COUNTY OF SAN MATEO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

James C. Porter Director

County Government Center 555 County Center, 5th Floor Redwood City, CA 94063 650-363-4100 T 650-361-8220 F www.smcgov.org

September 14, 2015

Mr. Bruce H. Wolfe **Executive Officer** San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board 1515 Clay Street, Suite 1400 Oakland, CA 94612

Re: San Mateo County Flood Control District FY 2014/15 Annual Report

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

This letter and Annual Report with attachments is submitted by the San Mateo County Flood Control District pursuant to Permit Provision C.16.a of the Municipal Regional Stormwater NPDES Permit (MRP), Order R2-2009-0074, NPDES Permit No CAS612008 issued by the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board. The Annual Report provides documentation of compliance activities conducted during FY 2014/15 and related accomplishments.

Please contact Julie Casagrande at (650) 599-1457 or Mark Chow at (650) 599-1489 regarding any questions or concerns.

Very truly yours,

James C. Porter Duly Authorized Representative Director of Public Works RCE No. 48056

JCP:AMS:MC:EG:JC

G:\Users\utility\watershed_protection\STOPPP_NPDES\NPDES\MRP\2014_2015 Annual Report\SMCFCD_FY14-15_AnnualReportCoverLetter.doc

Certification Statement, San Mateo County Flood Control District FY 2014/15 Annual **Enclosures:**

Report

Ann M. Stillman, P.E., Deputy Director, Engineering and Resource Protection cc:

Mark Chow, P.E., Principal Civil Engineer, Utilities-Flood Control-Watershed Protection

Julie Casagrande, Watershed Protection Specialist, Utilities-Flood Control-Watershed Protection



SAN MATEO COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT FY 2014/15 ANNUAL REPORT

Certification Statement

"I certify, under penalty of law, that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to ensure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted, is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Signature of Duly Authorized Representative:

James C. Porter

Director of Public Works

RCE No. 48056

Date

Permittee Name: San Mateo County Flood Control District

Table of Contents

| Section | Page |
|--|------|
| Section 1 – Permittee Information | 1-1 |
| Section 2 – Provision C.2 Municipal Operations | 2-1 |
| Section 3 – Provision C.3 New Development and Redevelopment | 3-1 |
| Section 4 – Provision C.4 Industrial and Commercial Site Controls | 4-1 |
| Section 5 – Provision C.5 Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination | 5-1 |
| Section 6 – Provision C.6 Construction Site Controls | 6-1 |
| Section 7 – Provision C.7 Public Information and Outreach | 7-1 |
| Section 8 – Provision C.8 Water Quality Monitoring | 8-1 |
| Section 9 – Provision C.9 Pesticides Toxicity Controls | 9-1 |
| Section 10 – Provision C.10 Trash Load Reduction | 10-1 |
| Section 11 - Provision C.11 Mercury Controls | |
| Section 12 – Provision C.12 PCBs Controls | 12-1 |
| Section 13 – Provision C.13 Copper Controls | 13-1 |
| Section 14 – Provision C.14 PBDE, Legacy Pesticides and Selenium Controls | 14-1 |
| Section 15 – Provision C.15 Exempted and Conditionally Exempted Discharges | 15-1 |

Section 1 – Permittee Information

| Backg | round Informa | ation | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|---------------|------------------------------|--|---------|---------|------|------|---|----------------|--|--|
| Permitte | ee Name: | San Mateo C | County Flo | od Control Di | strict | | | | | | | |
| Populat | ion: | Non-populat | ion based | Permittee | | | | | | | | |
| NPDES P | Permit No.: | CAS612008 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Order N | lumber: | R2-2009-0074R | | | | | | | | | | |
| Reportir | ng Time Period (m | nonth/year): | July 201 | 4 through Jun | ne 2015 | | | | | | | |
| Name o | of the Responsible | Authority: | James C | James C. Porter Title: | | | | | Director, Department of Public Works | | | |
| Mailing | Address: | | 555 Cou | 555 County Center, 5 th Floor | | | | | | | | |
| City: | Redwood City | | • | Zip Code: | | Co | | | San Mateo | | | |
| Telepho | one Number: | | (650) 363-4100 Fax Nu | | | Fax Num | ber: | | | (650) 361-8220 | | |
| E-mail A | Address: | | jporter@smcgov.org | | | | | | | | | |
| Manage | of the Designated ement Program C nt from above): | | Julie Casagrande | | | | | Wate | Watershed Protection Specialist | | | |
| Departr | ment: | | Public Works | | | | | | | | | |
| Mailing | Address: | 555 County (| Center, 5 th | Floor | | | | | | | | |
| City: | Redwood City | | | Zip Code: | 94063 | | | (| County: | San Mateo | | |
| Telepho | one Number: | | (650) 599-1457 Fax Nu | | | Fax Num | ber: | • | | (650) 361-8220 | | |
| E-mail A | Address: | | jcasagra | ande@smcgc | ov.org | | | | | | | |

Section 2 - Provision C.2 Reporting Municipal Operations

Program Highlights and Evaluation

Highlight/summarize activities for reporting year:

Summary:

During FY14-15, the San Mateo County Flood Control District (SMCFCD) and Department of Public Works (DPW) staff regularly attended and participated in NPDES Technical Advisory Committee and Municipal Maintenance Subcommittee's Trash Workgroup meetings. SMCFCD continued implementation of the Colma Creek Maintenance Monitoring Program including 4 routine quarterly inspections and coordination of 16 work days for the Sheriff's Work Program, 3 cleanup events by DPW Roads Division maintenance staff, and 7 volunteer events involving litter and debris cleanups and removal of non-native vegetation within the lower reach of Colma Creek. SMCFCD staff also conducted pump station monitoring (dry and wet weather) and routine inspections for the San Bruno Creek Flood Control Zone as required by the MRP. All SMCFCD maintenance activities (vegetation management and mitigation site vegetation maintenance) were completed in accordance with the MRP and the County of San Mateo Watershed Protection Maintenance Standards (2004). Please see the C.2 Municipal Operations section of the SMCWPPP FY 14-15 Annual Report for a description of activities implemented at the countywide level.

C.2.a. ► Street and Road Repair and Maintenance

Place a **Y** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were implemented. If not applicable, type **NA** in the box and provide an explanation in the comments section below. Place an **N** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were not implemented for one or more of these activities during the reporting fiscal year, then in the comments section below provide an explanation of when BMPs were not implemented and the corrective actions taken.

- N/A Control of debris and waste materials during road and parking lot installation, repaving or repair maintenance activities from polluting stormwater
- N/A Control of concrete slurry and wastewater, asphalt, pavement cutting, and other street and road maintenance materials and wastewater from discharging to storm drains from work sites.
- N/A Sweeping and/or vacuuming and other dry methods to remove debris, concrete, or sediment residues from work sites upon completion of work.

Comments:

The SMCFCD did not perform any street or road repair and maintenance during FY14-15. Maintenance activities only involved vegetation maintenance at two San Bruno Creek Flood Control Zone pump stations, the San Bruno Creek Flood Control Zone Cupid Row Canal channel, and the Colma Creek Flood Control Zone mitigation sites.

C.2.b. ► Sidewalk/Plaza Maintenance and Pavement Washing

Place a **Y** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were implemented. If not applicable, type **NA** in the box and provide an explanation in the comments section below. Place an **N** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were not implemented for one or more of these activities during the reporting fiscal year, then in the comments section below provide an explanation of when BMPs were not implemented and the corrective actions taken.

N/A

Control of wash water from pavement washing, mobile cleaning, pressure wash operations at parking lots, garages, trash areas, gas station fueling areas, and sidewalk and plaza cleaning activities from polluting stormwater

N/A

Implementation of the BASMAA Mobile Surface Cleaner Program BMPs

Comments:

The SMCFCD did not perform or contract for any sidewalk, plaza, or pavement washing during FY14-15.

C.2.c. ▶ Bridge and Structure Maintenance and Graffiti Removal

Place a **Y** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were implemented. If not applicable, type **NA** in the box and provide an explanation in the comments section below. Place an **N** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were not implemented for one or more of these activities during the reporting fiscal year, then in the comments section below provide an explanation of when BMPs were not implemented and the corrective actions taken.

- N/A Control of discharges from bridge and structural maintenance activities directly over water or into storm drains
- N/A Control of discharges from graffiti removal activities
- N/A Proper disposal for wastes generated from bridge and structure maintenance and graffiti removal activities
- N/A Implementation of the BASMAA Mobile Surface Cleaner Program BMPs for graffiti removal
- N/A Employee training on proper capture and disposal methods for wastes generated from bridge and structural maintenance and graffiti removal activities.
 - Contract specifications requiring proper capture and disposal methods for wastes generated from bridge and structural maintenance and graffiti removal activities.

Comments:

N/A

The SMCFCD did not perform any bridge and structure maintenance or graffiti removal during FY14-15.

Avenue, San Bruno

San Bruno

San Bruno

Angus Pump Station, 7th Avenue & Angus Avenue,

Angus Pump Station, 7th Avenue & Angus Avenue,

C.2.d. ► Stormwater Pump Stations

Permittee Name: San Mateo County Flood Control District

| Does your municipality own stormwater pump sto | ıtions: | Χ | Yes | No | No | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| If your answer is No then skip to C.2.e. | | - | | | | | | | | |
| Complete the following table for dry weather DO stations). If a pump station is exempt from DO ma | | | | or pump station | s ¹ (add more ro | ws for addition | qmuq lk | | | |
| | | | | | st inspection eather DO Date | | nd inspection eather DO Data | | | |
| Pump Station Name and Location | | | | Date | - J. | | · | | | |
| Walnut Pump Station, 7th Avenue & Walnut Aven | | | | 9/11/2 | | | | | | |
| Angus Pump Station, 7th Avenue & Angus Avenu | e, San Bruno | | | 9/11/2 | 014 8.1 | 1 9/24/2 | 014 4.8 | | | |
| Attachments: N/A | | | | | | | | | | |
| Complete the following table for wet weather ins | pection data for | pump stati | ons (ac | dd more rows fo | or additional pu | mp stations): | | | | |
| Pump Station Name and Location | Date (2x/year required) | Presence Trash (Cubic Y | | Presence of Odor (Yes or No) | Presence of Color (Yes or No) | Presence of Turbidity (Yes or No) | Presence of Floating Hydrocarbons (Yes or No) | | | |
| Walnut Pump Station, 7th Avenue & Walnut Avenue, San Bruno | 2/9/2015 | <0.1 | - | No | Yes | No | No | | | |
| Walnut Pump Station, 7th Avenue & Walnut | 4/7/2015 | 0.1 | | No | Yes | Yes (low) | + | | | |

< 0.1

< 0.1

2/9/2015

4/7/2015

No

No

No

No

Yes (med)

Yes (high)

No

Yes (low)

2-3 4/1/15 FY 14-15 AR Form

¹ DO monitoring is exempted where all discharge from a pump station remains in a stormwater collection system or infiltrates into a dry creek immediately downstream.

| C.2.e. ▶ Rural Public Works Construction and Maintenance | | | _ | |
|---|----------|------------------|---------|--|
| Does your municipality own/maintain rural ² roads: | | Yes | Χ | No |
| If your answer is No then skip to C.2.f . | | | · • | |
| Place a \mathbf{Y} in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were implement explanation in the comments section below. Place an \mathbf{N} in the boxes next to a more of these activities during the reporting fiscal year, then in the comments implemented and the corrective actions taken. | ctivitie | es where appli | cable | BMPs were not implemented for one or |
| Control of road-related erosion and sediment transport from road design | n, cons | struction, mair | ntenai | nce, and repairs in rural areas |
| Identification and prioritization of rural road maintenance based on soil | erosio | n potential, slo | pe ste | eepness, and stream habitat resources |
| No impact to creek functions including migratory fish passage during co | nstruc | tion of roads o | and c | ulverts |
| Inspection of rural roads for structural integrity and prevention of impact | on wo | ater quality | | |
| Maintenance of rural roads adjacent to streams and riparian habitat to erosion | reduc | e erosion, repl | ace c | damaging shotgun culverts and excessive |
| Re-grading of unpaved rural roads to slope outward where consistent was appropriate | ith roa | d engineering | g safet | ty standards, and installation of water bars |
| Inclusion of measures to reduce erosion, provide fish passage, and main design of new culverts or bridge crossings | tain n | atural stream (| geom | orphology when replacing culverts or |
| Comments including listing increased maintenance in priority areas: | | | | |

FY 14-15 AR Form 2-4 4/1/15

²Rural means any watershed or portion thereof that is developed with large lot home-sites, such as one acre or larger, or with primarily agricultural, grazing or open space uses.

Corporation Yard Name

N/A

Follow-up Actions

Permittee Name: San Mateo County Flood Control District

(1x/year required)

| C.2 | .f. ▶Corporation Yard BMP Implementation |
|----------------------------|--|
| Plac | ce an X in the boxes below that apply to your corporations yard(s): |
| Χ | We do not have a corporation yard |
| | Our corporation yard is a filed NOI facility and regulated by the California State Industrial Stormwater NPDES General Permit |
| | We have a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) for the Corporation Yard(s) |
| app | ce an X in the boxes below next to implemented SWPPP BMPs to indicate that these BMPs were implemented in applicable instances. If not blicable, type NA in the box. If one or more of the BMPs were not adequately implemented during the reporting fiscal year then indicate so explain in the comments section below: |
| N/A | Control of pollutant discharges to storm drains such as wash waters from cleaning vehicles and equipment |
| N/A | Routine inspection prior to the rainy seasons of corporation yard(s) to ensure non-stormwater discharges have not entered the storm drain system |
| N/A | Containment of all vehicle and equipment wash areas through plumbing to sanitary or another collection method |
| N/A | Use of dry cleanup methods when cleaning debris and spills from corporation yard(s) or collection of all wash water and disposing of wash water to sanitary or other location where it does not impact surface or groundwater when wet cleanup methods are used |
| N/A | Cover and/or berm outdoor storage areas containing waste pollutants |
| The crev thes SMC | nments: SMCFCD does not have a Corporation Yard or dedicated maintenance staff and instead utilizes the DPW Road Services Division maintenance of for routine maintenance activities. The DPW Road Services Division has several Corporation Yards. Routine inspections were conducted at the facilities and are reported in the FY14-15 Annual Report for the County of San Mateo. No violations were reported. Eleven DPW and CFCD staff attended the April 2015 Corporation Yard (Corp Yard) Stormwater BMPs Trainings to learn more about appropriate Corp Yard BMPs, PPP elements, and specific MRP requirements. |
| | bu have a corporation yard(s) that is not an NOI facility, complete the following table for inspection results for your corporation yard(s) or summary including the following information: Inspection Date |

Inspection Findings/Results

C.3 – New Development and Redevelopment

Permittee Name: San Mateo County Flood Control District

Section 3 - Provision C.3 Reporting New Development and Redevelopment

Section generally not applicable to San Mateo County Flood Control District

C.3.b.v.(2)(a) ▶ Green Streets Status Report

(All projects to be completed by December 1, 2014)

On an annual basis (if applicable), report on the status of any pilot green street projects within your jurisdiction. For each completed project, report the capital costs, operation and maintenance costs, legal and procedural arrangements in place to address operation and maintenance and its associated costs, and the sustainable landscape measures incorporated in the project including, if relevant, the score from the Bay-Friendly Landscape Scorecard.

Summary:

The C.3 New Development and Redevelopment section of the SMCWPPP FY 14-15 Annual Report includes a description of activities conducted at the countywide or regional level.

C.3.b.v.(1) ▶ Regulated Projects Reporting

Fill in attached table C.3.b.v.(1) or attach your own table including the same information.

N/A- The SMCFCD does not have land use or regulatory authority over the types of projects (i.e., private) that may qualify as Regulated Projects. The only projects that may fall into this category are future flood control capital projects. No flood control capital projects meeting the Regulated Project criteria were approved or implemented during this reporting period.

| C | N/A– The SMCFCD does not have land use or regulatory authority over the types of projects (i.e., private) that may qualify as Regulated Projects. | | | | | |
|--|---|---|-----|--|----|--|
| (For FY 11-12 Annual Report and each Annual Report thereafter) Is your agency choosing to require 100% LID treatment onsite for all Regulated Proje and not allow alternative compliance under Provision C.3.e.? | ects | X | Yes | | No | |
| Comments (optional): The County did not allow alternative compliance under Provision C.3.e. this fiscal ve | ear. | | | | | |

C.3 – New Development and Redevelopment

Permittee Name: San Mateo County Flood Control District

(4) During the reporting year, did your agency:

| C.3.e.vi ► Special Projects Reporting | N/A– The SMCFCD does not have land use or regulatory authority over the types of projects (i.e., private) that may qualify as Special Projects. | | | | | | |
|--|---|--------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1. Has your agency received, but not yet granted final discretionary approval of, development permit application for a project that has been identified as a poter Special Project based on criteria listed in MRP Provision C.3.e.ii(2) for any of the the categories of Special Projects (Categories A, B or C)? | ntial | Yes | No | | | | |
| 2. Has your agency granted final discretionary approval of a project identified as Special Project in the March 15, 2015 report? If yes, include the project in both the C.3.b.v.(1)Table, and the C.3.e.vi. Table. | | Yes | No | | | | |
| If you answered "Yes" to either question, 1) Complete Table C.3.e.vi .below. 2) Attach narrative discussion of 100% LID Feasibility or Infeasibility for each | project. | | | | | | |
| C.3.h.iv. ► Installed Stormwater Treatment Systems Operation and Maintenance Verification Inspection Program Reporting | | | y no stormwater treatment measures or MCFCD's jurisdiction. | | | | |
| (1) Fill in attached table C.3.h.iv.(1) or attach your own table including the so | ame informo | ition. | | | | | |
| (2) On an annual basis, provide a discussion of the inspection findings for the year treatment systems and/or HM controls. This discussion should include a gener | | | | | | | |
| Summary: | | | | | | | |
| (3) On an annual basis, provide a discussion of the effectiveness of the O&M Pro (e.g., changes in prioritization plan or frequency of O&M inspections, other ch | | | | | | | |
| Summary: | | | | | | | |

C.3 – New Development and Redevelopment

Permittee Name: San Mateo County Flood Control District

| Inspect all newly installed stormwater treatment systems and HM controls within 45 days of installation? | Yes | | No | Not applicable. No new facilities were installed. |
|---|-----|---|----|---|
| Inspect at least 20 percent of the total number of installed stormwater treatment systems or HM controls? | Yes | | No | Not applicable. No treatment measures |
| Inspect at least 20 percent of the total number of installed vault-based systems? | Yes | | No | Not applicable. No vault systems. |
| If you answered "No" to any of the questions above, please explain: | | * | | |

C.3.i. ► Required Site Design Measures for Small Projects and Detached Single Family Home Projects

N/A – The SMCFCD does not have land use or regulatory authority for these types of projects.

On an annual basis, discuss the implementation of the requirements of Provision C.3.i, including ordinance revisions, permit conditions, development of standard specifications and/or guidance materials, and staff training.

Summary:

FY 14-15 AR Form 3-3 4/1/15

 $^{^3}$ If there is only 1 treatment measure in the jurisdiction, the agency must inspect it every year.

| C.3.b.v.(1) ► Re Period | egulated Projects Reportin | g Table (part 1) – F | Projects Ap | proved During the Fis | cal Year Reporting | N/A | | | |
|-----------------------------|--|----------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Project Name Project No. | Project Location ¹⁰ , Street Address | Name of Developer | Project Phase No. ¹¹ | Project Type & Description ¹² | Project Watershed ¹³ | Total Site Area (Acres) | Total New Impervious Surface Area (ft²)14 | Total Pre- Project Impervious Surface Area ¹⁶ (f† ²) | Total Post- Project Impervious Surface Area ¹⁷ (ft ²) |

No SMCFCD Regulated Projects.

3-4 4/1/15 FY 14-15 AR Form

¹⁰Include cross streets

¹¹If a project is being constructed in phases, indicate the phase number and use a separate row entry for each phase. If not, enter "NA".

¹²Project Type is the type of development (i.e., new and/or redevelopment). Example descriptions of development are: 5-story office building, residential with 160 single-family homes with five 4-story buildings to contain 200 condominiums, 100 unit 2-story shopping mall, mixed use retail and residential development (apartments), industrial warehouse.

¹³State the watershed(s) in which the Regulated Project is located. Downstream watershed(s) may be included, but this is optional. ¹⁴All impervious surfaces added to any area of the site that was previously existing pervious surface.

¹⁵All impervious surfaces added to any area of the site that was previously existing impervious surface.

¹⁶For redevelopment projects, state the pre-project impervious surface area.

¹⁷For redevelopment projects, state the post-project impervious surface area.

C.3 – New Development and Redevelopment

Permittee Name: San Mateo County Flood Control District

| C.3.b.v.(1) ► Reg | C.3.b.v.(1) ▶ Regulated Projects Reporting Table (part 2) – Projects Approved During the Fiscal Year Reporting Period (private projects) N/A | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--|--|--------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Project Name Project No. | Application Deemed Complete Date ¹⁸ | Application Final Approval Date ¹⁹ | Source | Site Design Measures ²¹ | Treatment Systems Approved ²² | Type of Operation & Maintenance Responsibility Mechanism ²³ | Hydraulic Sizing Criteria ²⁴ | Alternative Compliance Measures ^{25/26} | Alternative Certification ²⁷ | HM Controls ^{28/29} | | | |
| No SMCFCD Regulat | ted Projects. | | | | | | | | | | | | |

¹⁸For private projects, state project application deemed complete date. If the project did not go through discretionary review, report the building permit issuance date.

FY 14-15 AR Form 4/1/15

For private projects, state project application final discretionary approval date. If the project did not go through discretionary review, report the building permit issuance date.

²⁰List source control measures approved for the project. Examples include: properly designed trash storage areas; storm drain stenciling or signage; efficient landscape irrigation systems; etc.

List site design measures approved for the project. Examples include: minimize impervious surfaces; conserve natural areas, including existing trees or other vegetation, and soils; construct sidewalks, walkways, and/or patios with permeable surfaces, etc.

²²List all approved stormwater treatment system(s) to be installed onsite or at a joint stormwater treatment facility (e.g., flow through planter, bioretention facility, infiltration basin, etc.).

²³List the legal mechanism(s) (e.g., O&M agreement with private landowner; O&M agreement with homeowners association; O&M by public entity, etc...) that have been or will be used to assign responsibility for the maintenance of the post-construction stormwater treatment systems.

²⁴See Provision C.3.d.i. "Numeric Sizing Criteria for Stormwater Treatment Systems" for list of hydraulic sizing design criteria. Enter the corresponding provision number of the appropriate criterion (i.e., 1.a., 1.b., 2.a., 2.b., 2.c., or 3).

²⁵For Alternative Compliance at an offsite location in accordance with Provision C.3.e.i.(1), on a separate page, give a discussion of the alternative compliance site including the information specified in Provision C.3.b.v.(1)(m)(i) for the offsite project.

²⁶For Alternative Compliance by paying in-lieu fees in accordance with Provision C.3.e.i.(2), on a separate page, provide the information specified in Provision C.3.b.v.(1)(m)(ii) for the Regional Project. ²⁷Note whether a third party was used to certify the project design complies with Provision C.3.d.

²⁸If HM control is not required, state why not.

²⁹If HM control is required, state control method used (e.g., method to design and size device(s) or method(s) used to meet the HM Standard, and description of device(s) or method(s) used, such as detention basin(s), biodetention unit(s), regional detention basin, or in-stream control).

C.3 – New Development and Redevelopment

Permittee Name: San Mateo County Flood Control District

| C.3.b.v.(1) ► Reg | C.3.b.v.(1) ▶ Regulated Projects Reporting Table (part 2) – Projects Approved During the Fiscal Year Reporting Period (public projects) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Project Name Project No. | Approval Date ³⁰ | Date Construction Scheduled to Begin | Source Control Measures ³¹ | Site Design Measures ³² | Treatment Systems Approved ³³ | Operation & Maintenance Responsibility Mechanism ³⁴ | Hydraulic Sizing Criteria ³⁵ | Alternative Compliance Measures ^{36/37} | Alternative Certification ³⁸ | HM Controls ^{39/40} | | | |
| No SMCFCD Regula | No SMCFCD Regulated Projects. | | | | | | | | | | | | |

³⁰For public projects, enter the plans and specifications approval date.

FY 14-15 AR Form 4/1/15

³¹List source control measures approved for the project. Examples include: properly designed trash storage areas; storm drain stenciling or signage; efficient landscape irrigation systems; etc.

³² List site design measures approved for the project. Examples include: minimize impervious surfaces; conserve natural areas, including existing trees or other vegetation, and soils; construct sidewalks, walkways, and/or patios with permeable surfaces, etc.

List all approved stormwater treatment system(s) to be installed onsite or at a joint stormwater treatment facility (e.g., flow through planter, bioretention facility, infiltration basin, etc.).

³⁴List the legal mechanism(s) (e.g., maintenance plan for O&M by public entity, etc...) that have been or will be used to assign responsibility for the maintenance of the post-construction stormwater treatment systems.

³⁵See Provision C.3.d.i. "Numeric Sizing Criteria for Stormwater Treatment Systems" for list of hydraulic sizing design criteria. Enter the corresponding provision number of the appropriate criterion (i.e., 1.a., 1.b., 2.a., 2.b., 2.c., or 3).

³⁶For Alternative Compliance at an offsite location in accordance with Provision C.3.e.i.(1), on a separate page, give a discussion of the alternative compliance site including the information specified in Provision C.3.b.v.(1)(m)(i) for the offsite project.

³⁷For Alternative Compliance by paying in-lieu fees in accordance with Provision C.3.e.i.(2), on a separate page, provide the information specified in Provision C.3.b.v.(1)(m)(ii) for the Regional Project.

Note whether a third party was used to certify the project design complies with Provision C.3.d.

³⁹If HM control is not required, state why not.

⁴⁰If HM control is required, state control method used (e.g., method to design and size device(s) or method(s) used to meet the HM Standard, and description of device(s) or method(s) used, such as detention basin(s), biodetention unit(s), regional detention basin, or in-stream control).

C.3 – New Development and Redevelopment

Permittee Name: San Mateo County Flood Control District

| C.3.h.iv. ►Ta | ble of Installed Sta | ction Program Reporting | N/A | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|---|---|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|---|--------------------|--|--|--|
| Fill in table below or attach your own table including the same information. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Name of Facility/Site Inspected | Address of Facility/Site Inspected | Newly Installed? (YES/NO) ⁴¹ | Party Responsible ⁴² For Maintenance | Date of Inspection | Type of Inspection ⁴³ | Type of Treatment/HM Control(s) Inspected ⁴⁴ | Inspection Findings or Results ⁴⁵ | Enforcement Action Taken ⁴⁶ | Comments/Follow-up | | | |
| No SMCFCD sto | rmwater treatment sy | stems. | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | | |

3-7

FY 14-15 AR Form

⁴¹Indicate "YES" if the facility was installed within the reporting period, or "NO" if installed during a previous fiscal year. ⁴²State the responsible operator for installed stormwater treatment systems and HM controls.

⁴³State the type of inspection (e.g., 45-day, routine or scheduled, follow-up, etc.).

44State the type(s) of treatment systems inspected (e.g., bioretention facility, flow-through planter, infiltration basin, etc...) and the type(s) of HM controls inspected, and indicate whether the treatment system is an onsite, joint, or offsite system.

45State the ispection findings or results (e.g., proper installation, improper installation, proper O&M, immediate maintenance needed, etc.).

⁴⁶State the enforcement action(s) taken, if any.

| C.3.e.vi.Special Projects Reporting Table Reporting Period –January1 – June 30, 2015 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|---------|--|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------|---|--|---|---|
| Project Name & No. | Permittee | Address | Application Submittal Date ⁴⁷ | Status ⁴⁸ | Description ⁴⁹ | Site Total Acreage | Density DU/Acre | Density FAR | Special Project Category ⁵⁰ | LID Treatment Reduction Credit Available ⁵¹ | List of LID Stormwater Treatment Systems ⁵² | List of Non-LID Stormwater Treatment Systems ⁵³ |

⁴⁷Date that a planning application for the Special Project was submitted.

FY 14-15 AR Form 4/1/15

⁴⁸ Indicate whether final discretionary approval is still pending or has been granted, and provide the date or version of the project plans upon which reporting is based.

⁴⁹Type of project (commercial, mixed-use, residential), number of floors, number of units, type of parking, and other relevant information.

⁵⁰ For each applicable Special Project Category, list the specific criteria applied to determine applicability. For each non-applicable Special Project Category, indicate n/a.

⁵¹For each applicable Special Project Category, state the maximum total LID Treatment Reduction Credit available. For Category C Special Projects also list the individual Location, Density, and Minimized Surface Parking Credits available. For Category C Special Projects also list the individual Location, Density, and Minimized Surface Parking Credits available. For Category C Special Projects also list the individual Location, Density, and Minimized Surface Parking Credits available. For Category C Special Projects also list the individual Location, Density, and Minimized Surface Parking Credits available. For Category C Special Projects also list the individual Location, Density, and Minimized Surface Parking Credits available. For Category C Special Projects also list the individual Location, Density, and Minimized Surface Parking Credits available. For Category C Special Projects also list the individual Location, Density, and Minimized Surface Parking Credits available. For Category C Special Projects also list the individual Location, Density, and Minimized Surface Parking Credits available. For Category C Special Projects also list the individual Location, Density, and Minimized Surface Parking Credits available. For Category C Special Projects also list the individual Location, Density, and Minimized Surface Parking Credits available. For Category C Special Projects also list the individual Location, Density, and Minimized Surface Parking Credits available. For Category C Special Projects also list the individual Location, Density, and Minimized Surface Parking Credits available.

⁵³List all non-LID stormwater treatment systems proposed. For each type of non-LID treatment system, indicate: (1) the percentage of the total amount of runoff identified in Provision C.3.d. for the Special Project's drainage area, and (2) whether the treatment system either meets minimum design criteria published by a government agency or received certification issued by a government agency, and reference the applicable criteria or certification.

Section 4 – Provision C.4 Industrial and Commercial Site Controls

Section not applicable to San Mateo County Flood Control District

| Program Highlights | Pr | oa | ran | า Hi | iał | ılia | hts |
|--------------------|----|----|-----|------|-----|------|-----|
|--------------------|----|----|-----|------|-----|------|-----|

Provide background information, highlights, trends, etc.

The jurisdiction of the SMCFCD is limited to the flood control channels that were constructed and maintained by the District. We do not have legal authority for pollutant control on industrial sites located within the adjacent jurisdictions; however, we do work collaboratively with the adjacent municipalities to address stormwater concerns. For example, information related to any problems observed during the Colma Creek routine quarterly inspections is forwarded to the adjacent municipalities' enforcement staff for follow-up action. SMCFCD staff also review environmental compliance documents for proposed development projects within SMCFCD flood control zones and provide responsible agencies with comments when appropriate.

| C.4.b.i. ▶ Business Inspection Plan | | | | |
|---|------|----------|------|-------|
| Do you have a Business Inspection Plan? | | Yes | Χ | No |
| If No, explain: | | _ | | _ |
| No legal authority. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| C.4.b.iii.(1) ▶ Potential Facilities List | | | | |
| List below or attach your list of industrial and commercial facilities in your Inspection Plan to inspect that could reasonably be or contribute to pollution of stormwater runoff. | e co | onsidere | d to | cause |
| N/A | | | | |
| | | | | |
| C.4.b.iii.(2) ▶ Facilities Scheduled for Inspection | | | | |
| List below or attach your list of facilities scheduled for inspection during the current fiscal year. | | | | |
| N/A | | | | |
| | | | | |

C.4 – Industrial and Commercial Site Controls

Permittee Name: San Mateo County Flood Control District

| C.4.c.i | ii.(1) ▶ Facility Inspections N/A | | |
|------------|---|-------------------|------------|
| Fill out t | ne following table or attach a summary of the following information. Indicate your violation reporting me | ethodology below. | |
| | Permittee reports multiple discrete violations on a site as one violation. | | |
| | Permittee reports the total number of discrete violations on each site. | | |
| | | Number | Percent |
| Numbe | of businesses inspected | | |
| Total nu | mber of inspections conducted | | |
| Numbe | of violations (excluding verbal warnings) | | |
| Sites insp | pected in violation | | |
| Violatio | ns resolved within 10 working days or otherwise deemed resolved in a longer but still timely manner | | |
| Comme | ents: | | |
| Obser | | | |
| Fill out t | ne following table or attach a summary of the following information. | | |
| Type/C | ategory of Violations Observed | Number of | Violations |
| Actual | discharge (e.g. active non-stormwater discharge or clear evidence of a recent discharge) | | |
| Potentio | al discharge and other | | |
| Comme | ents: | | |

| C.4.c.iii.(2) ► Frequent | cy and Type of Er | nforcement Conducted | N/A | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|-----------|--------------|---|----------|---|
| Fill out the following table of | or attach a summary | of the following information. | | | | | |
| | | orcement Action as listed in ERP) ⁴⁸ | | N | lumber of Enforce Actions Taker | | % of Enforcement Actions Taken ⁴⁹ |
| Level 1 | | | | | | | |
| Level 2 | | | | | | | |
| Level 3 | | | | | | | |
| Level 4 | | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | | |
| Business Category ⁵⁰ | Business Category ⁵⁰ | | | | Number of Actual Number of Potential/Of Discharge Violations Discharge Violations | | |
| C.4.c.iii.(4) ► Non-File | rs | | N/A | | | | |
| List below or attach a list o | f the facilities require | d to have coverage under the I | ndustrial | General Peri | mit but have not f | iled for | coverage: |
| | | | | | | | |
| C.4.d.iii ▶ Staff Training | g Summary | | N/A | | | | |
| Training Name | Training Dates | Topics Cove | ed | | No. of Inspecto Attendance | | Percent of Inspectors in Attendance |
| | | | | | | | |

4-3 4/1/15 FY 14-15 AR Form

 ⁴⁸Agencies to list specific enforcement actions as defined in their ERPs.
 ⁴⁹Percentage calculated as number of each type of enforcement action divided by the total number of enforcement actions.
 ⁵⁰List your Program's standard business categories.

Section 5 – Provision C.5 Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination

Program Highlights

Provide background information, highlights, trends, etc.

The jurisdiction of the SMCFCD is limited to the flood control channels that were constructed and maintained by the District. We do not have legal authority to prohibit and control illicit discharges and implement enforcement within the adjacent jurisdictions. However, SMCFCD and County staff continued to attend and participate in the SMCWPPP TAC and CII Subcommittee meetings where Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination objectives were discussed regularly, and we continued to work collaboratively with the adjacent municipalities to address illicit discharges and other stormwater concerns.

The SMCFCD maintains a message board at Colma Creek just upstream from the confluence with the San Francisco Bay near the pedestrian bridge. Postings include where to report illegal dumping and other problems, endangered species information (i.e., leash ordinance to protect nesting clapper rails), and cleanup and volunteer event information. Any calls and complaints that were received by the County (DPW, CEH, and/or SMCFCD staff) pertaining to illicit discharges outside of SMCFCD jurisdiction were forwarded to the appropriate adjacent municipality for response and tracking. Information related to illicit discharges observed during the Colma Creek routine quarterly inspections was also forwarded to the adjacent municipalities' enforcement staff for follow-up action and tracking.

For reported discharges within the SMCFCD's jurisdiction (i.e., flood control channels), County staff handles response, cleanup, and tracking. CEH operates an Emergency Response Haz Mat Team that works with local fire departments to ensure that all spills are investigated, monitored, and cleaned up appropriately. No public calls or complaints were received during FY14-15. There were two observations of illicit discharges by SMCFCD staff. Both discharges were addressed in a timely manner to prevent discharge into the adjacent receiving waters.

Please see the C.5 Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination section of the SMCWPPP FY 14-15 Annual Report for description of activities at the countywide or regional level.

C.5.c.iii ► Complaint and Spill Response Phone Number and Spill Contact List

List below or attach your complaint and spill response phone number and spill contact list.

| List below of differn your complaint and spin response priorie from our and spin confidence. | | | | | | |
|--|--|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Contact | Description | Phone Number | | | | |
| San Mateo County Emergency Response Team | Activated through local fire departments through 911 | 911 | | | | |
| Department of Public Works Road Services Division – Mark Marelich | Road Manager to send out crews to respond to complaints during working hours | (650) 363-4103 | | | | |
| Department of Public Works Emergency Response | Call On-Duty Road Supervisor to respond to complaint during after hours | (650) 363-4100 | | | | |
| Environmental Health | For Spills involving Hazardous Material | (650) 372-6200 | | | | |
| Environmental Health – Patrick Ledesma | Illicit Discharge Coordinator | (650) 372-6241 | | | | |
| San Mateo County Flood Control District – Julie | Illicit Discharge Coordinator | (650) 599-1457 | | | | |

C.5 – Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination

Permittee Name: San Mateo County Flood Control District

| Casagrande | | |
|---|--|-------------------|
| Countywide Illicit Discharge Coordinators | http://www.flowstobay.org/bs illicit discharge.php | Listed in weblink |

C.5.d.iii ► Evaluation of Mobile Business Program

Describe implementation of minimum standards and BMPs for mobile businesses and your enforcement strategy. This may include participation in the BASMAA Mobile Surface Cleaners regional program or local activities.

Description:

N/A see explanation in Program Highlights section above and in C.4

C.5.e.iii ► Evaluation of Collection System Screening Program

Provide a summary or attach a summary of your collection screening program, a summary of problems found during collection system screening and any changes to the screening program this FY.

Description:

N/A see explanation in Program Highlights section

C.5.f.iii.(1), (2), (3) ▶ Spill and Discharge Complaint Tracking

Spill and Discharge Complaint Tracking (fill out the following table or include an attachment of the following information)

| | Number | Percentage |
|--|--------|------------|
| Discharges reported (C.5.f.iii.(1)) | 2 | |
| Discharges reaching storm drains and/or receiving waters (C.5.f.iii.(2)) | 2 | 100% |
| Discharges resolved in a timely manner (C.5.f.iii.(3)) | 2 | 100% |

Comments:

There were no spill or discharge complaints within the SMCFCD jurisdiction reported to the CEH (including HazMat and California Emergency Management Agency calls). There were two incidents observed by SMCFCD staff that were resolved in a timely manner.

C.5.f.iii.(4) ► Summary of major types of discharges and complaints

Provide a narrative or attach a table and/or graph.

There were no spill or discharge complaints within the SMCFCD jurisdiction reported to the CEH (including HazMat and California Emergency Management Agency calls). There were two incidents observed by SMCFCD staff that were resolved in a timely manner. One was illegally dumped debris along the bank of Colma Creek (above high water). The debris was removed by the DPW Road Services Division maintenance staff. The other was a high level of oil sheen observed in the wet well at one of the San Bruno Creek Flood Control Zone pump stations. The

incident was forwarded to City of San Bruno maintenance staff, who responded and conducted a timely cleanup of the site.

Section 6 - Provision C.6 Construction Site Controls

With the exception of SMCFCD flood control capital improvement projects involving construction, Section 6 is generally not applicable to the SMCFCD. During FY 14-15, there were no SMCFCD flood control capital improvement projects approved or implemented.

| C.6.e.iii.1.a, b, c ▶Site/Inspection Totals | | N/A |
|---|--|---|
| Number of High Priority Sites (sites disturbing < 1 acre of soil requiring storm water runoff quality inspection) (C.6.e.iii.1.a) | Number of sites disturb of soil (C.6.e.iii.1.b | Total number of storm water runoff quality inspections conducted (include only High Priority Site and sites disturbing 1 acre or more) (C.6.e.iii.1.c) |
| # | # | # |
| Comments: | L | I |

| C.6.e.iii.1.d ▶ Construction Activities Storm Water Violations | N/A | 4 |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|
| BMP Category | Number of Violations ⁵¹ excluding Verbal Warnings | % of Total Violations ⁵² |
| Erosion Control | | |
| Run-on and Run-off Control | | |
| Sediment Control | | |
| Active Treatment Systems | | |
| Good Site Management | | |
| Non Stormwater Management | | |
| Total ⁵³ | | 100% |

FY 14-15 AR Form 6-1 4/1/15

⁵¹Count one violation in a category for each site and inspection regardless of how many violations/problems occurred in the BMP category. For example, if during one inspection at a site, there are 2 erosion control violations, only 1 violation would be counted for this table.

⁵²Percentage calculated as number of violations in each category divided by total number of violations in all six categories.

⁵³The total number of violations may count more than one violation per inspection, since some inspections may result in violations in more than one category. For example, during one inspection of a site, there may have been both an erosion control violation and a sediment control violation. For this reason, the total number of violations in this table may not match the total number of enforcement actions reported in Table C6.e.iii.1.e.

| C.6.e.iii.1.e ► Actions | Construction Related Storm Water Enforcement | N/A | |
|-------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|
| | Enforcement Action (as listed in ERP) ⁵⁴ | Number Enforcement Actions Issued | % Enforcement ActionsIssued ⁵⁵ |
| Level 1 ⁵⁶ | | | |
| Level 2 | | | |
| Level 3 | | | |
| Level 4 | | | |
| Total | | | 100% |

| C.6.e.iii.1.f, g ►Illicit Discharges | N/A | | |
|---|--|--------|--|
| | | Number | |
| Number of illicit discharges, actual and those inferred through evidence at high priority sites and sites that disturb 1 acre or more of land (C.6.e.iii.1.f) | | | |
| Number of sites with discharges, actual and those inferred through evidence at his or more of land (C.6.e.iii.1.g) | igh priority sites and sites that disturb 1 acre | | |

6-2 4/1/15 FY 14-15 AR Form

 $^{^{54}\}mbox{Agencies}$ should list the specific enforcement actions as defined in their ERPs.

⁵⁵Percentage calculated as number of each type of enforcement action divided by the total number of enforcement actions. ⁵⁶For example, Enforcement Level 1 may be Verbal Warning.

C.6.e.iii.1.h, i ► Violation Correction Times

N/A

| | Number | Percent |
|---|--------|-----------------|
| Violations (excluding verbal warnings) fully corrected within 10 business days after violations are discovered or otherwise considered corrected in a timely period (C.6.e.iii.1.h) | | % ⁵⁷ |
| Violations (excluding verbal warnings) not fully corrected within 30 days after violations are discovered (C.6.e.iii.1.i) | | % ⁵⁸ |
| Total number of violations (excluding verbal warnings) for the reporting year ⁵⁹ | | 100% |
| Comments: | | |

C.6.e.iii.(2) ► Evaluation of Inspection Data

N/A

N/A

Describe your evaluation of the tracking data and data summaries and provide information on the evaluation results (e.g., data trends, typical BMP performance issues, comparisons to previous years, etc.).

Description:

C.6.e.iii.(2) ► Evaluation of Inspection Program Effectiveness

Describe what appear to be your program's strengths and weaknesses, and identify needed improvements, including education and outreach.

Description:

C.6.f ► Staff Training Summary

| Training Name | Training Dates | Topics Covered | No. of Inspectors in Attendance | Percent of Inspectors in Attendance |
|---|--|--|--|---|
| Internal Planning and Building Department Pre-Wet Season C.6 and Enforcement Response Plan (ERP) Training | October 2, 2014 (BLD Inspectors); October 15, 2014 (Code Compliance) | Refresher of Provision C.6 and ERP requirements for Building Inspection Section and Code Compliance staff. | 9 County staff including: 6 Building Inspectors | 100% of Building |

⁵⁷Calculated as number of violations fully corrected in a timely period after the violations are discovered divided by the total number of violations for the reporting year.

FY 14-15 AR Form 6-3 4/1/15

⁵⁸Calculated as number of violations not fully corrected within 30 days after the violations are discovered divided by the total number of violations for the reporting year.

⁵⁹The total number of violations reported in the table of Violation Correction Times equals the number of <u>initial</u> enforcement actions. I.e., this assumes one violation is issued for several problems during an inspection at a site. The total number of violations in the table of Violation Correction Times may not equal the total number of enforcement actions because one violation issued at a site may have a second enforcement action for the same violation at the next inspection if it is not corrected.

Permittee Name: San Mateo County Flood Control District

| | | | | Inspectors |
|--|-----------------|---|--|---|
| | | | 3 Code Compliance Officers. | 100% Code Compliance staff. |
| Stormwater Requirements for Construction Sites | October 8, 2014 | Refresher of Provision C.6 requirements for building inspection staff. | 3 County staff including: 1 Building Inspector 2 Planners from Erosion Control Plan Check Team | 17% of Building Inspectors 66% of Erosion Control Plan Check Team |
| SMCWPPP's Construction Site Stormwater Inspection and C.3.h Inspection/ O&M Stormwater Compliance Workshop: Provision C.6 and C.3.h Training for Municipal Staff | May 5, 2015 | Provision C.6 and C.3.h Training for Municipal Staff C.6 Agenda: Stormwater Regulatory Landscape at Construction Sites Inspecting Construction Site BMPs C.3 Agenda: Overview of C.3.h Requirements in MRP 1.0 and the Future Inspecting, Operating, and Maintaining Stormwater Treatment Systems | 18 County staff including: 2 New Building Inspectors 2 Planners from Erosion Control Plan Check Team 7 DPW inspectors attended (5 additional DPW inspectors viewed powerpoint) | 25% of building inspectors 66% of Erosion Control Plan Check Team 58% DPW inspectors attended (100% DPW inspectors viewed powerpoint) |
| SMCWPPP's ANNUAL C.3 STORMWATER WORKSHOP: "Low Impact Development and Green Infrastructure: What Will the Future Bring?" | June 17, 2015 | Provision C.3 Training for Municipal Staff • Stormwater Controls for Development Projects • Update on Upcoming Stormwater Permit Projects • Urban Forestry and Stormwater Treatment Integration • How to get started on Green | 11 County staff including: 4 DPW Inspectors 2 Planners involved in C.3 | 33% of DPW Inspectors 100% of Planners |

C.6 - Construction Site Controls

Permittee Name: San Mateo County Flood Control District

| | Infrastructure Planning | compliance | involved in C.3 compliance |
|---|-------------------------|------------|----------------------------|
| P&B = County Planning & Building Department | | | |
| DPW = County Department of Public Works | | | |

Section 7 – Provision C.7. Public Information and Outreach

C.7.b.ii.1 ► Advertising Campaign

Summarize advertising efforts. Include details such as messages, creative developed, and outreach media used. The detailed advertising report may be included as an attachment. If advertising is being done by participation in a countywide or regional program, refer to the separate countywide or regional Annual Report.

Summary:

During the Spring 2015, County RecycleWorks produced two movie ads for San Mateo County movie goers. One of the ads was titled "Be Seen Keepin' It Clean" with a message to reduce litter. The ad was shown from 4/1/15-4/30/15. The other ad was titled "Illegal Dumping" with a message to not dump items illegally and to dispose or recycle them properly. This ad was shown from 5/1/15-5/28/15. The two ads were shown in four theatres throughout the County. The ads were shown on 288 screens and resulted in 597,448 impressions.

Please see Section 7, Public Information and Outreach, of the SMCWPPP FY 14-15 Annual Report. In addition, the following separate report developed by BASMAA summarizes the activities of the Regional Youth Litter Campaign

• BASMAA Be the Street Campaign Report

C.7.b.iii.1 ▶ Pre-Campaign Survey

(For the Annual Report following the pre-campaign survey) Summarize survey information such as sample size, type of survey (telephone survey, interviews etc.). Attach a survey report that includes the following information. If survey was done regionally, refer to a regional submittal that contains the following information:

Information on the pre-campaign survey for the BASMAA Regional Youth Litter Campaign was provided in the FY 11-12 Annual Report.

Place an ${\bf X}$ in the appropriate box below:

Survey report attached

Reference to regional submittal:

C.7.b.iii.2 ▶ Post-Campaign Survey

(For the Annual Report following the post-campaign survey) Discuss the campaigns and the measureable changes in awareness and behavior achieved. Provide an update of outreach strategies based on the survey results. If survey was done regionally, refer to a regional submittal that contains the following information:

Information on the post-campaign survey for the BASMAA Regional Youth Litter Campaign was provided in the BASMAA FY 13-14 Annual Report.

Place an X in the appropriate box below:

Survey report attached

X Reference to regional submittal:

C.7.c ► Media Relations

Summarize the media relations effort. Include the following details for each media pitch in the space below, AND/OR refer to a regional report that includes these details:

- Topic and content of pitch
- Medium (TV, radio, print, online)
- Date of publication/broadcast

Summary:

The following separate report developed by BASMAA summarizes media relations efforts conducted during FY 14-15:

• BASMAA Media Relations Final Report FY 14-15

Media relations efforts conducted countywide are described within the C.7 Public Information and Outreach section of the SMCWPPP FY 14-15 Annual Report.

C.7.d ► Stormwater Point of Contact

Summary of any changes made during FY 14-15:

There has been no change in the SMCFCD's contact information. A summary of efforts conducted by SMCWPPP to publicize stormwater points of contact (e.g. program website, hotline, outreach materials, and social media, etc.) is included within the Public Information and Outreach section of the SMCWPPP FY 14-15 Annual Report.

C.7 – Public Information and Outreach

Permittee Name: San Mateo County Flood Control District

C.7.e ▶ Public Outreach Events

Describe general approach to event selection. Provide a list of outreach materials and giveaways distributed. Use the following table for reporting and evaluating public outreach events

| | - : | |
|---------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Event Details | Description (messages, audience) | Evaluation of Effectiveness |

Provide event name, date, and location. Indicate if event is local, countywide or regional.

The following outreach events were conducted on a countywide level by SMCWPPP and are described in detail in the Public Information and Outreach section of the SMCWPPP FY 14-15 Annual Report:

- Coastal Cleanup Day, September 20, 2014
- San Mateo County Fair, June 6-14, 2015

SMCFCD and County staff assisted with the above events by posting information on public bulletin boards at the County office building at 555 County Center in Redwood City and the San Francisco Bay Trail signboard along Colma Creek in South San Francisco. SMCFCD staff was a team captain at the Colma Creek Coastal Cleanup Day site. In addition to the SMCWPPP table at the San Mateo County Fair, RecycleWorks also staffed a table at the event and provided additional outreach materials. Additional public outreach events are listed below.

| National River Cleanup Day, 5/16/2015, Colma Creek in South San Francisco | Creek cleanup event coordinated by City of South San Francisco and County/SMCFCD staff. This event targeted the general public with focused messaging on litter reduction. | 8 volunteers removed 1.5 cubic yards of trash and illegally dumped material. |
|---|--|--|
| Colma Creek Adopt-a-Creek Pilot Program Volunteer Days – South San Francisco High School Earth Club, 1/10/2015, 2/21/2015, 3/7/2015, 4/19/2015, Colma Creek in South San Francisco. | Creek cleanup, invasive plant removal, and native planting events with outreach and education messaging related to litter reduction and native plants. The target audience for the pilot program was high school students. | 3 to 6 student volunteers at each event. The events resulted in the removal of 2.5 cubic yards of trash and debris, removal of non-native ice plant, and planting of 30 native plants. |

In addition to the public outreach events listed above, which are specific to the Colma Creek Flood Control Zone, County staff and the RecycleWorks Program conducted stormwater-related outreach at other events. Please see the County of San Mateo FY 14-15 Annual Report for additional information.

C.7 – Public Information and Outreach

Permittee Name: San Mateo County Flood Control District

C.7.f. ► Watershed Stewardship Collaborative Efforts

Summarize watershed stewardship collaborative efforts and/or refer to a regional report that provides details. Describe the level of effort and support given (e.g., funding only, active participation etc.). State efforts undertaken and the results of these efforts. If this activity is done regionally refer to a regional report.

Evaluate effectiveness by describing the following:

- Efforts undertaken
- Major accomplishments

Summary:

A summary of efforts conducted by SMCWPPP to work with Watershed Stewardship Groups on a countywide level is included within the Public Information and Outreach section of the SMCWPPP FY 14-15 Annual Report.

SMCFCD staff worked with the Countywide Program to support the activities of the Watershed Stewardship Groups and worked directly with smaller local collaboratives that exist within the SMCFCD's zones and unincorporated areas of the County. Please see the County of San Mateo FY 14-15 Annual Report for watershed stewardship efforts that County and SMCFCD staff participated in that are not specific to SMCFCD flood zones. Watershed stewardship efforts conducted by SMCFCD staff that pertain directly to SMCFCD flood zones are summarized below.

SMCFCD staff also continued participation in the Bay Area Integrated Regional Water Management Program (IRWMP) by serving as a Bay Area Flood Protection Agency Association (BAFPAA) participating agency. IRWMP and BAFPAA agencies worked collaboratively on regional flood protection, stormwater management, and watershed issues, identified several regional projects, and conducted outreach to smaller cities and watershed groups for sub-regional projects. SMCFCD staff also served on the Bay Area IRWMP Project Selection Committee.

Since FY 10-11, SMCFCD staff has been participating in the South San Francisco Community Preservation Task Force (CTPF) by providing periodic presentations of SMCFD Colma Creek activities and sharing ideas and opportunities for outreach, trash cleanups, and abatement of illegal dumping. SMCFCD staff continued coordinating (i.e., notifying committee of homeless encampment, illegal dumping, vegetation management needs, and graffiti locations) with the CPTF in FY14-15.

SMCFCD is also involved with watershed collaborative efforts in the San Francisquito Creek watershed and Flood Control Zone. SMCFCD is a member of the San Francisquito Creek Joint Powers Authority (JPA) along with the Santa Clara Valley Water District and the Cities of East Palo Alto, Menlo Park, and Palo Alto. The JPA was created to collaboratively address flooding and watershed protection. SMCFCD staff attended monthly JPA meetings and provided input on watershed and flooding issues. SMCFCD staff also participated in the annual creek walk with other JPA member agency staff to identify areas of trash and debris accumulation.

C.7 – Public Information and Outreach

Permittee Name: San Mateo County Flood Control District

C.7.g. ► Citizen Involvement Events

List the types of events conducted (e.g., creek clean up, storm drain inlet marking, native gardening etc.). Use the following table for reporting and evaluating citizen involvement events.

Event Details Description Evaluation of effectiveness

The following involvement event was conducted on a countywide level by SMCWPPP and is described in detail in the Public Information and Outreach section of the SMCWPPP FY 14-15 Annual Report:

Coastal Cleanup Day, September 20, 2014

A representative from SMCFCD serves on the PIP committee and assisted with planning of citizen involvement events. SMCFCD staff assisted with Coastal Cleanup Day by posting information on public bulletin boards at the County office building at 555 County Center in Redwood City and the San Francisco Bay Trail signboard along Colma Creek in South San Francisco and on the County Parks website. SMCFCD staff was a team captain for the Colma Creek site.

Additional events are listed below.

| County Environmental Health Department Volunteer Staff Cleanup Event, 8/21/2014, Colma Creek in South San Francisco | Creek cleanup event for County Environmental Health Department staff and family. | 26 volunteers removed 2.6 cubic yards of trash and illegally dumped material. |
|---|--|---|
| National River Cleanup Day, 5/16/2015, Colma Creek in South San Francisco | Creek cleanup event coordinated by City of South San Francisco and County/SMCFCD staff. | 8 volunteers removed 1.5 cubic yards of trash and illegally dumped material. |
| Colma Creek Adopt-a-Creek Pilot Program Volunteer Days – South San Francisco High School Earth Club, 1/10/2015, 2/21/2015, 3/7/2015, 4/19/2015, Colma Creek in South San Francisco. | Creek cleanup, invasive plant removal, and native planting events with outreach and education messaging related to litter reduction and native plants. | 3 to 6 student volunteers at each event. The events resulted in the removal of 2.5 cubic yards of trash and debris, removal of nonnative ice plant, and planting of 30 native plants. |

C.7.h. ► School-Age Children Outreach

Summarize school-age children outreach programs implemented. A detailed report may be included as an attachment. Use the following table for reporting school-age children outreach efforts.

| Program Details Focus & Short Description reached Evaluation of Effectiveness | Program Details | Focus & Short Description | | Evaluation of Effectiveness |
|---|-----------------|---------------------------|--|-----------------------------|
|---|-----------------|---------------------------|--|-----------------------------|

SMCWPPP conducted three school-aged children outreach programs countywide - Banana Slug String Band School Assembly Performances ("We All Live Downstream") and SMCWPPP Middle School ("Linking Litter to Critters") and High School Educational Programs ("Water Pollution Prevention: Problems and Solutions"). These programs are summarized in the Public Information and Outreach section of the SMCWPPP FY 14-15

C.7 – Public Information and Outreach

Permittee Name: San Mateo County Flood Control District

Annual Report. These presentations were given at several schools that are located within the watersheds within SMCFCD zones.

The Colma Creek Adopt-a-Creek Pilot Program Volunteer Day events with South San Francisco High School Earth Club described above also involved targeted outreach to school-aged children.

In addition to the school-age children outreach programs listed above, which were conducted by or in coordination with SMCWPPP, County staff and the RecycleWorks Program conducted other stormwater-related outreach to school-aged children. Please see the County of San Mateo FY14-15 Annual Report for additional information.

Permittee Name: San Mateo County Flood Control District

Section 8 - Provision C.8 Water Quality Monitoring

C.8 ► Water Quality Monitoring

State below if information is reported in a separate regional report. Municipalities can also describe below any Water Quality Monitoring activities in which they participate directly, e.g. participation in RMP workgroups, fieldwork within their jurisdictions, etc.

Summary

During FY 14-15, the County contributed through SMCWPPP to the BASMAA Regional Monitoring Coalition (RMC). In addition, the County contributed financially to the Regional Monitoring Program for Water Quality in the San Francisco Estuary (RMP) and were represented at RMP committees and work groups through SMCWPPP and BASMAA. For additional information on monitoring activities conducted by SMCWPPP, BASMAA RMC and the RMP, see SMCWPPP's Urban Creeks Monitoring Report, which will be submitted by March 15, 2016 per MRP Provision C.8.

Section 9 - Provision C.9 Pesticides Toxicity Controls

C.9.b ►Implement IPM Policy or Ordinance

Report implementation of IPM BMPs by showing trends in quantities and types of pesticides used, and suggest reasons for increases in use of pesticides that threaten water quality, specifically organophosphates, pyrethroids, carbaryl, and fipronil. A separate report can be attached as evidence of your implementation.

The County of San Mateo Board of Supervisors adopted the County of San Mateo IPM as a policy document on June 8, 2010, and the County has since been implementing the policy accordingly. Following comments by Regional Board staff, County staff from multiple departments worked closely with local agencies through the SMCWPPP Parks Maintenance and IPM Work Group to review and refine standardized IPM language. The revised IPM policy document was adopted by the County Board of Supervisors on July 24, 2012 (Resolution and IPM policy were submitted to the Regional Board as an attachment to the FY11-12 Annual Report).

The SMCFCD did not use pesticides at any of the County-maintained flood control facilities during this reporting period.

Trends in Quantities and Types of Pesticides Used⁶⁰

| Destinide Category, and Specific Posticide Head | Amount ⁶¹ | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Pesticide Category and Specific Pesticide Used | FY 09-10 | FY 10-11 | FY 11-12 | FY 12-13 | FY 13-14 | FY 14-15 |
| Organophosphates | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pyrethroids | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Carbaryl | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fipronil | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| C.9.c ► Train Municipal Employees | |
|--|------|
| Enter the number of employees that applied or used pesticides (including herbicides) within the scope of their duties this reporting | 0 |
| year. | Ü |
| Enter the number of these employees who received training on your IPM policy and IPM standard operating procedures within the | NI/A |
| last 3 years. | N/A |
| Enter the percentage of municipal employees who apply pesticides who have received training in the IPM policy and IPM standard | N/A |

⁶⁰Includes all municipal structural and landscape pesticide usage by employees and contractors.

FY 14-15 AR Form 9-1 4/1/15

⁶¹Weight or volume of the product or preferably its active ingredient, using same units for the product each year. The active ingredients in any pesticide are listed on the label. The list of active ingredients that need to be reported in the pyrethroids class includes: allethrin, bifenthrin, beta-cyfluthrin, bioallethrin, cyfluthrin, cypermethrin, cyphenothrin, deltamethrin, esfenvalerate, etofenprox, fenpropathrin, gamma-cyhalothrin, imiprothrin, lambda-cyhalothrin, metofluthrin, permethrin, phenothrin, prallethrin, resmethrin, sumithrin (d-phenothrin), tau-fluvalinate, tefluthrin, tetramethrin, tralomethrin, cis-permethrin, and zeta-cypermethrin.

C.9 - Pesticides Toxicity Controls

Permittee Name: San Mateo County Flood Control District

| operating procedures within the last three years. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|---|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | |
| C. | 9.d ▶Require Contractors to Implement IPM | | | <u>-</u> | | | | | |
| Die | d your municipality contract with any pesticide service provider in the reporting year? | Yes | Χ | No | | | | | |
| If y | res, attach one of the following: | | | | | | | | |
| | Contract specifications that require adherence to your IPM policy and standard operating procedures, OR | | | | | | | | |
| | Copy(ies) of the contractors' IPM certification(s) or equivalent, OR | | | | | | | | |
| | Equivalent documentation. | | | | | | | | |
| If I | Not attached, explain: | | | | | | | | |

C.9.e ► Track and Participate in Relevant Regulatory Processes

Summarize participation efforts, information submitted, and how regulatory actions were affected **OR** reference a regional report that summarizes regional participation efforts, information submitted, and how regulatory actions were affected.

Summary:

During FY 14-15, SMCFCD staff participated in regulatory processes related to pesticides through SMCWPPP, BASMAA and CASQA. For additional information, see the regional report submitted by BASMAA on behalf of all MRP Permittees.

C.9.f ► Interface with County Agricultural Commissioners

Did your municipal staff observe any improper pesticide usage or evidence of improper usage (e.g., pesticides in storm drain systems, along street curbs, or in receiving waters) during this fiscal year?

If yes, provide a summary of improper pesticide usage reported to the County Agricultural Commissioner and follow-up actions taken to correct any violations. A separate report can be attached as your summary.

C.9.h.ii ▶ Public Outreach: Point of Purchase

Provide a summary of public outreach at point of purchase, and any measurable awareness and behavior changes resulting from outreach (here or in a separate report); **OR** reference a report of a regional effort for public outreach in which your agency participates.

Summary:

See the C.9 Pesticides Toxicity Control section of the SMCWPPP FY 14-15 Annual Report for information on point of purchase public outreach conducted countywide and regionally.

C.9.h.vi ▶ Public Outreach: Pest Control Operators

Provide a summary of public outreach to pest control operators and landscapers and reduced pesticide use (here or in a separate report); **OR** reference a report of a regional effort for outreach to pest control operators and landscapers in which your agency participates.

Summary:

See the C.9 Pesticides Toxicity Control section the SMCWPPP FY 14-15 Annual Report for a summary of our participation in and contributions towards countywide and regional public outreach to pest control operators and landscapers to reduce pesticide use.

Section 10 - Provision C.10 Trash Load Reduction

C.10.a.iii ► Minimum Full Trash Capture

SMCFCD was required to install one trash boom or two outfall capture devices (minimum 2 ft. diameter outfall) or equivalent measures by July 1, 2014. The SMCFCD met the minimum full trash capture requirement by the installation of equivalent measures (small full trash capture devices) in the Colma Creek watershed and other areas in the unincorporated County, consistent with Attachment J of the MRP. To establish a level of "equivalent measures", the mean drainage area for 2 ft. diameter outfalls (i.e., the minimum diameter for typical trash outfall capture devices) in the Colma Creek Flood Control Zone (Colma Creek watershed) is approximately 35 acres, and the median is approximately 25 acres. To date, the County has installed 166 full trash capture devices (connector pipe screens or CPS) throughout unincorporated San Mateo County including areas within the Colma Creek watershed. The total treatment area covered by the County's devices is approximately 570 acres, including 250 acres or 69% of high trash generating areas and 103 acres or 13% of moderate trash generating areas. This far exceeds the combined MRP requirement of minimum full trash capture area for unincorporated San Mateo County (21 acres) and equivalent measures for SMCFCD. Please see C.10 of the County of San Mateo's FY 14-15 Annual Report for more detailed full trash capture device location information.

In addition to small full trash capture devices that have been installed throughout the unincorporated County, the SMCFCD has continued with collaborative efforts through the Colma Creek Flood Control Zone Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) to identify priority locations for large full trash capture devices and other trash load reduction measures. The TAC includes management and staff from SMCFCD, City of South San Francisco (SSF), Daly City, and the Town of Colma. The TAC will continue to meet on a regular basis and the SMCFCD is committed to continuing this collaborative effort.

Maintenance Summary:

The level of maintenance needed for full trash capture devices varied by specific location or area depending on the levels of trash, frequency of street sweeping, amount of leaf litter, and the timing of storms in relation to street sweeping. Since initial installation, DPW Road Services Division maintenance staff have found that full service cleaning with a Vac-Con truck is needed less than originally anticipated for the CPS units that were installed in combination with automatic retractable screens (ARS) units at the curb opening. For FY 14-15, all full trash capture devices were regularly inspected during routine patrols by the DPW Road Services Division maintenance crews. The DPW Roads Division maintenance crews cleaned out the full trash capture devices with a Vac-Con truck prior to or at the start of the rainy season. Additional vacuuming was conducted on an asneeded basis throughout the rainy season as determined during the routine patrols and inspections. Crews also hand sweep in front of the ARS as needed prior to and/or during storms. No problems were reported with the functionality of the devices.

Once the MRP 2.0 is adopted and future requirements have been finalized, the County plans to implement a formalized O&M verification program based on the model program developed for SMCWPPP by EOA. In the interim, DPW Roads Division maintenance staff will continue to conduct maintenance and document maintenance using existing maintenance forms.

Permittee Name: San Mateo County Flood Control District

C.10.b.iii ► Trash Hot Spot Assessment

Provide the volume of material removed during each MRP-required Trash Hot Spot cleanup during each fiscal year, and the dominant types of trash (e.g., glass, plastics, paper) removed and their sources in FY 2014-15 to the extent possible. Also, provide additional information on creek cleanups conducted beyond those required.

| | FY 14-15 | | Volume of Tro | ash Removed (c | Dominant Type(s) of | Trash Sources in | | |
|----------------|--------------------|------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------|------------------|--|--|
| Trash Hot Spot | Cleanup Date(s) | FY 2010-11 | FY 2011-12 | FY 2012-13 | FY 2013-14 | FY 2014-15 | Trash in FY 2014-15 | FY 2014-15 (where possible) |
| SCF01 | 9/20/2014 | 2.3 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | Bottles (plastic or glass), convenience/fast food items, styrofoam, aluminum cans, cigarette butts | Trash accumulation, Illegal dumping, litter, outfall |
| SCF02 | 9/19/2014 | 3.0 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 2.7 | Bottles (plastic or glass), convenience/fast food items, aluminum cans, styrofoam, paper and cardboard | Outfall, trash accumulation, litter |
| Totals* | | 5.3 | 2.4 | 2 | 1.6 | 3.2 | | |

^{*}The San Mateo County Flood Control District performs multiple cleanups of their MRP-required trash hot spots. The volume reported in this section represents the total volume removed from one designated round of cleanup for the trash hot spot requirement. The volume of material removed from other cleanups is reported in Section C.10. d.

FY 2014-2015 Annual Report Permittee Name: San Mateo County Flood Control District

C.10 – Trash Load Reduction

C.10.c ► Long-Term Trash Load Reduction Plan

Provide descriptions of significant revisions made to your Long-term Trash Load Reduction Plan submitted to the Water Board in February 2014. Describe significant changes made to primary or secondary trash management areas (TMA), trash generation maps, control measures, or time schedules identified in your plan.

N/A - Per the MRP, the SMCFCD, a non-population based permittee, is not subject to Long-Term Trash Load Reduction Plan requirement.

FY 2014-2015 Annual Report Permittee Name: San Mateo County Flood Control District

C.10.d ► Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment

Per the MRP, non-population based permitees are not subject to this trash reduction requirement/provision; however, SMCFCD staff proactively participated in a variety of countywide and regional trash reduction actions. Highlights of the trash reduction activities that occurred in or were indirectly related to SMCFCD Flood Control Zones are summarized below.

- Continued implementation of the Colma Creek Maintenance Monitoring Program that was implemented in 2005. The Program involves
 quarterly inspections (including documentation of trash and illegal dumping) and on-average approximately 10 cleanup events utilizing
 work force from the Sherriff's Work Program. During this reporting period, the Program coordinated 16 work days for the Sherriff's Work
 Program and 3 cleanup events by DPW Road Services Division maintenance staff involving litter and debris cleanups and weeding of nonnative within the lower reach of Colma Creek resulting in removal of approximately 53.6 cubic yards of trash and debris.
- In collaboration with the City of South San Francisco, SMCFCD staff hosted and conducted outreach for Coastal Cleanup Day (Colma Creek hot spots) and National Rivers Cleanup Day volunteer cleanup events. SMCFCD staff also coordinated a County Environmental Health Employee work day and 4 work days with students from the South San Francisco High School as a pilot Adopt-A-Creek program. These volunteer events resulted in the removal of approximately 10.7 cubic yards of trash and debris.
- Continued efforts to increase litter enforcement and abatement efforts in Colma Creek in collaboration with the City of South San Francisco through the Colma Creek Maintenance and Monitoring Program. SMCFCD continued to maintain postings on the message board that was installed during FY 11-12 at Colma Creek just upstream from the confluence with the San Francisco Bay near the pedestrian bridge. Postings included where to report illegal dumping and other problems, trash cleanup events and results, endangered species info, leash ordinance info to protect nesting clapper rails, and volunteer event information.
- Conducted San Francisquito Creek Flood Control Zone annual creek walk with JPA, identified priority locations for Coastal Cleanup Day, and assisted with coordination.
- On November 6, 2012, the County Board of Supervisors passed a Reusable Bag Ordinance that became effective on 4/22/2013. The ordinance covers unincorporated areas within the watersheds draining into the four SMCFCD Flood Control Zones.
- On 3/1/11, the County Board of Supervisors adopted Ordinance No. 04542 prohibiting food vendors from using polystyrene-based disposable food service ware. The ordinance covers unincorporated areas within the watersheds draining into the four SMCFCD Flood Control Zones.
- DPW/FCD continued partnership and planning with Clean Water Action (CWA) and other Bay Area municipalities (San Jose, San Francisco, South San Francisco, Oakland, Sunnyvale, and Cupertino) for the second phase of the ReThink Disposable project. ReThink Disposable is a non-regulatory research and outreach project aimed at identifying and implementing BMPs for reducing disposable products and packaging in take-out food businesses (fast food, cafes, convenience stores, and other take-out dining establishments). Outreach and self-audits at Bay Area restaurants, cafes, and food truck businesses are currently in progress, including outreach to restaurants in the Colma Creek watershed and unincorporated San Mateo County. Outreach has included presentations (i.e., businesses & restaurant associations, chamber of commerce meetings, in-person visits to restaurants), web and social media postings, and distribution of project-related materials such as case studies, cost benefit analysis information, business BMP toolkit, promotional kits, and self-audit procedures. From January through April 2015, CWA staff visited more than 60 businesses in the South San Francisco, and the unincorporated communities of North Fair Oaks, Broadmoor, Montara, Moss Beach, El Granada, and Princeton. For more information on the program visit, http://rethinkdisposable.com/
- During FY14-15, the County continued to work on and explore other jurisdiction-wide control measures including enhanced programs for

FY 2014-2015 Annual Report Permittee Name: San Mateo County Flood Control District

C.10 – Trash Load Reduction

uncovered loads, improved trash bin/container management through the Litter Workgroup, and more targeted public education and outreach including a targeted Business BMP and outreach program and an Adopt-A-Block program. However, these enhanced programs are still in development and have not yet resulted in measurable load reductions.

Please see Sections 7 and 10 of the County of San Mateo FY 14-15 Annual Report for additional trash control measures that were conducted by the County that are not specific to SMCFCD flood control zones.

On behalf of the County and SMCFCD, SMCWPPP and BASMAA implemented public education and outreach actions at the countywide and regional scales that were targeted at reducing the impacts of trash on local water bodies. For descriptions of these activities, please see Section 7 of the Program's Annual Report.

Section 11 - Provision C.11 Mercury Controls

C.11.a.i ► Mercury Recycling Efforts

List below or attach lists of efforts to promote, facilitate, and/or participate in collection and recycling of mercury containing devices and equipment at the consumer level (e.g., thermometers, thermostats, switches, bulbs).

Please refer to SMCWPPP's FY 14-15 Annual Report for details regarding countywide efforts to promote and facilitate collection and recycling of mercury containing devices and equipment at the consumer level through San Mateo County Health Department's Household Hazardous Waste (HHW) Program and Very Small Quantity Generator Business Collection (VSQG) Program.

CEH operates HHW and VSQG programs which assisted in the collection of mercury from residents and small businesses throughout the County. Collection includes fluorescent lamps, compact fluorescent lamps, as well as thermostats and other mercury containing devices. County programs assisted approximately 11,545 households and 230 businesses throughout the County in disposing of their unwanted HHW. Please refer to the Countywide Program's Annual Report for more detailed information on pollution prevention outreach efforts to the general public.

Please see the CEH, RecycleWorks, and Flowstobay websites for additional information on outreach efforts:

CEH - http://smchealth.org/hhw

Recycleworks - http://www.recycleworks.org/toxics/index.html

Flowstobay - http://www.flowstobay.org/cs_too_toxic.php

These programs promote HHW drop-off events and provide information on local businesses (such as Home Depot, Lowe's, IKEA, Orchard Supply Hardware) that provide residents and small businesses the opportunity to drop-off mercury-containing devices and equipment (e.g., fluorescent bulbs, thermostats, thermometers and/or switches) at designated locations on specific dates, times and/or business hours. All three programs also promote pick up/drop off services through the South Bayside Waste Management Authority (SBWMA, also called RethinkWaste) via At-Your-Door Special Collection (http://wmatyourdoor.com/). The CEH utilizes the Thermostat Recycling Corporation, an organization developed on behalf of the thermostat manufacturers, that recycles mercury-containing thermostats and switches generated by residents and small businesses. The HVAC industry is the largest generator of these waste streams and is the targeted audience to inform of this recycling option.

C.11.a.ii ► Mercury Collection

Provide an estimate of the mass of mercury collected through these efforts, or provide a reference to a report containing this estimate.

As described above, CEH operates HHW and VSQG programs which assisted in the collection of mercury from residents and small businesses throughout the County, including fluorescent lamps, compact fluorescent lamps, as well as thermostats and other mercury containing devices. County programs assisted approximately 11,545 households and 230 businesses throughout the County in disposing of their unwanted HHW.

Please refer to the FY 14-15 SMCWPPP Annual Report for an estimate of the mass of mercury collected through the San Mateo County Health Department's Household Hazardous Waste (HHW) Program and Very Small Quantity Generator Business Collection (VSQG) Program.

| Mercury Containing Device/Equipment | Total Amount of Devices Collected | Estimated Mass of Mercury Collected |
|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Fluorescent Lamps ⁶² (linear feet) | 25,532 | N/A |
| CFLs ⁶³ (each) | 1,881 | N/A |
| Thermostats ⁶⁴ (each) | 26 | N/A |
| Thermostats (lbs) | N/A | N/A |
| Thermometers (each) | 313 | N/A |
| Switches (lbs) | N/A | |
| Total Mass of Mercury Collected During FY 2014-201 | N/A | |

⁶²Only linear fluorescent lamps should be included

⁶³Only compact fluorescent lamps should be included

⁶⁴Thermostats can be reported by quantity or by pounds. Whichever unit is used, please avoid double-counting.

Permittee Name: San Mateo County Flood Control District

C.11 - Mercury Controls

C.11.b ► Monitor Methylmercury

C.11.c ► Pilot Projects to Investigate and Abate Mercury Sources in Drainages

C.11.d ▶ Pilot Projects to Evaluate and Enhance Municipal Sediment Removal and Management Practices

C.11.e ► Conduct Pilot Projects to Evaluate On-Site Stormwater Treatment via Retrofit

C.11.f ▶ Diversion of Dry Weather and First Flush Flows to POTWs

C.11.g ► Monitor Stormwater Mercury Pollutant Loads and Loads Reduced

C.11.h ▶ Fate and Transport Study of Mercury In Urban Runoff

C.11.i ▶ Development of a Risk Reduction Program Implemented Throughout the Region

C.11.j ▶ Develop Allocation Sharing Scheme with Caltrans

State below if information is reported in a separate regional report. Municipalities that participate directly in regional activities to can provide descriptions below.

Summary

A summary of SMCWPPP and regional accomplishments for these sub-provisions is included within the C.11 Mercury Controls section of SMCWPPP's FY 14-15 Annual Report.

Section 12 - Provision C.12 PCBs Controls

C.12.a.ii,iii ► Ongoing Training

(For FY 10-11 Annual Report and Each Annual Report Thereafter) List below or attach description of ongoing training development and inspections for PCB identification, including documentation and referral to appropriate regulatory agencies (e.g. county health departments, Department of Toxic Substances Control, California Department of Public Health, and the Water Board) as necessary.

Description:

The jurisdiction of the SMCFCD is limited to the flood control channels that were constructed and maintained by the District. We do not have legal authority for pollutant control on industrial sites located within the adjacent jurisdictions; however, we do work collaboratively with the adjacent municipalities to address stormwater concerns and County and SMCFCD inspection staff are regularly trained. County staff (29 CEH, 3 DPW) attended the Commercial/Industrial Stormwater Inspector Workshop on April 17, 2014, which contained information on incorporating PCBs and PCBs-containing equipment into inspections. Additionally, Haz Mat staff have training material available for pollutant of concern identification, including resources such as the Santa Clara Valley Urban Runoff Pollution Prevention Program's June 3, 2010 "POC Commercial/Industrial Inspector Training Material," the US EPA's "Polychlorinated Biphenyl Inspection Manual,"

(http://www.epa.gov/oecaerth/resources/publications/monitoring/tsca/manuals/pcbinspect/index.html) and training material provided at annual CUPA Conferences.

C.12.b ► Conduct Pilot Projects to Evaluate Managing PCB-Containing Materials and Wastes during Building Demolition and Renovation Activities

C.12.c ▶ Pilot Projects to Investigate and Abate On-land Locations with Elevated PCB Concentrations

C.12.d ► Conduct Pilot Projects to Evaluate and Enhance Municipal Sediment Removal and Management Practices

C.12.e ► Conduct Pilot Projects to Evaluate On-Site Stormwater Treatment via Retrofit

C.12.f ▶ Diversion of Dry Weather and First Flush Flows to POTWs

C.12.g ► Monitor Stormwater PCB Pollutant Loads and Loads Reduced

C.12.h ▶ Fate and Transport Study of PCBs In Urban Runoff

C.12.i ▶ Development of a Risk Reduction Program Implemented Throughout the Region

State below if information is reported in a separate regional report. Municipalities that participate directly in regional activities to can provide descriptions below.

Summary

C.12 - PCB Controls

Permittee Name: San Mateo County Flood Control District

A summary of SMCWPPP and regional accomplishments for these sub-provisions is included within the C.12 PCBs Controls section of SMCWPPP's FY 14-15 Annual Report.

Section 13 - Provision C.13 Copper Controls

C.13.a.iii.(2) ► Training, Permitting and Enforcement Activities

(FY 11-12 Annual Report and each Annual Report thereafter) Provide summaries of activities implemented to manage waste generated from cleaning and treating of copper architectural features, including copper roofs, during construction and post-construction including.:

- Development of BMPs on how to manage the water during and post construction
- Requiring the use of appropriate BMPs when issuing building permits
- Educating installers and operators on appropriate BMPs
- Enforcement actions taken again noncompliance

The jurisdiction of the SMCFCD is limited to the flood control channels that were constructed and maintained by the District. We rely on the legal authority of the municipalities in the Districts' watersheds to prohibit discharge of wastewater to storm drains generated from the installation, cleaning, treating, and washing of the surface of copper architectural features to storm drains within the municipalities of the watersheds. SMCFCD works collaboratively with these municipalities in the enforcement of the prohibitions.

Although the SMCFCD does not have legal authority to require BMPs for management of waste generated from copper architectural features or related enforcement, the County is implementing the measures listed above:

- County staff (including inspectors from DPW/SMCFCD and Planning & Building) attended the Construction Site Stormwater Inspector Training Workshop on May 5, 2015.
- The County continued to use the Construction Site Inspection Report Form, which includes review of site management of architectural copper rinse water, during inspections. Non-compliance with this and erosion control requirements are met with enforcement actions as described in the Enforcement Response Plan.
- The County continued to use the C.3 and C.6 Development Review Checklist developed by SMCWPPP, which includes the architectural copper BMPs in the list of source controls measures that may apply to projects, during project review.
- The Countywide Program, in collaboration with the Santa Clara Valley Urban Runoff Pollution Prevention Program, prepared an educational flyer on the BMPs. The County distributes the flyer on architectural copper to project applicants and/or contractors installing and/or maintaining architectural copper via posting of the flyer on the County website. The flyer is also posted on P&B's website: http://planning.smcgov.org/sites/planning.smcgov.org/sites/planning.smcgov.org/files/Architectural copper BMPs FINAL.pdf

Enforcement actions taken against noncompliance – There were no projects permitted and/or inspected involving construction with architectural copper. Therefore, the County did not take any enforcement action.

C.13.d.iii ► Industrial Sources Copper Reduction Results

Based upon inspection activities conducted under Provision C.4, highlight copper reduction results achieved among the facilities identified as potential users or sources of copper, facilities inspected, and BMPs addressed.

Summary

N/A

The jurisdiction of the SMCFCD is limited to the flood control channels that were constructed and maintained by the District. We do not have legal authority for pollutant control on industrial sites located within the adjacent jurisdictions; however, we do work collaboratively with the adjacent municipalities to address stormwater concerns and County and SMCFCD inspection staff are regularly trained.

Section 14 - Provision C.14 PBDE, Legacy Pesticides and Selenium Controls

Note: There are no reporting requirements in the FY 14-15 Annual Report for Section C.14.

C.15 – Exempted and Conditionally Exempted Discharges

Permittee Name: San Mateo County Flood Control District

Section 15 - Provision C.15 Exempted and Conditionally Exempted Discharges

C.15.b.iii.(1), C.15.b.iii.(2) ➤ Planned and Unplanned Discharges of Potable Water Is your agency a water purveyor? If No, skip to C.15.b.vi.(2): If Yes, Complete the attached reporting tables or attach your own table with the same information. Provide any clarifying comments below.

C.15.b.vi.(2) ► Irrigation Water, Landscape Irrigation, and Lawn or Garden Watering

Provide implementation summaries of the required BMPs to promote measures that minimize runoff and pollutant loading from excess irrigation. Generally the categories are:

- Promote conservation programs
- Promote outreach for less toxic pest control and landscape management
- Promote use of drought tolerant and native vegetation
- Promote outreach messages to encourage appropriate watering/irrigation practices
- Implement Illicit Discharge Enforcement Response Plan for ongoing, large volume landscape irrigation runoff.

Summary:

Comments:

SMCFCD's maintenance activities typically do not involve landscape irrigation. However, the District is currently responsible for maintaining four habitat mitigation sites, which require periodic watering of new plants to ensure plant survival. All new plants are native and are watered by hand. The District routinely provides comments related to landscaping projects proposed by other agencies or private entities in areas adjacent to District facilities.

The County's Water Efficient Landscaping Ordinance

(http://planning.smcgov.org/sites/planning.smcgov.org/files/documents/files/Water%20Efficient%20Landscape%20Ordinance%20%2801-01-10%29.pdf) became effective on January 1, 2010. It requires water efficiency measures for over 2,500 sq. ft. of developer installed landscaping and over 5,000 sq. ft. of homeowner-provided landscaping. In addition, in the Coastal Zone, the County's Local Coastal Program and Design Review policies promote the use of drought tolerant and native vegetation for all landscaping projects.

SMCWPPP offers several educational guidelines regarding landscape design for commercial and residential landscaping and gardening. Two specific outreach guide books are the San Mateo County Sustainable Green Streets and Parking Lot Design Guidebook and the Bay-Friendly Gardening Guide Book. These guidebooks, along with other irrigation, landscape, and gardening outreach materials can be found at: http://www.flowstobay.org. Please see sections C.3 New Development and Redevelopment, C.7. Public Information and Outreach and C.9. Pesticide Toxicity Control sections of the SMCWPPP FY 14-15 Annual Report for additional information. The County Planning and Building

C.15 – Exempted and Conditionally Exempted Discharges

Permittee Name: San Mateo County Flood Control District

Department makes the above Guidebooks and other materials produced by the Countywide Program available to the public at the front counter of the permit desk. This material was designed to minimize runoff and pollutant loading from excess irrigation within our jurisdiction. These materials were also distributed at the outreach events summarized in C.7 above.

Additionally, multiple outreach efforts were made by DPW RecycleWorks program, County Office of Sustainability, James V. Fitzgerald Area of Special Biological Significance Pollution Reduction Program, and through participation in the Countywide Program's public outreach efforts. Please see the following websites for specific outreach materials:

www.recycleworks.org/compost/index.html

http://green.smcgov.org/

http://www.flowstobay.org/bayfriendly

http://www.flowstobay.org/pestcontrol

http://www.flowstobay.org/teameffort

http://www.flowstobay.org/publications

http://smchealth.org/asbs

Permittee Name: San Mateo County Flood Control District

C.15 – Exempted and Conditionally Exempted Discharges

| C | .15.b.iii.(1) ▶Pl | anned Discharges | of the Potable Wat | er System | N/A | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|---|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| | Site/ Location | Discharge Type | Receiving Waterbody(ies) | Date of Discharge | Duration of Discharge (military time) | Estimated Volume (gallons) | Estimated Flow Rate (gallons/day) | Chlorine Residual (mg/L) | pH (standard units) | Discharge Turbidity ⁶⁵ (NTU) | Implemented BMPs & Corrective Actions | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

FY 14-15 AR Form 15-3

⁶⁵Monitor the receiving water for turbidity if necessary and feasible. Include data in this column if available.

Permittee Name: San Mateo County Flood Control District

C.15 – Exempted and Conditionally Exempted Discharges

| N | 1 | ٨ |
|---|---|---|
| | | |

| C.15.b.iii.(2) Unplanned Discharges of the Potable Water System | | | | | | N/A | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|-----------------------------|--|------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Site/ Location | Discharge Type | Receiving Waterbody(ies) | Date of Discharge | Discharge Duration (military time) | Estimated Volume (gallons) | Estimated Flow Rate (gallons/day) | Chlorine Residual (mg/L) ⁶⁷ | pH (standard units) ⁵² | Discharge Turbidity (Visual) ^{52,} | Implemented BMPs & Corrective Actions | Time of discharge discovery | Regulatory Agency Notification Time ⁶⁸ | Inspector arrival time | Responding crew arrival time |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

15-4 4/1/15 FY 14-15 AR Form

⁶⁶ This table contains all of the unplanned discharges that occurred in this FY.
67 Monitoring data is only required for 10% of the unplanned discharges. If you monitored more than 10% of your unplanned discharges, report all of the data collected.
68 Notification to Water Board staff is required for unplanned discharges where the chlorine residual is >0.05 mg/L and total volume is ≥ 50,000 gallons. Notification to State Office of Emergency Services is required after becoming aware of aquatic impacts as a result of unplanned discharge or when the discharge might endanger or compromise public health and safety.