



SAN MATEO COUNTYWIDE
Water Pollution Prevention Program
Clean Water. Healthy Community.

EVALUATION SUMMARY

Attendance: 77

Evaluations: 30

SMCWPPP C.3 WORKSHOP
Part 1 - Reviewing C.3 Regulated Projects
Tuesday, June 3, 2020
Webex

1. Overall, how informative did you find the webinar?

Very helpful **25** Somewhat helpful **5** Not helpful **0**

- I imagine there are different levels of knowledge among the audience so the webinar presenters should be more aware of that possibility and try to gauge the presentation to all the audience. In general, the webinar was very good. Looking forward to the next one.
- Mostly review information for me
- It would be great if we could alternate with every other year being totally focused on advanced topics.
- The examples were very helpful.
- Very good presentations

2. Basic Training: Stormwater Control Measures

Kristin Kerr, *EOA*

Very helpful **25** Somewhat helpful **5** Not helpful **0**

Comments

- This was more to my level of knowledge and understanding.
- I have seen this presentation quite a few times at this point
- Well-presented, but personally a re-hash of a lot of previously known information (which makes sense).
- Thank you for the overview. It was very helpful and simple to understand.
- Mostly review information for me
- For me personally, I know this information already, but Kristin did a great job at presenting it.
- Great speaker

3. Advanced Training: C.3 Regulated Project Requirements

Jill Bicknell, *EOA*

Very helpful 24 Somewhat helpful 4 Not helpful 1

Comments

- Some parts were a bit more than my exposure, but I imagine I do need to get more knowledge in this department even though I may not directly use it in my work, but the knowledge definitely adds value to my work.
- I have seen this presentation quite a few times at this point
- The emphasis on sizing criteria can get a bit dry -- perhaps a little more break-up to that section?
- The fillable calculation sheet was a good improvement
- Mostly review information for me
- Excellent

4. C.3 Regulated Project Compliance Review

Peter Schultze-Allen, *EOA*

Very helpful 26 Somewhat helpful 4 Not helpful 0

Comments

- This seems to be more in the area that I need knowledge in.
- I like the way that it showed a lot of examples with dos and don'ts. It is probably a good presentation to guide a designer to.
- I'm actually still a little perplexed on the "new" impervious surface definition as laid out in the C.3 checklist discussion. The checklist of common pitfalls was helpful.
- The colored C3/C6 form grid was very helpful
- Mostly review information for me
- Great

5. Redwood City Example Project

James O'Connell, *Redwood City*

Very helpful 27 Somewhat helpful 3 Not helpful 0

Comments

- At this point, part of my plan reviews could be involved with different aspects of stormwater management. Seeing specific examples was great and felt more hands-on.
- I very much liked the presentation, particularly on the way the project had to be modified to adapt to real-world conditions as design progressed and the introduction/discussion of Silva Cells (and I am always impressed by the high level of requirements Redwood City applies to its projects). I admit I am a little disappointed that the project itself was a "special project" -- with rumors of removal of the category from MRP 3.0 abounding, I

would have liked an example of how C.3 was implemented on a challenging site (though the lessons from the example can obviously be applied to C.3).

- Not helpful for my particular work focus, but thought it was a good presentation.
- Looks like Silva Cells would be more popular. Need more information and guidance (fact sheet) if possible.
- Examples are always helpful
- Very good

6. What did you find most valuable from the webinar/workshop?

Comments

- Specific references to the regulations, calculations and how to use them on specific projects, design or plan review.
- The general introduction of the information - would prefer to have the slides earlier to review ahead of time. Information went pretty fast.
- Putting the presentation together, just because you forget a lot of details over the course of a project.
- More in-depth explanation of the forms since I wasn't too familiar with them.
- Great refresher and nice to see the case study presented as it provided pros and cons, what worked and what didn't.
- Example Reviews
- Basic refresher on C.3 design criteria and the different treatment measures
- The examples provided regarding the worksheets.
- I've been to these previous annual workshops and I always appreciate the basic C3 refreshers. I also think the pictures are very helpful visual aids for this sort of information heavy type of workshop.
- This presentation is much improved from previous workshops. Thank you.
- The updates, examples and the review of the processes
- Applications/examples of pervious areas
- Good refresher on the design criteria and Silva Cell product.
- Real life projects are always helpful case studies!
- Examples of where mistakes are made when filling out forms, etc.
- It's always helpful to be able to ask Peter, Jill, and Kristin questions. Thanks for your help.
- The examples and walking through them
- Example of what Redwood City is doing
- Good refresher and learned a few things.

7. What would you like to see in future workshops/webinars?

Comments

- I wonder if having a pdf of the presentation before the session could add value. That way, the audience might have specific, well-thought-of items that could use clarification. Just an idea.
- Information was great, just seemed to go too fast.
- More Silva Cell (or similar product) examples. I feel like there is still a lot of stuff to learn about newer facilities.
- Updates and new requirements if any; it was helpful to refresh when liner was used in the past and why it was no longer required or installed nowadays.
- Incorrect design of stormwater treatment measures and the fixed design
- I always appreciate lessons learned discussions. Everyone always talks about how filter fabric is bad, but where are the examples of early site implementation that show this failure? Overall presentation comment for webinars: I think adding extra presenters/voices helps improve audience retention for a remote presentation when so much of the experience is auditory.
- Other worksheet samples.
- Much of the same
- Some sort of resource regarding C.6 regulations/inspections
- Design constraints, site issues, conflicts and changes during construction
- Advanced topics
- More C3 regulated projects
- Examples from other cities and how they are complying with C.3 regulations

8. Have you attended previous C.3 in-person workshops?

Yes: **21** No: **6**

9. Do you prefer webinars or in-person workshops?

Webinar: **7** In-person: **7** No choice: **15**

10. How did you view the webinar?

Own my own: **30** In a group: **0**