress Street @ Skyline Blvd.	No	Cypress Walk Subdivision Home Owners Association	6/6/2014	Routine	Infiltration and Detention Basin	The HOA has been maintaining the landscaping and vegetative ground cover in the dual basin. No significant trash or erosion was observed. Facility appears to be fully functional. Some evidence rodent borrowing.	None	None

⁴¹ Indicate "YES" if the facility was installed within the reporting period, or "NO" if installed during a previous fiscal year.

⁴² State the responsible operator for installed stormwater treatment systems and HM controls.

⁴³ State the type of inspection (e.g., 45-day, routine or scheduled, follow-up, etc.).

⁴⁴ State the type(s) of treatment systems inspected (e.g., bioretention facility, flow-through planter, infiltration basin, etc...) and the type(s) of HM controls inspected, and indicate whether the treatment system is an onsite, joint, or offsite system.

⁴⁵ State the inspection findings or results (e.g., proper installation, improper installation, proper O&M, immediate maintenance needed, etc.).

⁴⁶ State the enforcement action(s) taken, if any.

C.3.e.vi.Special Projects Reporting Table												
Reporting Period – January 1 – June 30, 2014												
Project Name & No.	Permittee	Address	Application Submittal Date ⁴⁷	Status ⁴⁸	Description ⁴⁹	Site Total Acreage	Density DU/Acre	Density FAR	Special Project Category ⁵⁰	LID Treatment Reduction Credit Available ⁵¹	List of LID Stormwater Treatment Systems ⁵²	List of Non-LID Stormwater Treatment Systems ⁵³
No Special Projects approved during FY 2013-2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁴⁷ Date that a planning application for the Special Project was submitted.

⁴⁸ Indicate whether final discretionary approval is still pending or has been granted, and provide the date or version of the project plans upon which reporting is based.

⁴⁹ Type of project (commercial, mixed-use, residential), number of floors, number of units, type of parking, and other relevant information.

⁵⁰ For each applicable Special Project Category, list the specific criteria applied to determine applicability. For each non-applicable Special Project Category, indicate n/a.

⁵¹ For each applicable Special Project Category, state the maximum total LID Treatment Reduction Credit available. For Category C Special Projects also list the individual Location, Density, and Minimized Surface Parking Credits available.

⁵² List all LID stormwater treatment systems proposed. For each type, indicate the percentage of the total amount of runoff identified in Provision C.3.d. for the Special Project's drainage area.

⁵³ List all non-LID stormwater treatment systems proposed. For each type of non-LID treatment system, indicate: (1) the percentage of the total amount of runoff identified in Provision C.3.d. for the Special Project's drainage area, and (2) whether the treatment system either meets minimum design criteria published by a government agency or received certification issued by a government agency, and reference the applicable criteria or certification.

Section 4 – Provision C.4 Industrial and Commercial Site Controls

Program Highlights

Provide background information, highlights, trends, etc.

We continue to work closely with the San Mateo County Environmental Health Department: Inspection Division to ensure all businesses are categorized correctly and are inspected at the appropriate frequency. We have identified the best method to share inspection related information and track such, including necessary follow up action on both the inspectors as well as the businesses to make sure all reportable data is captured in a timely and efficient manner. We communicate directly with our inspector and are currently working on updating our inspection list for the next reporting period. We review the list annually or more frequently based on the number of business licenses and type that open within the City of Pacifica. Multiple staff attends the CII Subcommittee and staff participate in the countywide municipal operations subcommittee meetings.

Refer to the C.4. Industrial and Commercial Site Controls section of the SMCWPPP FY 13-14 Annual Report for a description of activities of SMCWPPP and/or the BASMAA Municipal Operations Committee.

C.4.b.i. ► Business Inspection Plan

Do you have a Business Inspection Plan? Yes No

If No, explain:
N/A

C.4.b.iii.(1) ► Potential Facilities List

List below or attach your list of industrial and commercial facilities in your Inspection Plan to inspect that could reasonably be considered to cause or contribute to pollution of stormwater runoff.

See Attached List (Attachment A)

C.4.b.iii.(2) ► Facilities Scheduled for Inspection

List below or attach your list of facilities scheduled for inspection during the current fiscal year.

See Attached List (Attachment B)
We are continuing to review our list of businesses to ensure we are inspecting all that are applicable.

--

C.4.c.iii.(1) ► Facility Inspections

Fill out the following table or attach a summary of the following information. Indicate your violation reporting methodology below.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Permittee reports multiple discrete violations on a site as one violation.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Permittee reports the total number of discrete violations on each site.

	Number	Percent
Number of businesses inspected	63	
Total number of inspections conducted	68	
Number of violations (excluding verbal warnings)	2	
Sites inspected in violation	2	5.9%
Violations resolved within 10 working days or otherwise deemed resolved in a longer but still timely manner	1	50%

Comments:

County Environmental Health (CEH): Food and Haz Mat program inspectors conduct routine Stormwater inspections at inventoried sites based on High, Medium, and Low priorities. If a violation or discharge is observed, a description of the violation is noted on the Inspection Report form, including comments and/or requirements that the facility must complete to clear the violation. If the violation is not cleared at the time of the inspection, a copy of the Inspection Report form is given to a stormwater technician for follow up.

One facility with a violation was reinspected within 10 days but all violations were not corrected. At the next follow-up inspection within 10 days all violations were corrected. One of the violations is related to the Polystyrene ban.

C.4.c.iii.(2) ► Frequency and Types/Categories of Violations Observed

Fill out the following table or attach a summary of the following information.

Type/Category of Violations Observed	Number of Violations
Actual discharge (e.g. active non-stormwater discharge or clear evidence of a recent discharge)	0
Potential discharge and other	2

Comments:

Violations are counted as one per site, regardless on the actual number of discrete violations observed/recorded. There are 3 Level 2 Enforcement Actions reported but only 2 violations identified in the tables because one site was issued two Level 2 Enforcement Actions for the same violation. No discharge was recorded during the Reporting Period.

C.4.c.iii.(2) ▶ Frequency and Type of Enforcement Conducted

Fill out the following table or attach a summary of the following information.

	Enforcement Action (as listed in ERP) ⁴⁸	Number of Enforcement Actions Taken	% of Enforcement Actions Taken⁴⁹
Level 1	Verbal Warning	5	62
Level 2	Warning Notice or Administrative Action	3	38
Level 3	Administrative Action with Penalty &/or Cost Recovery	0	0
Level 4	Legal Action/Referral	0	0
Total		8	100

C.4.c.iii.(3) ▶ Types of Violations Noted by Business Category

Fill out the following table or attach a summary of the following information.

Business Category⁵⁰	Number of Actual Discharge Violations	Number of Potential/Other Discharge Violations
Food	0	2

C.4.c.iii.(4) ▶ Non-Filers

List below or attach a list of the facilities required to have coverage under the Industrial General Permit but have not filed for coverage:

No facilities have been identified as requiring Industrial General Permit coverage that has not filed for coverage.

C.4.d.iii ▶ Staff Training Summary

Training Name	Training Dates	Topics Covered	No. of Inspectors in Attendance	Percent of Inspectors in Attendance
Refer to County AR for CEH inspector training summary		Refer to County AR for CEH inspector training summary		
SMCWPPP Commercial/Industrial Stormwater Inspector	April 17, 2014	Performing Stormwater Inspections, Your City Attorney's Role in Enforcement Actions	1	50%

⁴⁸ Agencies to list specific enforcement actions as defined in their ERPs.

⁴⁹ Percentage calculated as number of each type of enforcement action divided by the total number of enforcement actions.

⁵⁰ List your Program's standard business categories.

Workshop				
----------	--	--	--	--

Section 5 – Provision C.5 Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination

Program Highlights

Provide background information, highlights, trends, etc.

The City of Pacifica continues to implement the collection system screening program and the sewer replacement program. The City screens the collection system by video camera and has an environmental service truck designated solely to collection system screening and maintenance. Several staff members attend the CII Subcommittee meetings, including Engineering and Code enforcement staff. Please refer to the C.5 Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination section of the SMCWPPP FY 13-14 Annual Report for description of activities at the countywide or regional level.

C.5.c.iii ► Complaint and Spill Response Phone Number and Spill Contact List

List below or attach your complaint and spill response phone number and spill contact list.

Contact	Description	Phone Number
Raymund Donguines	Stormwater Violations – Right of Way	650-738-3768
Christian Murdock	Stormwater Violations - Code Enforcement	650-738-7341
Brian Martinez/Joshua Cosgrove	Collections System	650-738-4669

C.5.d.iii ► Evaluation of Mobile Business Program

Describe implementation of minimum standards and BMPs for mobile businesses and your enforcement strategy. This may include participation in the BASMAA Mobile Surface Cleaners regional program or local activities.

Description:

The City of Pacifica addresses mobile cleaners through staff observations and public complaints. The City's Code Enforcement Officer will provide mobile cleaner BMPs when they advise businesses and property owners to remove graffiti and inspect the progress to ensure they are following the BMPs.

Currently, the City of Pacifica does our own surface cleaning and therefore do not hire mobile surface cleaners.

Refer to the C.5 Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination section of the SMCWPPP FY 13-14 Annual Report for a description of efforts by the Commercial, Industrial and Illicit Discharge (CII) Subcommittee and the BASMAA Municipal Operations Committee to address mobile businesses.

C.5.e.iii ► Evaluation of Collection System Screening Program

Provide a summary or attach a summary of your collection screening program, a summary of problems found during collection system screening and any changes to the screening program this FY.

Description:

The Collection system is screened by our Public Works Department. Annually, DPW staff inspects the collection system based on reported incidents and age of infrastructure. DPW staff inspects every stormdrain inlet / infrastructure based on the City Storm Drain Map in the designated timeframe. The City has a comprehensive mapping/GIS of the system for use in identification of problem areas and to provide staff with a detailed understanding of the existing infrastructure.

C.5.f.iii.(1), (2), (3) ► Spill and Discharge Complaint Tracking

Spill and Discharge Complaint Tracking (fill out the following table or include an attachment of the following information)

	Number	Percentage
Discharges reported (C.5.f.iii.(1))	1	
Discharges reaching storm drains and/or receiving waters (C.5.f.iii.(2))	0	0%
Discharges resolved in a timely manner (C.5.f.iii.(3))	1	100%

Comments:

Members of the public can report illicit discharges by contacting City staff in-person, by phone, or by e-mail. Reports are recorded by Code Enforcement staff and assigned to either Code Enforcement staff or Public Works staff for response depending on the violation location (private or public property, respectively). Code Enforcement staff documents the outcomes of illicit discharge responses utilizing GreenVue, a web-based permit and complaint tracking system.

Code Enforcement staff received one illicit discharge report during Fiscal Year (FY) 2013-2014. The reported discharge was resolved in a timely manner.

C.5.f.iii.(4) ► Summary of major types of discharges and complaints

Provide a narrative or attach a table and/or graph.

One illicit discharge was reported during FY 2013-2014. The response to the report was timely. Public Works staff was unable to identify/verify the reported "murky substance" in the creek, resulting in a classification of the report as "unfounded."

Section 6 – Provision C.6 Construction Site Controls

C.6.e.iii.1.a, b, c ▶ Site/Inspection Totals		
Number of High Priority Sites (sites disturbing < 1 acre of soil requiring storm water runoff quality inspection) (C.6.e.iii.1.a)	Number of sites disturbing ≥ 1 acre of soil (C.6.e.iii.1.b)	Total number of storm water runoff quality inspections conducted (include only High Priority Site and sites disturbing 1 acre or more) (C.6.e.iii.1.c)
# 0	# 0	# 13
Comments: The City inspect all active exterior construction sites at least once to determine if they are high priority sites and require monthly inspections during the wet season. There were 13 inspections conducted at 13 sites. No sites were determined to be High Priority.		

C.6.e.iii.1.d ▶ Construction Activities Storm Water Violations		
BMP Category	Number of Violations⁵¹ excluding Verbal Warnings	% of Total Violations⁵²
Erosion Control	0	N/A
Run-on and Run-off Control	0	N/A
Sediment Control	0	N/A
Active Treatment Systems	0	N/A
Good Site Management	0	N/A
Non Stormwater Management	0	N/A
Total⁵³	0	N/A

⁵¹ Count one violation in a category for each site and inspection regardless of how many violations/problems occurred in the BMP category. For example, if during one inspection at a site, there are 2 erosion control violations, only 1 violation would be counted for this table.

⁵² Percentage calculated as number of violations in each category divided by total number of violations in all six categories.

⁵³ The total number of violations may count more than one violation per inspection, since some inspections may result in violations in more than one category. For example, during one inspection of a site, there may have been both an erosion control violation and a sediment control violation. For this reason, the total number of violations in this table may not match the total number of enforcement actions reported in Table C6.e.iii.1.e.

C.6.e.iii.1.e ► Construction Related Storm Water Enforcement Actions

	Enforcement Action (as listed in ERP) ⁵⁴	Number Enforcement Actions Issued	% Enforcement Actions Issued ⁵⁵
Level 1 ⁵⁶	Verbal Warning	1	100
Level 2	Written Warning/Notice of Violation	0	0
Level 3	Notice to Comply	0	0
Level 4	Legal Action	0	0
Total		1	100

C.6.e.iii.1.f, g ► Illicit Discharges

	Number
Number of illicit discharges, actual and those inferred through evidence at high priority sites and sites that disturb 1 acre or more of land (C.6.e.iii.1.f)	0
Number of sites with discharges, actual and those inferred through evidence at high priority sites and sites that disturb 1 acre or more of land (C.6.e.iii.1.g)	0

⁵⁴ Agencies should list the specific enforcement actions as defined in their ERPs.

⁵⁵ Percentage calculated as number of each type of enforcement action divided by the total number of enforcement actions.

⁵⁶ For example, Enforcement Level 1 may be Verbal Warning.

C.6.e.iii.1.h, i ► Violation Correction Times		
	Number	Percent
Violations (excluding verbal warnings) fully corrected within 10 business days after violations are discovered or otherwise considered corrected in a timely period (C.6.e.iii.1.h)	0	N/A ⁵⁷
Violations (excluding verbal warnings) not fully corrected within 30 days after violations are discovered (C.6.e.iii.1.i)	0	N/A ⁵⁸
Total number of violations (excluding verbal warnings) for the reporting year⁵⁹	0	N/A
Comments: One verbal warning was issued to remind contractor to maintain Best Management Practices (BMPs) at all times.		

C.6.e.iii.(2) ► Evaluation of Inspection Data
Describe your evaluation of the tracking data and data summaries and provide information on the evaluation results (e.g., data trends, typical BMP performance issues, comparisons to previous years, etc.).
<p>Description:</p> <p>Results from FY 2013-2014 inspections were encouraging. Of the 13 sites inspected, only one instance required a verbal warning. Contractors otherwise complied with all applicable best management practices (BMPs) at the times of their inspections. This may be a reflection of continuing increases in the awareness of stormwater BMPs throughout the construction industry, and certainly reflects expanded efforts by the City's Planning and Building staff to clarify expectations related to discharge prevention from the beginning of the permitting process throughout the inspection process.</p>

C.6.e.iii.(2) ► Evaluation of Inspection Program Effectiveness
Describe what appear to be your program's strengths and weaknesses, and identify needed improvements, including education and outreach.
<p>Description:</p> <p>The relatively small number of sites within Pacifica allows inspectors to establish familiarity with each project and a positive working relationship with contractors at each site. This relationship, established from the time of permit application and continuing through on-site inspections, appears to be a favorable aspect of the City's inspection program. Evidence of this is the absence of stormwater BMP violations during FY 2013-2014 construction site inspections.</p> <p>Beyond the personal relationship aspect of the City's efforts, each approved set of plans includes the SMCWPPP's construction BMP sheet to remind</p>

⁵⁷ Calculated as number of violations fully corrected in a timely period after the violations are discovered divided by the total number of violations for the reporting year.
⁵⁸ Calculated as number of violations not fully corrected within 30 days after the violations are discovered divided by the total number of violations for the reporting year.
⁵⁹ The total number of violations reported in the table of Violation Correction Times equals the number of initial enforcement actions. I.e., This assumes one violation is issued for several problems during an inspection at a site. The total number of violations in the table of Violation Correction Times may not equal the total number of enforcement actions because one violation issued at a site may have a second enforcement action for the same violation at the next inspection if it is not corrected.

applicants of their applications. Additional handouts are available for residents and contractors at the building counter.

Refer to the C.6 Construction Site Control section of the SMCWPPP FY 13-14 Annual Report for a description of activities at the countywide or regional level.

C.6.f ► Staff Training Summary

Training Name	Training Dates	Topics Covered	No. of Inspectors in Attendance	Percent of Inspectors in Attendance
Improving Your Stormwater Treatment System Construction and O&M Inspections	12/4/2013	Stormwater Treatment System Construction and O&M Inspections	1	20%
SMCWPPP's Construction Inspection Workshop	4/23/2014	Municipal Experience with the Statewide Construction General Permit and the MRP, Best Management Practices (BMPs) for Construction Sites, Temporary Control Measures	1	20%
CalBIG's Construction Site Inspection	August 2013	MRP Construction Site Inspection Requirements, Stormwater Inspection Documentation and Tracking, Enforcement.	4	80%

Section 7 – Provision C.7. Public Information and Outreach

C.7.b.ii.1 ▶ Advertising Campaign

Summarize advertising efforts. Include details such as messages, creative developed, and outreach media used. The detailed advertising report may be included as an attachment. If advertising is being done by participation in a countywide or regional program, refer to the separate countywide or regional Annual Report.

Summary:

We promote events such as Coastal Clean Up Day and Local Earth Day Event Clean Ups by placing posters and other informational materials at counters, in windows and by informing applicants and others that come to the counter about such events.

The following separate report developed by BASMAA summarizes the activities of the Regional Youth Litter Campaign

- **BASMAA Be the Street Campaign Report**

C.7.b.iii.1 ▶ Pre-Campaign Survey

(For the Annual Report following the pre-campaign survey) Summarize survey information such as sample size, type of survey (telephone survey, interviews etc.). Attach a survey report that includes the following information. If survey was done regionally, refer to a regional submittal that contains the following information:

Information on the pre-campaign survey for the BASMAA Regional Youth Litter Campaign was provided in the FY 11-12 Annual Report.

Place an **X** in the appropriate box below:

<input type="checkbox"/>	Survey report attached
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Reference to regional submittal:

C.7.b.iii.2 ► Post-Campaign Survey

(For the Annual Report following the post-campaign survey) Discuss the campaigns and the measureable changes in awareness and behavior achieved. Provide an update of outreach strategies based on the survey results. If survey was done regionally, refer to a regional submittal that contains the following information:

Information on the post-campaign survey for the BASMAA Regional Youth Litter Campaign was provided in the BASMAA FY 13-14 Annual Report.

Place an **X** in the appropriate box below:

	Survey report attached
X	Reference to regional submittal:

C.7.c ► Media Relations

Summarize the media relations effort. Include the following details for each media pitch in the space below, AND/OR refer to a regional report that includes these details:

- Topic and content of pitch
- Medium (TV, radio, print, online)
- Date of publication/broadcast

Summary:

The following separate report developed by BASMAA summarizes media relations efforts conducted during FY 13-14:

- **BASMAA Media Relations Final Report FY 13-14**

This report and any other media relations efforts conducted countywide is included within the C.7 Public Information and Outreach section of the SMCWPPP FY 13-14 Annual Report.

C.7.d ► Stormwater Point of Contact

Summary of any changes made during FY 13-14:

The SMCWPPP initial points of contact have not changed. Refer to the C.7 Public Information and Outreach section of SMCWPPP's FY 13-14 Annual Report for efforts conducted by SMCWPPP to publicize stormwater points of contact (e.g., SMCWPPP website, hotline, social media, and outreach materials).

C.7.e ► Public Outreach Events

Describe general approach to event selection. Provide a list of outreach materials and giveaways distributed.
 Use the following table for reporting and evaluating public outreach events

The following outreach events were conducted on a countywide level by SMCWPPP and are described in detail in the Public Information and Outreach section of the SMCWPPP FY 12-13 Annual Report:

- Coastal Cleanup Day, September 21, 2013
- San Mateo County Fair, June 7-15, 2014

Event Details	Description (messages, audience)	Evaluation of Effectiveness
<p>Coastal Cleanup Day: September 21, 2013, Statewide/County Event</p>	<p>The audiences were coastal clean-up attendees.</p> <p>The City works with the Beach Coalition Annually to coordinate the CCD event. This year was no different.</p> <p>See the C.7 Public Information and Outreach section of Countywide Program's FY 13-14 Annual Report for more information.</p>	<p>Hundreds of people participated this year and worked with other coastal communities to create a more collaborative effort, sending people down the coast if they could not locate any more trash at various sites here in Pacifica. Multiple city staff participated.</p> <p>See the C.7 Public Information and Outreach section of Countywide Program's FY 13-14 Annual Report for more information.</p>
<p>Fog Fest 2013: September 28-29, 2013 Local Event</p>	<p>The audiences were Fog Fest attendees. The Pacific Coast Fog Fest each year promotes the City of Pacifica, attracts visitors, celebrates our community and includes Arts and Crafts booths, Food and Beverage booths, Musical Entertainment, Family Fun Fest and a variety of other activities.</p> <p>The Fog Fest is a great opportunity to really work the crowd which is made up of people from all over the place. Many people wanted to see various diorama examples / scenarios worked through and this triggered various interesting discussions about how to better protect ourselves and our environment.</p>	<p>Over the weekend Hundreds upon hundreds of people request a diorama interactive discussion, and both adults and children just love this experience. Several staff has walked away with hoarse voices because of talking so much during the weekend event.</p> <p>Many people return to the booth various times, gathering more info, asking more questions, and signing up for different Beach Coalition activities.</p>

<p>Earth Day 2014: Pacifica State Beach, Local Event, April 19, 2014</p>	<p>We utilized the diorama for coastal communities as we love engaging children and adults in discussions about the various examples one can demonstrate using this tool. The most popular topic was the diorama, bag ban, too toxic guides and pocket ashtrays. Earth Day was very well attended, and included a City Wide clean up prior to the Earth Day Celebration. Many locations throughout the City were cleaned when this event concluded.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This event continues to grow annually. This year we had more than 1000 people at the celebration with about 200 people stopping by the SMCWPPP booth. Many more participated at the clean-up sites throughout the City. • We continue to hand out numerous educational materials. • The public is clearly becoming more aware of the need to protect our resources. • New and updated materials as well as the variety of water issues that could be addressed made for a successful time engaging the public.
<p>San Mateo County Fair 2014: County Event, June 7-15, 2014.</p>	<p>The audiences were Fair attendees.</p> <p>City Staff from Engineering staffed the Fair Booth this year. City promoted the event by placing Posters in City offices.</p> <p>See the C.7 Public Information and Outreach section of Countywide Program's FY 13-14 Annual Report for more information.</p>	<p>The educational materials went quickly and when engaging with the public, it is apparent that county wide and even regionally, people know more about resource protection and pollution prevention.</p> <p>See the C.7 Public Information and Outreach section of Countywide Program's FY 13-14 Annual Report for more information.</p>

C.7.f. ► Watershed Stewardship Collaborative Efforts

Summarize watershed stewardship collaborative efforts and/or refer to a regional report that provides details. Describe the level of effort and support given (e.g., funding only, active participation etc.). State efforts undertaken and the results of these efforts. If this activity is done regionally refer to a regional report.

Evaluate effectiveness by describing the following:

- Efforts undertaken
- Major accomplishments

Summary:

A summary of efforts conducted by SMCWPPP to work with Watershed Stewardship Groups on a countywide level is included within the Public Information and Outreach section of the SMCWPPP FY 13-14 Annual Report

C.7.g. ► Citizen Involvement Events

List the types of events conducted (e.g., creek clean up, storm drain inlet marking, native gardening etc.). Use the following table for reporting and evaluating citizen involvement events.

The following outreach events were conducted on a countywide level by SMCWPPP and are described in detail in the Public Information and Outreach section of the SMCWPPP FY 12-13 Annual Report:

- Coastal Cleanup Day, September 21, 2013

Event Details	Description	Evaluation of effectiveness
<p>Coastal Cleanup Day: September 21, 2013, Statewide/County Event</p>	<p>The audiences were coastal clean-up attendees.</p> <p>The City works with the Beach Coalition Annually to coordinate the CCD event. This year was no different.</p> <p>See the C.7 Public Information and Outreach section of Countywide Program's FY 13-14 Annual Report for more information.</p>	<p>Hundreds of people participated this year and worked with other coastal communities to create a more collaborative effort, sending people down the coast if they could not locate any more trash at various sites here in Pacifica.</p> <p>Multiple city staff participated.</p> <p>See the C.7 Public Information and Outreach section of Countywide Program's FY 13-14 Annual Report for more information.</p>
<p>Earth Day 2014: Pacifica State Beach, Local Event, April 19, 2014</p>	<p>We utilized the diorama for coastal communities as we love engaging children and adults in discussions about the various examples one can demonstrate using this tool. The most popular topic was the diorama, bag ban, too toxic guides and pocket ashtrays. Earth Day was very well attended, and included a City Wide clean up prior to the Earth Day Celebration. Many locations throughout the City were cleaned when this event concluded.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This event continues to grow annually. This year we had more than 1000 people at the celebration with about 200 people stopping by the SMCWPPP booth. Many more participated at the clean-up sites throughout the City. • We continue to hand out numerous educational materials. • The public is clearly becoming more aware of the need to protect our resources. • New and updated materials as well as

		<p>the variety of water issues that could be addressed made for a successful time engaging the public.</p>
--	--	--

C.7.h. ► School-Age Children Outreach

Summarize school-age children outreach programs implemented. A detailed report may be included as an attachment. Use the following table for reporting school-age children outreach efforts.

Program Details	Focus & Short Description	Number of Students/Teachers reached	Evaluation of Effectiveness
<p>Refer to the C.7 Section of SMCWPPP's FY 13-14 Annual Report for a description of School-age Children Outreach efforts conducted at the countywide level.</p>	<p>Summarized in the Public Information and Outreach section of the SMCWPPP FY 13-14 Annual Report.</p>	<p>Summarized in the Public Information and Outreach section of the SMCWPPP FY 13-14 Annual Report.</p>	<p>Summarized in the Public Information and Outreach section of the SMCWPPP FY 13-14 Annual Report.</p>

Section 8 - Provision C.8 Water Quality Monitoring

C.8 ► Water Quality Monitoring

State below if information is reported in a separate regional report. Municipalities can also describe below any Water Quality Monitoring activities in which they participate directly, e.g. participation in RMP workgroups, fieldwork within their jurisdictions, etc.

Summary

During FY 13-14, we contributed through SMCWPPP to the BASMAA Regional Monitoring Coalition (RMC). In addition, we contributed financially to the Regional Monitoring Program for Water Quality in the San Francisco Estuary (RMP) and were represented at RMP committees and work groups. Monitoring efforts and results are documented in a separate report submitted March 15 of each year, as required in Provision C.8. For additional information on monitoring activities conducted by the Program, BASMAA RMC and the RMP, see SMCWPPP's March 2014 Integrated Monitoring Report, Part A.

Section 9 – Provision C.9 Pesticides Toxicity Controls

C.9.b ► Implement IPM Policy or Ordinance					
Report implementation of IPM BMPs by showing trends in quantities and types of pesticides used, and suggest reasons for increases in use of pesticides that threaten water quality, specifically organophosphates, pyrethroids, carbaryl, and fipronil. A separate report can be attached as evidence of your implementation.					
Trends in Quantities and Types of Pesticides Used⁶⁰					
Pesticide Category and Specific Pesticide Used	Amount⁶¹				
	FY 09-10	FY 10-11	FY 11-12	FY 12-13	FY 13-14
Organophosphates	None Used	None Used	None Used	None Used	None Used
Product or Pesticide Type A					
Product or Pesticide Type B					
Pyrethroids	None Used	None Used	None Used	None Used	None Used
Product or Pesticide Type X					
Product or Pesticide Type Y					
Carbaryl	None Used	None Used	None Used	None Used	None Used
Fipronil	None Used	None Used	None Used	None Used	None Used

C.9.c ► Train Municipal Employees	
Enter the number of employees that applied or used pesticides (including herbicides) within the scope of their duties this reporting year.	6
Enter the number of these employees who received training on your IPM policy and IPM standard operating procedures within the last 3 years.	6
Enter the percentage of municipal employees who apply pesticides who have received training in the IPM policy and IPM standard operating procedures within the last three years.	100%

⁶⁰ Includes all municipal structural and landscape pesticide usage by employees and contractors.

⁶¹ Weight or volume of the product or preferably its active ingredient, using same units for the product each year. The active ingredients in any pesticide are listed on the label. The list of active ingredients that need to be reported in the pyrethroids class includes: allethrin, bifenthrin, beta-cyfluthrin, bioallethrin, cyfluthrin, cypermethrin, cyphenothrin, deltamethrin, esfenvalerate, etofenprox, fenpropathrin, gamma-cyhalothrin, imiprothrin, lambda-cyhalothrin, metofluthrin, permethrin, phenothrin, prallethrin, resmethrin, sumithrin (d-phenothrin), tau-fluvalinate, tefluthrin, tetramethrin, tralomethrin, cis-permethrin, and zeta-cypermethrin.

C.9.d ▶ Require Contractors to Implement IPM			
Did your municipality contract with any pesticide service provider in the reporting year?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		Yes	No
If yes, attach one of the following:			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Contract specifications that require adherence to your IPM policy and standard operating procedures, OR		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Copy(ies) of the contractors' IPM certification(s) or equivalent, OR		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Equivalent documentation.		
If Not attached , explain: See Attachment C.			

C.9.e ▶ Track and Participate in Relevant Regulatory Processes	
Summarize participation efforts, information submitted, and how regulatory actions were affected OR reference a regional report that summarizes regional participation efforts, information submitted, and how regulatory actions were affected.	
Summary: During FY 13-14, we participated in regulatory processes related to pesticides through SMCWPPP, BASMAA and CASQA. For additional information, see the regional report submitted by BASMAA on behalf of all MRP Permittees.	

C.9.f ▶ Interface with County Agricultural Commissioners			
Did your municipal staff observe any improper pesticide usage or evidence of improper usage (e.g., pesticides in storm drain systems, along street curbs, or in receiving waters) during this fiscal year?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		Yes	No
If yes, provide a summary of improper pesticide usage reported to the County Agricultural Commissioner and follow-up actions taken to correct any violations. A separate report can be attached as your summary.			

C.9.h.ii ▶ Public Outreach: Point of Purchase	
Provide a summary of public outreach at point of purchase, and any measurable awareness and behavior changes resulting from outreach (here or in a separate report); OR reference a report of a regional effort for public outreach in which your agency participates.	
Summary: See the C.9 Pesticides Toxicity Control section of the SMCWPPP FY 13-14 Annual Report for information on point of purchase public outreach	

conducted countywide and regionally.

C.9.h.vi ► Public Outreach: Pest Control Operators

Provide a summary of public outreach to pest control operators and landscapers and reduced pesticide use (here or in a separate report); **OR** reference a report of a regional effort for outreach to pest control operators and landscapers in which your agency participates.

Summary:

See the C.9 Pesticides Toxicity Control section the SMCWPPP FY 13-14 Annual Report for a summary of our participation in and contributions towards countywide and regional public outreach to pest control operators and landscapers to reduce pesticide use.

Section 10 - Provision C.10 Trash Load Reduction

C.10.a.iii ► Minimum Full Trash Capture

Provide the following:

- 1) Descriptions of actions/tasks completed towards achieving the Minimum Full Trash Capture requirement in provision C.10.a.iii. Include the:
 - Total number and types of full capture devices (publicly and privately-owned) installed to-date;
 - Total land area (acres) and land areas within each trash generation category (i.e., very high, high, moderate and low) treated by full capture devices (or other types of devices for non-population based Permittees), in comparison to the MRP-required full capture requirements in Attachment J to the MRP; and,
 - Percentage of jurisdictional land areas with very high, high, moderate and low trash generation rates treated by full capture devices.
- 2) A narrative summary of maintenance activities implemented for each device, group of devices, or device type, including descriptions of typical maintenance frequencies and issues associated with maintaining these devices.

Descriptions of Actions/Tasks (Conducted or Planned):

The City of Pacifica installed 62 trash full-capture devices with funding provided through the San Francisco Bay-area Wide Trash Capture Demonstration Project administered by San Francisco Estuary Partnership (SFEP).

Full Capture Treatment Area	Low	Moderate	High	Very High	TOTAL
Acres (All TMAs)	58	5	8	0	71
% (All TMAs)	1%	1%	8%	0%	0.9%

The City planned to install approximately 200 full-capture devices by July 1, 2014, however, the bids were not acceptable and the project was re-advertised and new bids were solicited. The project was awarded in July and installation is proceeding in the fall of 2014. The planned full-capture devices will treat approximately 300 acres.

Descriptions of Maintenance Activities:

Maintenance and records of the installed full-trash capture devices is per the San Francisco Bay-area Wide Trash Capture Demonstration Project administered by San Francisco Estuary Partnership (SFEP). All trash capture devices are inspected and cleaned prior to the rainy season typically in September and after each major rain event. We have had minor issues with the devices getting clogged with leaves from nearby trees during heavy downpours.

In FY 13-14, the City of Pacifica also participated in the initial development of a Model Trash Full Capture Device Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Verification Program initiated by SMCWPPP. The model program is intended to provide Permittees with a template for documenting O&M procedures, including inspection and maintenance frequencies. Over the course of the next year, the City of Pacifica plans to further document the

city/county-specific O&M verification program by tailoring the Model Program developed by SMCWPPP to incorporate city-specific characteristics/processes. Additional details on the City's/County's O&M verification program will be included in our FY 14-15 Annual Report.

C.10.b.iii ► Trash Hot Spot Assessment

Provide the volume of material removed during each MRP-required Trash Hot Spot cleanup during each fiscal year, and the dominant types of trash (e.g., glass, plastics, paper) removed and their sources in FY 2013-14 to the extent possible.

Trash Hot Spot	FY 13-14 Cleanup Date	Volume of Trash Removed (cubic yards)				Dominant Type(s) of Trash in FY 2013-14	Trash Sources in FY 2013-14 (where possible)
		FY 2010-11	FY 2011-12	FY 2012-13	FY 2013-14		
PAC01	6/25/2014	1.2	0.5	0.2	0.08	Other, Cigarette butts, Bottles (plastic or glass), Plastic Bags, Paper and cardboard	Trash accumulation, Litter, Outfall

C.10.c ► Long-Term Trash Load Reduction Plan

Provide descriptions of significant revisions made to your Long-term Trash Load Reduction Plan submitted to the Water Board in February 2014. Describe significant changes made to primary or secondary trash management areas (TMA), trash generation maps, control measures, or time schedules identified in your plan.

Description of Significant Revision(s)	Associated TMA
Not Applicable	N/A

C.10.d ► PART A - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (Jurisdictional-wide Actions)

Provide a description of each jurisdictional-wide trash control measure implemented to-date. Identify the dominant trash source(s) and dominant type(s) of trash addressed by each control measure. For each jurisdictional-wide measure, identify the trash assessment method(s) used to demonstrate on-going reductions, summarize the results of the assessment(s), and estimate the associated reduction of trash within your jurisdictional area.

Control Measure	Summary Description of Control Measure & Dominant Trash Sources and Types	Assessment Method(s)	Summary of Assessment Results To-date	Estimated % Trash Reduced
Single-use Plastic Bag Ordinance or Policy	<p>The City of Pacifica has promoted the San Mateo County Reusable Bag Ordinance, which took effect on April 22, 2013, through our outreach efforts during several City events and Earth Day. Link to San Mateo County Ordinance: http://smchealth.org/sites/default/files/docs/EHS/Final_15_Plastic%20Bag_Ord_04637.pdf</p>	<p>On behalf of all SMCWPPP Permittees, the County of San Mateo conducted assessments evaluating the effectiveness of the single use plastic bag ban in municipalities within San Mateo County. Assessments conducted by the County included audits of businesses and surveys of customer bag usage at many businesses in San Mateo County. Additionally, the number of complaints by customers was also tracked by the County. The results of assessments conducted by these cities are assumed to be representative of all SMCWPPP Permittees, given the consistency between the scope, implementation, and enforcement of the ordinances among the municipalities.</p> <p>The City of Pacifica developed its % trash reduced estimate using the following assumptions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Single use plastic bags comprise 8% of the trash discharged from stormwater conveyances, based on the Regional Trash Generation Study conducted by BASMAA; 2) 95% of single use plastic bags distributed in the City of Pacifica are affected by the implementation of the ordinance, based on the County of San Mateo's Environmental Impact Report; and 3) Of the bags affected by the ordinance, there are now 90% less bags being distributed, based on customer complaints received by the County of San Mateo's Department of Environmental Health Services. This is conservative estimate given that in FY 13-14 Environmental Services only received complaints about 4, of the over 1900 businesses in San Mateo County that are affected by the single-use plastic bag ordinances. 	<p>Results of assessments conducted by the County of San Mateo on behalf of all municipalities in San Mateo County indicate that the ordinance is effective in reducing the number of single use plastic bags in stormwater discharges. This preliminary conclusion is based on the very small number of complaints received from customers about businesses in San Mateo County that are continuing to use single use plastic bags after ordinances were adopted. Assuming single use bags are 8% of the trash observed in stormwater discharges, the City of Pacifica concludes that there has been a 7% (i.e., 8% x 86% effectiveness in reducing bags) reduction in trash in stormwater discharges as a result of the ordinance.</p>	7%

C.10.d ► PART A - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (Jurisdictional-wide Actions)

Provide a description of each jurisdictional-wide trash control measure implemented to-date. Identify the dominant trash source(s) and dominant type(s) of trash addressed by each control measure. For each jurisdictional-wide measure, identify the trash assessment method(s) used to demonstrate on-going reductions, summarize the results of the assessment(s), and estimate the associated reduction of trash within your jurisdictional area.

<p>Expanded Polystyrene Food Service Ware Ordinance or Policy</p>	<p>The City of Pacifica adopted an ordinance effective January 10, 2010 banning polystyrene foam food service ware at the point-of-sale. Food vendors are prohibited from providing prepared food to customers in foam polystyrene or solid polystyrene disposable food service ware. Potential vendors are provided information during Planning and Building permit review. Link to City of Pacifica Municipal Code: http://library.municode.com/HTML/16544/level3/TIT6SAHE.CH5GACORE_ART4SUFOSEWA.html#TOPTITLE</p>	<p>Although the City of Pacifica has adopted and implemented an ordinance prohibiting the distribution of EPS food ware by food vendors, evaluations of the effectiveness of the ordinance have not yet been conducted. For the purpose of estimating trash reductions in stormwater discharges associated with the ordinance, the results of assessments conducted by the cities of Los Altos and Palo Alto were used to represent the reduction of trash associated with the City's ordinance. Assessments conducted by these cities were conducted prior to and following the effective date of their ordinances, and include audits of businesses and/or assessments of EPS food ware observed on streets, storm drains and local creeks. The results of assessments conducted by these cities are assumed to be representative of the effectiveness of the City's ordinance because the implementation (including enforcement) of the City's ordinance is similar to the City of Los Altos' and Palo Alto's.</p> <p>The City Of Pacifica developed its % trash reduced estimate using the following assumptions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) EPS food ware comprises 6% of the trash discharged from stormwater conveyances, based on the Regional Trash Generation Study conducted by BASMAA; 2) 80% of EPS food ware distributed by food vendors or sold via stores in the City of Pacifica is affected by the implementation of the ordinance; and 3) There is now 95% less EPS food ware being distributed, sold and/or observed in the environment, based on assessments conducted by the City of Palo Alto and City of Los Altos. 	<p>Results of assessments that are representative of the City, but were conducted by the cities of Los Altos and Palo Alto, indicate that City's ordinance is effective in reducing EPS food ware in stormwater discharges. This conclusion is based on the following assessment result - an average of 95% of businesses affected by the ordinance are no longer distributing EPS food ware post-ordinance. Based on these results, the estimated average reduction of EPS food ware in stormwater discharges is 90%. Assuming EPS food ware is 6% of the trash observed in stormwater discharges, the City/County concludes that there has been a 5% (i.e., 6% x 90%) reduction in trash in stormwater discharges as a result of the ordinance.</p>	<p>5%</p>
---	---	---	---	-----------

C.10.d ► PART A - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (Jurisdictional-wide Actions)

Provide a description of each jurisdictional-wide trash control measure implemented to-date. Identify the dominant trash source(s) and dominant type(s) of trash addressed by each control measure. For each jurisdictional-wide measure, identify the trash assessment method(s) used to demonstrate on-going reductions, summarize the results of the assessment(s), and estimate the associated reduction of trash within your jurisdictional area.

<p>Public Education and Outreach Programs Targeted at Trash Reduction and Implemented post-MRP Adoption</p>	<p>On behalf of the City of Pacifica, SMCWPPP and BASMAA also implemented public education and outreach actions at the countywide and regional scales that were targeted at reducing the impacts of trash on local water bodies. For descriptions of these activities, please see Section 7 of the Program's Annual Report.</p>	<p>BASMAA conducted post-campaign surveys in FY 13-14 to assess the effectiveness and impacts of their youth litter campaign "Be the Street". The methods used by BASMAA are described in Appendix 16 of the Program's Annual Report.</p>	<p>Reductions (i.e., trends) in the levels of trash in stormwater discharges that occur as a result of the implementation of Public Education and Outreach campaigns and programs are very difficult to measure. Both the inherent spatial and temporal variability in trash generation and the timeframes by which behavior change occurs as a result of education and outreach largely governs our ability to link this control measure to water quality outcomes. That said, changing littering behaviors is paramount to the long-term success of trash management programs. As described in Section 7 of the Program's Annual Report, the City has spent significant resources on local, county-wide, and public education and outreach programs that are slowly reducing the generation of trash at its source. Based on the results of assessments conducted by BASMAA in FY 13-14 to assess the effectiveness and impacts of their youth litter campaign "Be the Street" (see Program's Section 7), a modest conservative load reduction associated with public education and outreach programs is assumed.</p>	<p>1%</p>
---	---	---	---	-----------

C.10.d ► PART B - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (TMA Specific Actions)

Complete the following trash control measure implementation and assessment summary for each primary trash management area (TMA) identified in your Long-term Plan. Include the following information:

- Identify the total jurisdictional area and the % of that area that generates very high (VH), high (H), moderate (M), or low (L) levels of trash;
- Identify the dominant trash source(s) and dominant type(s) of trash addressed or to-be addressed in the TMA;
- Include the area currently treated by full capture devices, the quantity and type of devices installed to-date, and the % of jurisdictional area that generates very high (VH), high (H), moderate (M), and low (L) levels of trash after accounting for reductions via full capture devices;
- Summarize control measures other than full capture devices implemented to-date, distinguishing between implementation that began pre- and post-MRP effective date. If not implemented in the entire TMA, describe generation category targeted and % of TMA addressed;
- Provide the % of the jurisdictional area that generates very VH, H, M or L levels of trash after accounting for all control measures implemented to-date;
- Describe the methods used to evaluate the effectiveness of control measures other than full capture devices, and any assessment results to-date. If the method was not implemented in the entire TMA, describe generation category targeted and % of TMA addressed; and
- Provide an estimate of the % of trash reduced in the TMA and jurisdiction-wide.

C.10.d ► PART B - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (TMA Specific Actions)									
TMA ID	TMA Area (Acres)	Dominant Sources	Dominant Types		% TMA in Each Trash Generation Category				
					VH	H	M	L	
1	76	Commercial litter and vehicles	Plastic bags, plastic bottles, plastic food packaging	Baseline Generation (Pre-MRP)	0%	91%	7%	2%	
Trash Full Capture Devices		Summary Descriptions of Full Trash Capture Devices (Quantity and Type)			After taking into account Full Capture Devices	0%	86%	7%	8%
Total Area (Acres)	4	There are 2 Connector Pipe Screen trash capture devices in this TMA.							
% of TMA	6%								
% of VH/H/M	6%								
Summary Descriptions of Control Measures Implemented Since MRP Adoption, Other than Full Capture Devices					After taking into account all New or Enhanced (post-MRP) Control Measures	6%	9%	32%	53%
Streets within retail land use areas were modified to Enhanced street sweeping at a frequency greater than 1x/week after MRP adoption. The majority of streets fronting these areas have no parking zones; therefore street sweeping will be effective in reaching the curb and removing trash.									
Actions initiated prior to and continued after the MRP effective date and implemented prior to July 1, 2014 include trash clean up conducted by Public Works staff twice a month. Actions started July 2014 include City led clean up on specific high trash generating areas stepped up to weekly or 4 times a month.									
Assessment Methods for Control Measures Other than Full Capture Devices									
As part of the City's Long-Term Trash Reduction Plan, we worked collaboratively with other SMCWPPP Permittees to develop our Pilot Trash Assessment Strategy (Strategy), which was submitted to the Water Board in Feb 2014. For areas where control measures other than full capture devices have been implemented, visual on-land trash assessment is the method used to determine the current level of trash in a TMA. Assessments are conducted using a protocol developed by BASMAA member agencies. For each TMA assessed, sites are selected using a probabilistic sample draw to randomly pick sites in a TMA and allow for extrapolation of results within an applicable TMA. Additionally, trash assessment sites may also be targeted to specific streets and properties (these results are not extrapolated). Changes in the level of trash observed via on-land assessments, along with the associated trash generation rates are then used to calculate reductions in trash to-date. The results of the assessments conducted in FY 13-14 are presented below. Additional information on the Strategy, the results of initial assessments, and the method used to calculate % reductions can be found in the Program's FY 13-14 Annual Report.									
Summary of Assessment Results To-date					6%	9%	32%	53%	
In Summer 2014, a total of 2 sites or 2,300 linear feet of streets and sidewalks in this TMA (i.e., 15% of streets/sidewalks with M, H or VH generation rates) were assessed using the on-land visual assessment protocol. Based on the results of these assessments, the area in this TMA where control measures other than full capture devices are implemented was determined have 49% low, 34% moderate, 10% high and 7% very high levels of trash. The results to the right include not only the reduction observed via on-land assessments, but also via full capture devices (as applicable).									

Estimated % Trash Reduction in <u>TMA</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions	60%
Estimated % Trash Reduction <u>Jurisdiction-wide</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions	19%

TMA ID	TMA Area (Acres)	Dominant Sources	Dominant Types		% TMA in Each Trash Generation Category			
					VH	H	M	L
2	223	Pedestrian litter and vehicles	Single-use plastic bags, plastic bottles, plastic food packaging	Baseline Generation (Pre-MRP)	0%	0%	100%	0%
Trash Full Capture Devices		Summary Descriptions of Full Trash Capture Devices (Quantity and Type)		After taking into account Full Capture Devices	0%	0%	100%	0%
Total Area (Acres)	0	There are no trash capture devices in this TMA.						
% of TMA	0%							
% of VH/H/M	0%							
Summary Descriptions of Control Measures Implemented Since MRP Adoption, Other than Full Capture Devices				After taking into account all New or Enhanced (post-MRP) Control Measures	0%	0%	100%	0%
No control measures implemented in this TMA for this FY 13-14.								
Assessment Methods for Control Measures Other than Full Capture Devices								
As part of the City's Long-Term Trash Reduction Plan, we worked collaboratively with other SMCWPPP Permittees to develop our Pilot Trash Assessment Strategy (Strategy), which was submitted to the Water Board in Feb 2014. For areas where control measures other than full capture devices have been implemented, visual on-land trash assessment is the method used to determine the current level of trash in a TMA. Assessments are conducted using a protocol developed by BASMAA member agencies. For each TMA assessed, sites are selected using a probabilistic sample draw to randomly pick sites in a TMA and allow for extrapolation of results within an applicable TMA. Additionally, trash assessment sites may also be targeted to specific streets and properties (these results are not extrapolated). Changes in the level of trash observed via on-land assessments, along with the associated trash generation rates are then used to calculate reductions in trash to-date. The results of the assessments conducted in FY 13-14 are presented below. Additional information on the Strategy, the results of initial assessments, and the method used to calculate % reductions can be found in the Program's FY 13-14 Annual Report.								
Summary of Assessment Results To-date				Estimated % Trash Reduction in TMA due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions	0%			
In Summer 2014, a total of 1 site or 1,000 linear feet of streets and sidewalks in this TMA (i.e., 6% of streets/sidewalks with M, H or VH generation rates) were assessed using the on-land visual assessment protocol. Based on the results of these assessments, the area in this TMA where control measures other than full capture devices are implemented was determined have 0% low, 100% moderate, 0% high and 0% very high levels of trash. The results to the right include not only the reduction observed via on-land assessments, but also via full capture devices (as applicable).								

Estimated % Trash Reduction <u>Jurisdiction-wide</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions	0%
--	----

TMA ID	TMA Area (Acres)	Dominant Sources	Dominant Types		% TMA in Each Trash Generation Category			
					VH	H	M	L
3	167	Commercial litter and vehicles	Plastic bags, plastic bottles, plastic food packaging	Baseline Generation (Pre-MRP)	0%	20%	50%	30%
Trash Full Capture Devices		Summary Descriptions of Full Trash Capture Devices (Quantity and Type)		After taking into account Full Capture Devices	0%	17%	49%	33%
Total Area (Acres)	5	There are 2 Connector Pipe Screen trash capture devices in this TMA.						
% of TMA	3%							
% of VH/H/M	5%							
Summary Descriptions of Control Measures Implemented Since MRP Adoption, Other than Full Capture Devices				After taking into account all New or Enhanced (post-MRP) Control Measures	0%	12%	10%	78%
Streets within retail land use areas were modified to Enhanced street sweeping at a frequency greater than 1x/week after MRP adoption. The majority of streets fronting these areas have no parking zones; therefore street sweeping will be effective in reaching the curb and removing trash.								
Assessment Methods for Control Measures Other than Full Capture Devices								
As part of the City's Long-Term Trash Reduction Plan, we worked collaboratively with other SMCWPPP Permittees to develop our Pilot Trash Assessment Strategy (Strategy), which was submitted to the Water Board in Feb 2014. For areas where control measures other than full capture devices have been implemented, visual on-land trash assessment is the method used to determine the current level of trash in a TMA. Assessments are conducted using a protocol developed by BASMAA member agencies. For each TMA assessed, sites are selected using a probabilistic sample draw to randomly pick sites in a TMA and allow for extrapolation of results within an applicable TMA. Additionally, trash assessment sites may also be targeted to specific streets and properties (these results are not extrapolated). Changes in the level of trash observed via on-land assessments, along with the associated trash generation rates are then used to calculate reductions in trash to-date. The results of the assessments conducted in FY 13-14 are presented below. Additional information on the Strategy, the results of initial assessments, and the method used to calculate % reductions can be found in the Program's FY 13-14 Annual Report.								
Summary of Assessment Results To-date								
In Summer 2014, a total of 3 sites or 3,300 linear feet of streets and sidewalks in this TMA (i.e., 10% of streets/sidewalks with M, H or VH generation rates) were assessed using the on-land visual assessment protocol. Based on the results of these assessments, the area in this TMA where control measures other than full capture devices are implemented was determined have 67% low, 15% moderate, 18% high and 0% very high levels of trash. The results to the right include not only the reduction observed via on-land assessments, but also via full capture devices (as applicable).								
Estimated % Trash Reduction in TMA due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions					54%			

Estimated % Trash Reduction <u>Jurisdiction-wide</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions	13%
--	-----

TMA ID	TMA Area (Acres)	Dominant Sources	Dominant Types		% TMA in Each Trash Generation Category			
					VH	H	M	L
4	75	Pedestrian litter and vehicles	Single-use plastic bags, plastic bottles, plastic food packaging	Baseline Generation (Pre-MRP)	0%	0%	100%	0%
Trash Full Capture Devices		Summary Descriptions of Full Trash Capture Devices (Quantity and Type)		After taking into account Full Capture Devices	0%	0%	100%	0%
Total Area (Acres)	0	There are no trash capture devices in this TMA.						
% of TMA	0%							
% of VH/H/M	0%							
Summary Descriptions of Control Measures Implemented Since MRP Adoption, Other than Full Capture Devices				After taking into account all New or Enhanced (post-MRP) Control Measures	0%	0%	100%	0%
No control measures implemented in this TMA for this FY 13-14.								
Assessment Methods for Control Measures Other than Full Capture Devices								
As part of the City's Long-Term Trash Reduction Plan, we worked collaboratively with other SMCWPPP Permittees to develop our Pilot Trash Assessment Strategy (Strategy), which was submitted to the Water Board in Feb 2014. For areas where control measures other than full capture devices have been implemented, visual on-land trash assessment is the method used to determine the current level of trash in a TMA. Assessments are conducted using a protocol developed by BASMAA member agencies. For each TMA assessed, sites are selected using a probabilistic sample draw to randomly pick sites in a TMA and allow for extrapolation of results within an applicable TMA. Additionally, trash assessment sites may also be targeted to specific streets and properties (these results are not extrapolated). Changes in the level of trash observed via on-land assessments, along with the associated trash generation rates are then used to calculate reductions in trash to-date. The results of the assessments conducted in FY 13-14 are presented below. Additional information on the Strategy, the results of initial assessments, and the method used to calculate % reductions can be found in the Program's FY 13-14 Annual Report.								
Summary of Assessment Results To-date								
On-land visual assessments were not conducted in this TMA in FY 13-14 and therefore no load reductions associated control measures other than full capture devices are assumed to have occurred. Assessments may be conducted in subsequent years.								
Estimated % Trash Reduction in TMA due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions					0%			
Estimated % Trash Reduction Jurisdiction-wide due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions					0%			

TMA ID	TMA Area (Acres)	Dominant Sources	Dominant Types		% TMA in Each Trash Generation Category			
					VH	H	M	L
5	35	Vehicles and inadequate container management	Single-use plastic bags, plastic bottles, plastic food packaging	Baseline Generation (Pre-MRP)	0%	0%	100%	0%
Trash Full Capture Devices		Summary Descriptions of Full Trash Capture Devices (Quantity and Type)		After taking into account Full Capture Devices	0%	0%	100%	0%
Total Area (Acres)	0	There are no trash capture devices in this TMA.						
% of TMA	0%							
% of VH/H/M	0%							
Summary Descriptions of Control Measures Implemented Since MRP Adoption, Other than Full Capture Devices				After taking into account all New or Enhanced (post-MRP) Control Measures	0%	0%	100%	0%
No control measures implemented in this TMA for this FY 13-14.								
Assessment Methods for Control Measures Other than Full Capture Devices								
As part of the City's Long-Term Trash Reduction Plan, we worked collaboratively with other SMCWPPP Permittees to develop our Pilot Trash Assessment Strategy (Strategy), which was submitted to the Water Board in Feb 2014. For areas where control measures other than full capture devices have been implemented, visual on-land trash assessment is the method used to determine the current level of trash in a TMA. Assessments are conducted using a protocol developed by BASMAA member agencies. For each TMA assessed, sites are selected using a probabilistic sample draw to randomly pick sites in a TMA and allow for extrapolation of results within an applicable TMA. Additionally, trash assessment sites may also be targeted to specific streets and properties (these results are not extrapolated). Changes in the level of trash observed via on-land assessments, along with the associated trash generation rates are then used to calculate reductions in trash to-date. The results of the assessments conducted in FY 13-14 are presented below. Additional information on the Strategy, the results of initial assessments, and the method used to calculate % reductions can be found in the Program's FY 13-14 Annual Report.								
Summary of Assessment Results To-date								
On-land visual assessments were not conducted in this TMA in FY 13-14 and therefore no load reductions associated control measures other than full capture devices are assumed to have occurred. Assessments may be conducted in subsequent years.								
Estimated % Trash Reduction in TMA due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions					0%			
Estimated % Trash Reduction Jurisdiction-wide due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions					0%			

TMA ID	TMA Area (Acres)	Dominant Sources	Dominant Types		% TMA in Each Trash Generation Category			
					VH	H	M	L
6	39	Pedestrian litter and vehicles	Single-use plastic bags, plastic bottles, plastic food packaging	Baseline Generation (Pre-MRP)	0%	0%	98%	2%
Trash Full Capture Devices		Summary Descriptions of Full Trash Capture Devices (Quantity and Type)		After taking into account Full Capture Devices	0%	0%	90%	10%
Total Area (Acres)	4	There is one Connector Pipe Screen trash capture devices in this TMA.						
% of TMA	10%							
% of VH/H/M	9%							
Summary Descriptions of Control Measures Implemented Since MRP Adoption, Other than Full Capture Devices				After taking into account all New or Enhanced (post-MRP) Control Measures	0%	0%	90%	10%
No control measures implemented in this TMA for this FY 13-14.								
Assessment Methods for Control Measures Other than Full Capture Devices								
As part of the City's Long-Term Trash Reduction Plan, we worked collaboratively with other SMCWPPP Permittees to develop our Pilot Trash Assessment Strategy (Strategy), which was submitted to the Water Board in Feb 2014. For areas where control measures other than full capture devices have been implemented, visual on-land trash assessment is the method used to determine the current level of trash in a TMA. Assessments are conducted using a protocol developed by BASMAA member agencies. For each TMA assessed, sites are selected using a probabilistic sample draw to randomly pick sites in a TMA and allow for extrapolation of results within an applicable TMA. Additionally, trash assessment sites may also be targeted to specific streets and properties (these results are not extrapolated). Changes in the level of trash observed via on-land assessments, along with the associated trash generation rates are then used to calculate reductions in trash to-date. The results of the assessments conducted in FY 13-14 are presented below. Additional information on the Strategy, the results of initial assessments, and the method used to calculate % reductions can be found in the Program's FY 13-14 Annual Report.								
Summary of Assessment Results To-date				Estimated % Trash Reduction in TMA due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions	9%			
On-land visual assessments were not conducted in this TMA in FY 13-14 and therefore no load reductions associated control measures other than full capture devices are assumed to have occurred. Assessments may be conducted in subsequent years.								
				Estimated % Trash Reduction Jurisdiction-wide due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions	0%			

TMA ID	TMA Area (Acres)	Dominant Sources	Dominant Types		% TMA in Each Trash Generation Category			
					VH	H	M	L
7	7283	Pedestrian litter and vehicles	Single-use plastic bags, plastic bottles, plastic food packaging	Baseline Generation (Pre-MRP)	0%	0%	0%	100%
Trash Full Capture Devices		Summary Descriptions of Full Trash Capture Devices (Quantity and Type)		After taking into account Full Capture Devices	0%	0%	0%	100%
Total Area (Acres)	58	There are 57 Connector Pipe Screen trash capture devices in this TMA.						
% of TMA	1%							
% of VH/H/M	3%							
Summary Descriptions of Control Measures Implemented Since MRP Adoption, Other than Full Capture Devices				After taking into account all New or Enhanced (post-MRP) Control Measures	0%	0%	0%	100%
No control measures implemented in this TMA for this FY 13-14.								
Assessment Methods for Control Measures Other than Full Capture Devices								
As part of the City's Long-Term Trash Reduction Plan, we worked collaboratively with other SMCWPPP Permittees to develop our Pilot Trash Assessment Strategy (Strategy), which was submitted to the Water Board in Feb 2014. For areas where control measures other than full capture devices have been implemented, visual on-land trash assessment is the method used to determine the current level of trash in a TMA. Assessments are conducted using a protocol developed by BASMAA member agencies. For each TMA assessed, sites are selected using a probabilistic sample draw to randomly pick sites in a TMA and allow for extrapolation of results within an applicable TMA. Additionally, trash assessment sites may also be targeted to specific streets and properties (these results are not extrapolated). Changes in the level of trash observed via on-land assessments, along with the associated trash generation rates are then used to calculate reductions in trash to-date. The results of the assessments conducted in FY 13-14 are presented below. Additional information on the Strategy, the results of initial assessments, and the method used to calculate % reductions can be found in the Program's FY 13-14 Annual Report.								
Summary of Assessment Results To-date				Estimated % Trash Reduction in TMA due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions	3%			
On-land visual assessments were not conducted in this TMA in FY 13-14 and therefore no load reductions associated control measures other than full capture devices are assumed to have occurred. Assessments may be conducted in subsequent years.								
				Estimated % Trash Reduction Jurisdiction-wide due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions	0%			

C.10.d ► PART C – Estimated Overall Trash Load Reduction

For Population-based Permittees, provide an estimate of the overall trash reduction percentage achieved to-date within the jurisdictional area of your municipality that generates problematic trash levels (i.e., Very High, High or Moderate trash generation). Base the estimate on the information presented in C.10.d – Parts A and B and creek/shoreline cleanups not reported in C.10.b.iii. Provide a statement regarding the confidence in the estimate and challenges and/or successes in measuring progress towards the 40% trash reduction target described in provision C.10.

Discussion of Trash Reduction Estimate:

The preliminary trash load reduction estimates presented in this section provide the best available estimate of trash reduction from the City's municipal separate stormwater sewer system (MS4). These estimates were developed consistent with the trash reduction framework developed in collaboration with Water Board staff in 2013-14, and the Pilot SMCWPPP Trash Assessment Strategy submitted to the Water Board in February 2014. All estimates are based on available information collected by the City, should be considered preliminary at this time, and are subject to revision by Permittees based on additional information on the effectiveness of trash controls, the magnitude and extent of trash control measure implementation, and/or the levels of trash discharged from the City's MS4.

Trash reduction estimates were based on initial data collection efforts that began in FY 13-14 and utilize the verified levels of baseline trash generation in the City. Reductions associated with jurisdictional-wide trash control measures, trash full capture devices, other TMA-specific control measures, and trash cleanup events in local creeks and shorelines are included. Reductions associated with jurisdictional-wide actions are based on a combination of data collection and observations applicable to the City. Reductions associated with trash full capture devices assume that trash generated in areas treated by effectively maintained devices reduce trash to a level of "no adverse impacts" to local water bodies. For control measures other than full capture devices, all reductions estimates are based on empirical observations of current trash levels (i.e., on-land visual assessments) and associated reductions in applicable trash management areas. Reductions associated with creek and shoreline cleanups are based on the amount of trash removed via these cleanups in FY 13-14, in comparison to baseline trash generation in the City.

Estimated % Trash Reduction due to Jurisdictional-wide Actions	13%
Estimated % Trash Reduction due to Trash Full Capture Devices (All TMAs)	4%
Estimated % Trash Reduction due to Other Control Measures (All TMAs)	28%
SubTotal for Above Actions	45%
Estimated % Trash Reduction due to Creek/Shoreline Cleanups (All TMAs)	0%
Total Estimated % Trash Reduction in FY 13-14	45%

Section 11 - Provision C.11 Mercury Controls

C.11.a.i ► Mercury Recycling Efforts

List below or attach lists of efforts to promote, facilitate, and/or participate in collection and recycling of mercury containing devices and equipment at the consumer level (e.g., thermometers, thermostats, switches, bulbs).

Please refer to SMCWPPP's FY 2013/14 Annual Report for details regarding countywide efforts to promote and facilitate collection and recycling of mercury containing devices and equipment at the consumer level through San Mateo County Health Department's Household Hazardous Waste (HHW) Program and Very Small Quantity Generator Business Collection (VSQG) Program.

The City of Pacifica promoted the Household Hazardous Waste (HHW) program during two local events. These include promotion of HHW drop-off events and local businesses that provide residents and small businesses the opportunity to drop-off of mercury-containing devices and equipment (e.g., bulbs, thermostats, thermometers and/or switches) at designated locations on specific dates, times and/or business hours. Provide the name of the agency or business that is responsible for sponsoring or running the HHW program, HHW drop-off event or drop off point.

C.11.a.ii ► Mercury Collection

Provide an estimate of the mass of mercury collected through these efforts, or provide a reference to a report containing this estimate.

Please refer to the FY 13-14 SMCWPPP Annual Report for an estimate of the mass of mercury collected through the San Mateo County Health Department's Household Hazardous Waste (HHW) Program and Very Small Quantity Generator Business Collection (VSQG) Program.

Mercury Containing Device/Equipment	Total Amount of Devices Collected	Estimated Mass of Mercury Collected
Fluorescent Lamps ⁶² (linear feet)		
CFLs ⁶³ (each)		
Thermostats ⁶⁴ (each)		
Thermostats (lbs)		
Thermometers (each)		
Switches (lbs)		

⁶² Only linear fluorescent lamps should be included

⁶³ Only compact fluorescent lamps should be included

⁶⁴ Thermostats can be reported by quantity or by pounds. Whichever unit is used, please avoid double-counting.

Total Mass of Mercury Collected During FY 2013-2014:	
--	--

- C.11.b ▶ Monitor Methylmercury**
- C.11.c ▶ Pilot Projects to Investigate and Abate Mercury Sources in Drainages**
- C.11.d ▶ Pilot Projects to Evaluate and Enhance Municipal Sediment Removal and Management Practices**
- C.11.e ▶ Conduct Pilot Projects to Evaluate On-Site Stormwater Treatment via Retrofit**
- C.11.f ▶ Diversion of Dry Weather and First Flush Flows to POTWs**
- C.11.g ▶ Monitor Stormwater Mercury Pollutant Loads and Loads Reduced**
- C.11.h ▶ Fate and Transport Study of Mercury In Urban Runoff**
- C.11.i ▶ Development of a Risk Reduction Program Implemented Throughout the Region**
- C.11.j ▶ Develop Allocation Sharing Scheme with Caltrans**

State below if information is reported in a separate regional report. Municipalities that participate directly in regional activities to can provide descriptions below.

Summary

A summary of SMCWPPP and regional accomplishments for these sub-provisions are included within the C.11 Mercury Controls section of Program's FY 13-14 Annual Report and March 2014 Integrated Monitoring Report, Parts B and C.

Section 12 - Provision C.12 PCBs Controls

C.12.a.ii,iii ▶ Ongoing Training

(For FY 10-11 Annual Report and Each Annual Report Thereafter) List below or attach description of ongoing training development and inspections for PCB identification, including documentation and referral to appropriate regulatory agencies (e.g. county health departments, Department of Toxic Substances Control, California Department of Public Health, and the Water Board) as necessary.

Description:

City of Pacifica staff attended the April 17 Commercial/Industrial Stormwater Inspector Workshop which contained information on incorporating PCBs and PCBs-containing equipment into inspections. See the FY 13-14 Program Annual Report for a description of training provided countywide.

- C.12.b ▶ Conduct Pilot Projects to Evaluate Managing PCB-Containing Materials and Wastes during Building Demolition and Renovation Activities**
- C.12.c ▶ Pilot Projects to Investigate and Abate On-land Locations with Elevated PCB Concentrations**
- C.12.d ▶ Conduct Pilot Projects to Evaluate and Enhance Municipal Sediment Removal and Management Practices**
- C.12.e ▶ Conduct Pilot Projects to Evaluate On-Site Stormwater Treatment via Retrofit**
- C.12.f ▶ Diversion of Dry Weather and First Flush Flows to POTWs**
- C.12.g ▶ Monitor Stormwater PCB Pollutant Loads and Loads Reduced**
- C.12.h ▶ Fate and Transport Study of PCBs In Urban Runoff**
- C.12.i ▶ Development of a Risk Reduction Program Implemented Throughout the Region**

State below if information is reported in a separate regional report. Municipalities that participate directly in regional activities to can provide descriptions below.

Summary

A summary of SMCWPPP and regional accomplishments for these sub-provisions are included within the C.12 PCBs Controls section of Program's FY 13-14 Annual Report and March 2014 Integrated Monitoring Report, Parts B and C.

Section 13 - Provision C.13 Copper Controls

C.13.a.iii.(2) ► Training, Permitting and Enforcement Activities

(FY 11-12 Annual Report and each Annual Report thereafter) Provide summaries of activities implemented to manage waste generated from cleaning and treating of copper architectural features, including copper roofs, during construction and post-construction including. :

- Development of BMPs on how to manage the water during and post construction
 - Requiring the use of appropriate BMPs when issuing building permits
 - Educating installers and operators on appropriate BMPs
 - Enforcement actions taken against noncompliance
-
- **Development of BMPs: The Countywide Program collaborated with BASAMAA to develop BMPs to manage waste generated from cleaning and treating of copper architectural features, including copper roofs, during construction and post construction.**
 - **Permitting Procedures to Require the BMPs: The San Mateo Countywide Water Pollution Prevention Program (SMCWPPP) Stormwater Requirements Checklist includes architectural copper BMPs in the list of source control measures that may apply to projects. For discretionary permits, the checklist is given to all applicants. The completed checklist is then reviewed with the submitted plans for accuracy and verification that the applicant intends to comply with all applicable measures/BMPs. For all building permits, plans are reviewed for use of copper. If warranted, the above referenced Copper Information/BMP flyer is distributed; use of appropriate BMPs must be indicated on the plans and is then verified in the field.**
 - **Educate Installers and Operators: SMCWPPP prepared an educational flyer describing copper-related MRP requirements and appropriate BMPs. In addition, the informational flyer and list of copper BMPs is available to the public in the City of Pacifica Planning Department reception area. Staff is available for questions during office hours. Lastly, if an applicant indicates they plan to use copper, verbally or on any submitted plans, the above referenced literature is provided directly.**
 - **Enforcement Actions against noncompliance: To date no copper-related enforcement actions have been necessary. Should such a violation occur, enforcement would be consistent with the City's ERP procedures for illicit discharges. If the violation occurred at a construction site, the Code Enforcement Officer would be called immediately to the site and, in collaboration with the building inspector, assess the degree of the violation and take the necessary action. There are 3 types of initial action that may be taken: 1. Verbal Warning (for a Threatened Violation); 2. Written Warning/Notice of Violation (Minor Violation); or 3. Notice to Comply (Major Violation). Failure to comply within 10 days or the next rain would result in escalating enforcement including monetary fines and/or legal action.**

C.13.d.iii ► Industrial Sources Copper Reduction Results

Based upon inspection activities conducted under Provision C.4, highlight copper reduction results achieved among the facilities identified as potential users or sources of copper, facilities inspected, and BMPs addressed.

Summary

No facilities inspected resulted in BMPs being provided to businesses that describe methods of reducing copper in the environment.

Section 14 - Provision C.14 PBDE, Legacy Pesticides and Selenium Controls

Note: There are no reporting requirements in the FY 13-14 Annual Report for Section C.14.

Section 15 - Provision C.15 Exempted and Conditionally Exempted Discharges

C.15.b.iii.(1), C.15.b.iii.(2) ► Planned and Unplanned Discharges of Potable Water

Is your agency a water purveyor?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
If No , skip to C.15.b.vi.(2):				
If Yes , Complete the attached reporting tables or attach your own table with the same information. Provide any clarifying comments below.				
Comments:				

C.15.b.vi.(2) ► Irrigation Water, Landscape Irrigation, and Lawn or Garden Watering

<p>Provide implementation summaries of the required BMPs to promote measures that minimize runoff and pollutant loading from excess irrigation. Generally the categories are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Promote conservation programs • Promote outreach for less toxic pest control and landscape management • Promote use of drought tolerant and native vegetation • Promote outreach messages to encourage appropriate watering/irrigation practices • Implement Illicit Discharge Enforcement Response Plan for ongoing, large volume landscape irrigation runoff.
<p>Summary: The City of Pacifica Planning and Building Departments assist developers and builders comply with the State Water Efficient Landscape ordinance and the City's web site promotes efficient landscaping. The City has adopted policies and guidelines within the City of Pacifica Design Guidelines to address water conservation for new development. A summary of the SMCWPPP efforts can be found in the C.3 New Development and Redevelopment, C.7. Public Information and Outreach and C.9. Pesticide Toxicity Control sections of the SMCWPPP FY 13-14 Annual Report.</p>

C.15.b.iii.(1) ► Planned Discharges of the Potable Water System										
Site/ Location	Discharge Type	Receiving Waterbody(ies)	Date of Discharge	Duration of Discharge (military time)	Estimated Volume (gallons)	Estimated Flow Rate (gallons/day)	Chlorine Residual (mg/L)	pH (standard units)	Discharge Turbidity⁶⁵ (NTU)	Implemented BMPs & Corrective Actions
Not Applicable										

⁶⁵ Monitor the receiving water for turbidity if necessary and feasible. Include data in this column if available.

C.15.b.iii.(2) ► Unplanned Discharges of the Potable Water System ⁶⁶														
Site/ Location	Discharge Type	Receiving Waterbody(ies)	Date of Discharge	Discharge Duration (military time)	Estimated Volume (gallons)	Estimated Flow Rate (gallons/day)	Chlorine Residual (mg/L) ⁶⁷	pH (standard units) ⁵²	Discharge Turbidity (Visual) ⁵²	Implemented BMPs & Corrective Actions	Time of discharge discovery	Regulatory Agency Notification Time ⁶⁸	Inspector arrival time	Responding crew arrival time
Not Applicable														

⁶⁶ This table contains all of the unplanned discharges that occurred in this FY.

⁶⁷ Monitoring data is only required for 10% of the unplanned discharges. If you monitored more than 10% of your unplanned discharges, report all of the data collected.

⁶⁸ Notification to Water Board staff is required for unplanned discharges where the chlorine residual is >0.05 mg/L and total volume is ≥ 50,000 gallons. Notification to State Office of Emergency Services is required after becoming aware of aquatic impacts as a result of unplanned discharge or when the discharge might endanger or compromise public health and safety.

C.4.b.iii(1)
Potential Facilities List
Facilities Inspected by San Mateo County

ATTACHMENT A

FACILITY NAME		STREET NAME	CITY
CABRILLO ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	601	CRESPI	PACIFICA
INGRID B LACY MIDDLE SCHOOL	1427	PALMETTO	PACIFICA
OCEANA HIGH SCHOOL	401	PALOMA	PACIFICA
VALLEMAR SCHOOL	377	REINA DEL MAR	PACIFICA
LINDA MAR SCHOOL/ BUILDING KIDZ	830	ROSITA	PACIFICA
ORTEGA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	1283	TERRA NOVA	PACIFICA
TERRA NOVA HIGH SCHOOL	1450	TERRA NOVA	PACIFICA
KAY HEUNG RESTAURANT #2	446	MANOR PLAZA	PACIFICA
DENNYS RESTAURANT #1589	500	LINDA MAR CENTER	PACIFICA
SHARP PARK RESTAURANT		HWY 1 & SHARP PARK	PACIFICA
SURF LOUNGE	77	AURA VISTA	PACIFICA
SEVEN ELEVEN STORE #14318	100	CLARENDON	PACIFICA
GO SUSHI	190	EUREKA SQUARE	PACIFICA
EL TORO LOCO	1624	FRANCISCO	PACIFICA
PACIFICA THAI CUISINE	1966	FRANCISCO	PACIFICA
SEA BOWL PACIFICA INC	4625	HWY 1	PACIFICA
NEW SUN VALLEY	996	LINDA MAR	PACIFICA
CAMELOT FISH & CHIPS #1	70	MANOR	PACIFICA
SEVEN ELEVEN STORE #2366-14337B	137	MANOR	PACIFICA
COLOMBOS DELICATESSEN	484	MANOR PLAZA	PACIFICA
WALGREENS-PACIFICA	520	PALMETTO	PACIFICA
Safeway 3008	12	Plaza	PACIFICA
NICKS ROCKAWAY	100	ROCKAWAY BEACH	PACIFICA
SUN VALLEY FINE FOODS	1015	TERRA NOVA	PACIFICA
SAVE MORE MEAT MARKET	74	MANOR	PACIFICA
Safeway 0304	1380	Linda Mar	PACIFICA
DONUT TIME	1235	LINDA MAR CENTER	PACIFICA
KANI KOSEN	580	CRESPI	PACIFICA
EL GRANO DE ORO	1710	FRANCISCO	PACIFICA
TACO BELL #2877	5200	HWY 1	PACIFICA
Lucky #739	250	Fairmont	PACIFICA
VALLEMAR STATION SPORTS BAR	2125	HWY 1	PACIFICA
OCEANA MARKET	200	EUREKA SQUARE	PACIFICA
UPPER CRUST DELICATESSEN	1023	TERRA NOVA	PACIFICA
MAZZETTIS BAKERY	101	MANOR	PACIFICA
PACIFIC RESTAURANT	1045	TERRA NOVA	PACIFICA
QUICK STOP MARKET	575	CRESPI	PACIFICA
MITSU	1301	PALMETTO	PACIFICA
PACIFICA FARMERS MARKET	450	MANOR PLAZA	PACIFICA
RITE AID #5891	200	Fairmont	PACIFICA
SAMS CHINESE KITCHEN	793	HICKEY	PACIFICA
LITTLE QUIAPO ASIAN FOOD	753	HICKEY	PACIFICA
MILLER & OBRIEN AUTO REPAIRS	1518	FRANCISCO	PACIFICA
PACIFICA MUFFLER & VOLVO	1042	PALMETTO	PACIFICA
PACIFICA TIRE	4455	HWY 1	PACIFICA
Pacifica Chevron	2095	Cabrillo	PACIFICA
CHEERS OF PACIFICA	1249	LINDA MAR CENTER	PACIFICA
DALLAS PLACE	699	OCEANA	PACIFICA
SEAVIEW TIRE & BRAKE CENTER	66	MANOR	PACIFICA
FAIRMONT DRY CLEAN	773	HICKEY	PACIFICA
PACIFICA COMMUNITY CENTER	540	CRESPI	PACIFICA
PACIFICA SCHOOL DISTRICT	375	REINA DEL MAR	PACIFICA

C.4.b.iii(1)
Potential Facilities List
Facilities Inspected by San Mateo County

FACILITY NAME		STREET NAME	CITY
CHEVRON MINI MART	700	HICKEY	PACIFICA
SHARP PARK GOLF COURSE		HWY 1	PACIFICA
SAN PEDRO VALLEY PARK	600	ODDSTAD	PACIFICA
MHC SAN FRANCISCO RV RESORT LP	700	PALMETTO	PACIFICA
TAMS RESTAURANT	494	MANOR PLAZA	PACIFICA
SALADA BEACH CAFE	220	PALOMA	PACIFICA
ROSS STORE #3	1410	LINDA MAR CENTER	PACIFICA
VIVA ITALIANO RESTAURANT	67	AURA VISTA	PACIFICA
ROCK N ROBS	450	DONDEE	PACIFICA
PACIFIC JAVA	450	DONDEE	PACIFICA
KIBBLEWHITE PRECISION MACHINING	580	CRESPI	PACIFICA
DOLLAR TREE STORE #3847	787	HICKEY	PACIFICA
PACIFICA CHEVRON	100	MILAGRA	PACIFICA
DAVE & LOUS SERVICE	505	LINDA MAR	PACIFICA
SIMONS AUTO WERKS	1518	FRANCISCO	PACIFICA
FAIRMONT SERVICE CENTER	700	HICKEY	PACIFICA
AT&T California - P2019	325	Reina Del Mar	PACIFICA
EDDIES UNION 76 SERVICE	498	PALMETTO	PACIFICA
HACKS AUTO BODY INC	118	MONTEREY	PACIFICA
SANFORD FIRESTONE	705	HICKEY	PACIFICA
THE CAFE	1821	PALMETTO	PACIFICA
FOG CITY JAVA	580	CRESPI	PACIFICA
VAN GO PAINTING	1125	PALMETTO	PACIFICA
PG&E: PACIFICA SUBSTATION			PACIFICA
EL GRAN AMIGO #2	1357	LINDA MAR CENTER	PACIFICA
AMERICAN SUSHI HOUSE	761	HICKEY	PACIFICA
NORTH COAST COUNTY WATER DIST	2400	FRANCISCO	PACIFICA
CHIT CHAT CAFE	5	MANOR	PACIFICA
SUSHI KOKO	330	PALMETTO	PACIFICA
LEDU RESTAURANT	749	HICKEY	PACIFICA
PACIFICA CORP YARD	675	OCEANA	PACIFICA
STARBUCKS COFFEE	1227	LINDA MAR CENTER	PACIFICA
CHEVRON	2095	CABRILLO HIGHWAY	PACIFICA
PACIFICA CHEVRON	100	MILAGRA	PACIFICA
PACIFICA 76	765	ODDSTAD	PACIFICA
PALM CITY FAST FOOD RESTAURANT	1328	LINDA MAR CENTER	PACIFICA
DOMINOS PIZZA	1301	PALMETTO	PACIFICA
LUIGI THE PIZZERIA	950	LINDA MAR	PACIFICA
CALERA CREEK WASTEWATER PLANT	700	HWY 1	PACIFICA
STARBUCKS COFFEE	791	HICKEY	PACIFICA
WHAT IT IS	1137	PALMETTO	PACIFICA
CHIT CHAT CAFE AT THE PIER	2100	BEACH	PACIFICA
SUNSET RIDGE SCHOOL	340	INVERNESS	PACIFICA
SHARP PARK RESTAURANT		HWY 1 & SHARP PARK	PACIFICA
OCEAN SHORE SCHOOL	411	OCEANA	PACIFICA
O'Reilly Auto Parts #3562	133	Manor	PACIFICA
TONY'S MOBILE AUTO REPAIR	1050A	PALMETTO	PACIFICA
ALMA HEIGHTS CHRISTIAN ACADEMY	1030	LINDA MAR	PACIFICA
BAY COFFEE CO	330	PALMETTO	PACIFICA
SAVE MART SUPERMARKETS	250	FAIRMONT	PACIFICA
RAYMONDS CHINESE CUISINE	1709	PALMETTO	PACIFICA
L & L HAWAIIAN BBQ	1231	LINDA MAR CENTER	PACIFICA

C.4.b.iii(1)
Potential Facilities List
Facilities Inspected by San Mateo County

FACILITY NAME		STREET NAME	CITY
COLDSTONE CREAMERY	1319	LINDA MAR CENTER	PACIFICA
SMCO PHHW	1046	PALMETTO	PACIFICA
PEDRO POINT CREATIVE	1275	DANMANN	PACIFICA
GORILLA BARBEQUE, LLC	2145	HWY 1	PACIFICA
GOODFELLAS PIZZA	1041	TERRA NOVA	PACIFICA
EUREKA CLEANERS	160	EUREKA SQUARE	PACIFICA
ERNIE WINE & LIQUOR	757	HICKEY	PACIFICA
PACIFICA 76	765	ODDSTAD	PACIFICA
P-TOWN CAFE	152	REINA DEL MAR	PACIFICA
24 HOUR FITNESS	555	OCEANA	PACIFICA
HIGH TIDE	5500	HWY 1	PACIFICA
SURF SPOT	4627	COAST HIGHWAY	PACIFICA
SONNYS RISTORANTE PIZZERIA	1780	FRANCISCO	PACIFICA
WALGREENS 11261	520	PALMETTO	PACIFICA
A GRAPE IN THE FOG	400	OLD COUNTY	PACIFICA
VY COFFEE	1715	PALMETTO	PACIFICA
BLEYLE ELEVATOR INC	580	CRESPI	PACIFICA
Coast Hwy. Shell #145	4475	Coast	PACIFICA
Gateway Shell #146	679	Hickey	PACIFICA
RECOLOGY OF THE COAST	1046	PALMETTO	PACIFICA
CIGARS PLUS	1339	LINDA MAR	PACIFICA
RECOLOGY OF THE COAST	2305	PALMETTO	PACIFICA
SUBWAY	330	PALMETTO	PACIFICA
PACIFICA SHELL	95	BILL DRAKE	PACIFICA
SUBWAY SANDWICH	1320	LINDA MAR	PACIFICA
PACIFICA AUTO TRANSMISSION SHOP	931	PALMETTO	PACIFICA
TOM'S AUTO BODY	2085	HWY 1	PACIFICA
THE LONGBOARD MARGARITA BAR	180	EUREKA SQUARE	PACIFICA
PACIFICAKES	1625	PALMETTO	PACIFICA
SUN VALLEY MARKET	230	REINA DEL MAR	PACIFICA
PACIFICA ATHLETIC CENTER	640	CRESPI	PACIFICA
RITE AID #5890	1400	Linda Mar	PACIFICA
THE CANDY OASIS	1905	PALMETTO	PACIFICA
MOONRAKER	105	ROCKAWAY BEACH	PACIFICA
LA MORDIDA PACIFICA	535	OCEANA	PACIFICA
FMC AUTOMOTIVE SERVICES	1137	PALMETTO	PACIFICA
THE POINT PIZZA & PASTA	5430	HWY 1	PACIFICA
QUICK MART	2480	SKYLINE	PACIFICA
SEAHORSE SALOON	1467	ROSITA	PACIFICA
CITY GRILL	1049	TERRA NOVA	PACIFICA
AUTO RG	700	HICKEY	PACIFICA
BEACH MONKEY CAFE	986	LINDA MAR	PACIFICA
LOVEYS TEA SHOPPE	4430	COAST HIGHWAY	PACIFICA
ROUND TABLE PIZZA	1285	LINDA MAR SHOPPING CENTER	PACIFICA
PUERTO 27	525	CRESPI	PACIFICA
TOAST CATERING EVENT MANAGEMENT	404	SAN PEDRO	PACIFICA
GUERRERO TAQUERIA	164	REINA DEL MAR	PACIFICA
PERFECT POUR	188	CLARENDON	PACIFICA
OK LIQUORS	1021	TERRA NOVA	PACIFICA
DINOSAURS SANDWICHES	50	EUREKA SQUARE	PACIFICA
PACIFICA U SAVE	81	AURA VISTA	PACIFICA
OCEAN FRESH FISH	2500	FRANCISCO	PACIFICA

C.4.b.iii(1)
Potential Facilities List
Facilities Inspected by San Mateo County

FACILITY NAME		STREET NAME	CITY
GUERRERO TAQUERIA 2	713	HICKEY	PACIFICA
OCEAN FISH JAPANESE CUISINE	455	OCEANA	PACIFICA
BREAKERS	145	ROCKAWAY BEACH	PACIFICA
8 SUSHI	2470	SKYLINE	PACIFICA
RICE N ROLL	683	MANOR	PACIFICA
JEFF FOOD TEA WORLD	90	EUREKA SQUARE	PACIFICA
PAPA MURPHY'S	330	PALMETTO	PACIFICA

C.4.b.iii.(2)
Facilities Scheduled for Inspection in FY14-15

ATTACHMENT B

FACILITY NAME	SITE ADDRESS	Inspection Due Date	Inspection Frequency
ALMA HEIGHTS CHRISTIAN ACADEMY	1030 LINDA MAR BLVD		3090
WHAT IT IS	1137 PALMETTO AVE		3090
TERRA NOVA HIGH SCHOOL	1450 TERRA NOVA BLVD		3090
OCEANA HIGH SCHOOL	401 PALOMA AVE		3090
FAIRMONT SERVICE CENTER	700 HICKEY BLVD		3090
PACIFICA AUTO TRANSMISSION SHOP	931 PALMETTO AVE		3090
VAN GO PAINTING			3090
WALGREENS 11261			3090
SMCO PHHW	1046 PALMETTO AVE	7/22/2013	3090
RECOLOGY OF THE COAST	1046 PALMETTO AVE	11/21/2013	3090
FMC AUTOMOTIVE SERVICES	1137 PALMETTO AVE B	11/29/2013	3090
PACIFICA ATHLETIC CENTER	640 B CRESPI DR	1/10/2014	3090
LUIGI THE PIZZERIA	950 LINDA MAR BLVD	1/30/2014	3090
CABRILLO ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	601 CRESPI DR	5/17/2014	3090
PACIFICA SCHOOL DISTRICT	375 REINA DEL MAR	5/18/2014	3090
NORTH COAST COUNTY WATER DIST	2400 FRANCISCO BLVD	5/22/2014	3090
CITY GRILL	1049 TERRA NOVA BLVD	5/29/2014	3090
SURF SPOT	4627 COAST HIGHWAY	7/2/2014	3090
MILLER & OBRIEN AUTO REPAIRS	1518 FRANCISCO BLVD A	7/11/2014	3090
SAVE MORE MEAT MARKET	74 W MANOR DR	7/17/2014	3090
SHARP PARK RESTAURANT	HWY 1 & SHARP PARK RD	7/25/2014	3090
FAIRMONT DRY CLEAN	773 HICKEY BLVD	8/8/2014	3090
Lucky #739		8/8/2014	3090
TAMS RESTAURANT	494 MANOR PLAZA	8/14/2014	3090
KAY HEUNG RESTAURANT #2	446 MANOR PLAZA	9/17/2014	3090
SHARP PARK GOLF COURSE	HWY 1	9/17/2014	3090
SUNSET RIDGE SCHOOL	340 INVERNESS DR	9/18/2014	3090
LEDU RESTAURANT	749 HICKEY BLVD	9/19/2014	3090
AMERICAN SUSHI HOUSE	761 HICKEY BLVD	9/19/2014	3090
SHARP PARK RESTAURANT	HWY 1 & SHARP PARK RD	9/26/2014	3090
Gateway Shell #146	679 HICKEY BLVD	9/28/2014	3090
OCEAN SHORE SCHOOL	411 OCEANA BLVD	10/1/2014	3090
DALLAS PLACE	699 OCEANA BLVD	10/1/2014	3090
INGRID B LACY MIDDLE SCHOOL	1427 PALMETTO AVE	10/2/2014	3090
RAYMONDS CHINESE CUISINE	1709 PALMETTO AVE	10/2/2014	3090
ORTEGA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	1283 TERRA NOVA BLVD	10/3/2014	3090
PALM CITY FAST FOOD RESTAURANT	1328 LINDA MAR CENTER	10/11/2014	3090
ROCK N ROBS	450 DONDEE ST #1	10/17/2014	3090
PACIFICA SHELL	95 BILL DRAKE WY	10/18/2014	3090
VALLEMAR SCHOOL	377 REINA DEL MAR	10/23/2014	3090
LINDA MAR SCHOOL/ BUILDING KIDZ	830 ROSITA RD	10/23/2014	3090
GORILLA BARBEQUE, LLC	2145 HWY 1	10/24/2014	3090
SUSHI KOKO	330 PALMETTO AVE #A	10/24/2014	3090
CHEERS OF PACIFICA	1249 LINDA MAR CENTER	11/6/2014	3090
COLDSTONE CREAMERY	1319 LINDA MAR CENTER	11/6/2014	3090
CIGARS PLUS	1339 LINDA MAR	11/6/2014	3090
SAVE MART SUPERMARKETS	250 FAIRMONT	11/7/2014	3090
COLOBOS DELICATESSEN	484 MANOR PLAZA	11/8/2014	3090
SUBWAY SANDWICH	1320 LINDA MAR	11/13/2014	3090
PACIFICA FARMERS MARKET	450 MANOR PLAZA	12/4/2014	3090
CAMELOT FISH & CHIPS #1	70 W MANOR DR	12/4/2014	3090

C.4.b.iii.(2)
Facilities Scheduled for Inspection in FY14-15

FACILITY NAME	SITE ADDRESS	Inspection Due Date	Inspection Frequency
RECOLOGY OF THE COAST	2305 PALMETTO AVE	12/6/2014	3090
CALERA CREEK WASTEWATER PLANT	700 HWY 1	12/21/2014	3090
PACIFICA CHEVRON	100 MILAGRA DR	12/26/2014	3090
CHEVRON	2095 CABRILLO HIGHWAY	1/14/2015	3090
MAZZETTIS BAKERY	101 MANOR DR	1/15/2015	3090
PEDRO POINT CREATIVE	1275 DANMANN AVE	1/22/2015	3090
KANI KOSEN	580 CRESPI DR A-5	1/22/2015	3090
PACIFICA TIRE	4455 HWY 1	1/24/2015	3090
SEA BOWL PACIFICA INC	4625 HWY 1	2/5/2015	3090
STARBUCKS COFFEE	1227 LINDA MAR CENTER	2/15/2015	3090
L & L HAWAIIAN BBQ	1231 LINDA MAR CENTER	2/15/2015	3090
PACIFICA COMMUNITY CENTER	540 CRESPI DR	2/15/2015	3090
BEACH MONKEY CAFE	986 LINDA MAR BLVD	2/15/2015	3090
STARBUCKS COFFEE	791 HICKEY BLVD	2/25/2015	3090
NICKS ROCKAWAY	100 ROCKAWAY BEACH AVE	3/5/2015	3090
TOAST CATERING EVENT MANAGEMENT	404 SAN PEDRO AVE	3/14/2015	3090
THE POINT PIZZA & PASTA	5430 HWY 1	3/15/2015	3090
CHIT CHAT CAFE AT THE PIER	2100 BEACH BLVD	3/19/2015	3090
Safeway 3008	12 Plaza Dr	3/20/2015	3090
SEVEN ELEVEN STORE #14318	100 CLARENDON RD #A	3/28/2015	3090
EL GRAN AMIGO #2	1357 LINDA MAR CENTER	4/2/2015	3090
HIGH TIDE	5500 HWY 1	4/2/2015	3090
QUICK STOP MARKET	575 CRESPI DR	4/2/2015	3090
TONY'S MOBILE AUTO REPAIR	1050A PALMETTO AVE	4/23/2015	3090
WALGREENS-PACIFICA	520 PALMETTO AVE	5/14/2015	3090
CHEVRON MINI MART	700 HICKEY BLVD	5/14/2015	3090
AUTO RG	700 HICKEY BLVD	5/14/2015	3090
LOVEYS TEA SHOPPE	4430 COAST HIGHWAY	5/21/2015	3090
PACIFICA 76	765 ODDSTAD BLVD	5/21/2015	3090
A GRAPE IN THE FOG	400 OLD COUNTY RD 1	5/30/2015	3090
Pacifica Chevron	2095 Cabrillo Hwy	6/10/2015	3090

Inspection Frequency: 3091 = annual; 3090 = every two years; 3095 = every 5 years (shared property with other regulated facilities)

www.ecowisecertified.org



Integrated Pest Management

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLETION

Derek Lobo

has successfully completed the requirements for

EcoWise Certified Practitioner

on

February 10, 2012

Certificate Expires on February 9, 2015

Certificate No. 40
(verify at www.ecowisecertified.org)

Cell Scandone
Senior Regional Planner
Association of Bay Area Governments



Administered by
Association of Bay Area Governments
www.abag.ca.gov

William Quarles
Program Manager
EcoWise Certified



QualityPro green

Presenting this certificate of excellence to

Gerald Jewell

in acknowledgment of your continuing efforts toward professional excellence and environmental awareness in the pest management industry by meeting the QualityPro Green requirements and achieving the mark of excellence in pest management.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Bob Loden".

official signature

