

September 15, 2015

Mr. Bruce H. Wolfe Executive Officer San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board 1515 Clay Street, Suite 1400 Oakland, CA 94612

Subject: City of San Bruno FY 2014/15 Annual Report

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

This letter and Annual Report with attachments is submitted by the City of San Bruno pursuant to Permit Provision C.16.a of the Municipal Regional Stormwater NPDES Permit (MRP), Order R2-2009-0074, NPDES Permit No CAS612008 issued by the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board. The Annual Report provides documentation of compliance activities conducted during FY 2014/15 and related accomplishments.

Please contact me at (650) 616-7179, regarding any questions or concerns.

Sincerely,

Jim Burch Public Services Deputy Director, Operations and Maintenance

CITY OF SAN BRUNO, CALIFORNIA FY 2014/15 ANNUAL REPORT

Certification Statement

"I certify, under penalty of law, that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to ensure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted, is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Signature of Duly Authorized Representative:

Name and Title

Date

FY 2014-2015 Annual ReportFINAL FORM WITH SMCWPPP GUIDANCE – JULY 17, 2015Permittee Name: City of San Bruno

Table of Contents

Section

Page

| Section 1 – Permittee Information | |
|--|------|
| Section 2 – Provision C.2 Municipal Operations | |
| Section 3 – Provision C.3 New Development and Redevelopment | |
| Section 4 – Provision C.4 Industrial and Commercial Site Controls | 4-1 |
| Section 5 – Provision C.5 Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination | |
| Section 6 – Provision C.6 Construction Site Controls | 6-1 |
| Section 7 – Provision C.7 Public Information and Outreach | |
| Section 8 – Provision C.8 Water Quality Monitoring | |
| Section 9 – Provision C.9 Pesticides Toxicity Controls | |
| Section 10 – Provision C.10 Trash Load Reduction | |
| Section 11 – Provision C.11 Mercury Controls | 11-1 |
| Section 12 – Provision C.12 PCBs Controls | 12-1 |
| Section 13 – Provision C.13 Copper Controls | |
| Section 14 – Provision C.14 PBDE, Legacy Pesticides and Selenium Controls | |
| Section 15 – Provision C.15 Exempted and Conditionally Exempted Discharges | 15-1 |

Section 1 – Permittee Information

| Backg | round Informa | ation | | | | | | | | |
|----------|--|---------------|-----------|---------------------|-------------|-----------|--------|----|--------|---------------------------------|
| Permitte | ee Name: | City of San B | runo | | | | | | | |
| Populat | ion: | 42,165 | | | | | | | | |
| NPDES P | Permit No.: | CA\$612008 | | | | | | | | |
| Order N | lumber: | R2-2009-0074 | R | | | | | | | |
| Reportir | ng Time Period (n | nonth/year): | July 2014 | 4 through Jur | ne 2015 | | | | | |
| Name o | of the Responsible | e Authority: | Jim Burc | :h | | | | | Title: | Public Services Deputy Director |
| Mailing | Address: | | 567 El Co | amino Real | | | | | | |
| City: | San Bruno | | | Zip Code: | 94066 | | | Co | unty: | San Mateo |
| Telepho | one Number: | | (650) 61 | 6-7179 | | Fax Numbe | r: | | | (650) 794-1443 |
| E-mail A | Address: | | jburch@ | <u>sanbruno.ca.</u> | <u>.gov</u> | | | | | |
| Manage | of the Designated ement Program (t from above): | | Same as | s above | | | Title: | | | |
| Departr | nent: | | | | | | | | | |
| Mailing | Address: | | | | | | | | | |
| City: | | | | Zip Code: | | | | Co | unty: | |
| Telepho | one Number: | | | | | Fax Numbe | r: | | | |
| E-mail A | Address: | | | | | | | | | |

Section 2 - Provision C.2 Reporting Municipal Operations

Program Highlights and Evaluation

Highlight/summarize activities for reporting year:

Summary:

Refer to the C.2 Municipal Operations section of the SMCWPPP FY 14-15 Annual Report for a description of activities implemented at the countywide and/or regional level.

C.2.a. ► Street and Road Repair and Maintenance Place a Y in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were implemented. If not applicable, type NA in the box and provide an explanation in the comments section below. Place an N in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were not implemented for one or more of these activities during the reporting fiscal year, then in the comments section below provide an explanation of when BMPs were not implemented and the corrective actions taken. Y Control of debris and waste materials during road and parking lot installation, repaving or repair maintenance activities from polluting stormwater Y Control of concrete slury and wastewater, asphalt, pavement cutting, and other street and road maintenance materials and wastewater from discharging to storm drains from work sites. Y Sweeping and/or vacuuming and other dry methods to remove debris, concrete, or sediment residues from work sites upon completion of work. Comments:

C.2.b. ► Sidewalk/Plaza Maintenance and Pavement Washing

Place a Y in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were implemented. If not applicable, type NA in the box and provide an explanation in the comments section below. Place an N in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were not implemented for one or more of these activities during the reporting fiscal year, then in the comments section below provide an explanation of when BMPs were not implemented and the corrective actions taken.

Y Control of wash water from pavement washing, mobile cleaning, pressure wash operations at parking lots, garages, trash areas, gas station fueling areas, and sidewalk and plaza cleaning activities from polluting stormwater

Y Implementation of the BASMAA Mobile Surface Cleaner Program BMPs

Comments:

Sidewalks in downtown area cleaned by City Maintenance Crews daily utilizing dry cleaning methods.

C.2.c. ► Bridge and Structure Maintenance and Graffiti Removal

Place a **Y** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were implemented. If not applicable, type **NA** in the box and provide an explanation in the comments section below. Place an **N** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were not implemented for one or more of these activities during the reporting fiscal year, then in the comments section below provide an explanation of when BMPs were not implemented and the corrective actions taken.

| N/A | Control of discharges from bridge and structural maintenance activities directly over water or into storm drains |
|-----|--|
|-----|--|

N/A Control of discharges from graffiti removal activities

N/A Proper disposal for wastes generated from bridge and structure maintenance and graffiti removal activities

N/A Implementation of the BASMAA Mobile Surface Cleaner Program BMPs for graffiti removal

N/A Employee training on proper capture and disposal methods for wastes generated from bridge and structural maintenance and graffiti removal activities.

N/A Contract specifications requiring proper capture and disposal methods for wastes generated from bridge and structural maintenance and graffiti removal activities.

Comments:

The City does not have jurisdiction over any Bridges or Structures within the City limits.

| C.2.d. ► Stormwater Pump Stations | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|--------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|--|---|---|
| Does your municipality own stormwater pump st | ations: | Ye | S | XI | No | | | |
| If your answer is No then skip to C.2.e. | | | | | | | | |
| Complete the following table for dry weather Do stations). If a pump station is exempt from DO m | | | | oump sto | ations ¹ (| (add more rov | vs for additionc | Il pump |
| | | | | D | | nspection ther DO Data | | nd inspection ather DO Data |
| Pump Station Name and Location | | | | | Date | mg/l | . Date | e mg/L |
| N/A | | | | N/A | | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | | | | N/A | | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| corrective actions: | monitoring at or | below 3 mg/L. / | | h inspect | tion rec | cords of additi | onal DO monit | oring for |
| corrective actions: Summary: | monitoring at or | below 3 mg/L. / | | n inspect | tion rec | cords of additi | onal DO monito | oring for |
| Summarize corrective actions as needed for DO corrective actions: Summary: Attachments: Complete the following table for wet weather in | | | | | | | | oring for |
| corrective actions: Summary: Attachments: | | | i (add | | ows for a | | | Presence of Floating Hydrocarbon (Yes or No) |
| corrective actions: Summary: Attachments: Complete the following table for wet weather in | nspection data fo | Presence of Trash | s) | d more ro Presence Odor | e of | additional pur Presence of Color | np stations): Presence of Turbidity | Presence of Floating Hydrocarbon |

¹ DO monitoring is exempted where all discharge from a pump station remains in a stormwater collection system or infiltrates into a dry creek immediately downstream.

| C.2. | e. ► Rural Public Works Construction and Maintenance | | | | |
|---------------|---|----------|-------------------|--------|--|
| Does | s your municipality own/maintain rural ² roads: | | Yes | Х | No |
| If you | ur answer is No then skip to C.2.f . | | | | - |
| explo more | e a \mathbf{Y} in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were implement anation in the comments section below. Place an \mathbf{N} in the boxes next to a so of these activities during the reporting fiscal year, then in the comments s mented and the corrective actions taken. | ctivitie | s where applic | able | BMPs were not implemented for one or |
| | Control of road-related erosion and sediment transport from road design | n, cons | truction, maint | enar | nce, and repairs in rural areas |
| | Identification and prioritization of rural road maintenance based on soil e | erosior | n potential, slop | pe ste | eepness, and stream habitat resources |
| | No impact to creek functions including migratory fish passage during co | nstruc | tion of roads a | nd ci | ulverts |
| | Inspection of rural roads for structural integrity and prevention of impact | on wc | iter quality | | |
| | Maintenance of rural roads adjacent to streams and riparian habitat to rerosion | reduce | e erosion, replo | ice c | lamaging shotgun culverts and excessive |
| | Re-grading of unpaved rural roads to slope outward where consistent wirds as appropriate | ith roa | d engineering | safet | ty standards, and installation of water bars |
| | Inclusion of measures to reduce erosion, provide fish passage, and main design of new culverts or bridge crossings | tain na | atural stream g | eom | orphology when replacing culverts or |
| Com | ments including listing increased maintenance in priority areas: | | | | |

²Rural means any watershed or portion thereof that is developed with large lot home-sites, such as one acre or larger, or with primarily agricultural, grazing or open space uses.

| | .f. ► Corporation Yar the an X in the boxes below | | | |
|---|--|--|---|---|
| | We do not have a corp | poration yard | · · · · · | |
| | Our corporation yard is | a filed NOI facility and r | egulated by the California State Industrial Stormwater NPD | ES General Permit |
| Х | We have a Stormwater | Pollution Prevention Plar | (SWPPP) for the Corporation Yard(s) | |
| app | | ox. If one or more of the | SWPPP BMPs to indicate that these BMPs were implemente BMPs were not adequately implemented during the repo | |
| Х | Control of pollutant disc | charges to storm drains s | uch as wash waters from cleaning vehicles and equipmer | nt |
| Х | Routine inspection prior system | r to the rainy seasons of a | corporation yard(s) to ensure non-stormwater discharges h | nave not entered the storm drain |
| Х | Containment of all vehi | icle and equipment was | h areas through plumbing to sanitary or another collectior | n method |
| Х | | | bris and spills from corporation yard(s) or collection of all w es not impact surface or groundwater when wet cleanup r | |
| Х | Cover and/or berm out | tdoor storage areas con | taining waste pollutants | |
| Con | nments: | | | |
| and Corp met Park store indo spec | Recreation Corporation poration Yard are cleane hods are utilized when cl s and Recreation Corpor ed outside is kept in a clo pors. Each area where lig | Yard are inspected ann ed in a washbasin plumb eaning debris and spills f ration Yard. Chemicals a sed, covered and locke uid waste is generated of the location of chemical | Yard are plumbed to the Sanitary Sewer. Both the Public Se ually, and noted issues are followed up upon. Vehicles and ed to the Sanitary Sewer, which is located in the Public Ser from both Corporation Yards. Fertilizers, pesticides and othe are primarily kept indoors within the Public Services Corpord d container. The Public Services Corporation Yard generate or compiled has a dry spill containment and clean up kit. B als, hazardous waste, gasoline storage, oil storage, propane | d equipment from each vices Corporation Yard. Dry er chemicals are kept indoors in the ation Yard, however any chemical tes Hazardous Waste, which is kept oth Corporation Yards have site |
| | u have a corporation ya ch a summary including | | acility, complete the following table for inspection results font: | or your corporation yard(s) or |
| Cor | oration Vard Namo | Inspection Date | Inspection Findings /Posults | Follow up Actions |
| | ooration Yard Name is and recreation | (1x/year required) 3/16/15 | Inspection Findings/Results Hay waddles meant to contain debris are in need of replacement | Follow-up Actions Will replace hay waddles before the start of the rainy |

season.

| Public Services | 9/19/2014 | Inspection requirements within each subsection were checked as complete, marked with N/A if the requirement did not pertain to the Public Services Corporation Yard, and notes were added to inspection requirements where appropriate. In Section B, it was noted that vehicle washing does not occur under a roof or in a building, however the wash rack drain is plumbed to the Sanitary Sewer. Also in Section B, it was noted that we do not have a vehicle washing system, however the drain inlet in the wash rack is cleaned weekly. In Section C, there was one undeterminable requirement related to the draining of fluids from wrecked vehicles. There were no wrecked vehicles in the Public Services Corporation Yard during the inspection, however the Central Garage does not drain the fluid from wrecked vehicles unless they are leaking fluid upon arrival. In such instances appropriate measures to collect the fluid and prevent spills would be taken. None of the requirements were marked in Section D, as fuel dispensing does not occur in the Public Services Corporation Yard. Section F: The element regarding the storage of rubbish and recyclables under a roof is not possible due to the space constraints within the Public Services Corporation Yard and the types of equipment that use and are used to remove material from the area. Additional Note in this section that the dumpster that is also emptied daily. In Section G, the element regarding the covering of stockpiles of raw material when not in use is not met, however the storage yard adjacent to the Public Services Corporation Yard where the raw materials are kept utilizes infiltration as a treatment measure. Furthermore, straw waddles are installed around the perimeter of the storage yard, except at the two entry/exit gates. | Section G. Note: New Waddle material was installed along the perimeter fence, flowing cleanup of the Storage material area. Additional Comments: Trench Drain was installed across driveway entrance and exist at the north driveway. This trench drain also drains to sanitary sewer. |
|-----------------|-----------|--|--|
|-----------------|-----------|--|--|

Section 3 - Provision C.3 Reporting New Development and Redevelopment

C.3.b.v.(2)(a) ► Green Streets Status Report

(All projects to be completed by December 1, 2014)

On an annual basis (if applicable), report on the status of any pilot green street projects within your jurisdiction. For each completed project, report the capital costs, operation and maintenance costs, legal and procedural arrangements in place to address operation and maintenance and its associated costs, and the sustainable landscape measures incorporated in the project including, if relevant, the score from the Bay-Friendly Landscape Scorecard.

Summary:

The C.3 New Development and Redevelopment section of the SMCWPPP FY 14-15 Annual Report includes a description of activities conducted at the countywide or regional level.

C.3.b.v.(1) ► Regulated Projects Reporting

Fill in attached table **C.3.b.v.(1)** or attach your own table including the same information.

No Regulated Project were approved during FY14-15.

C.3.e.v. ► Alternative or In-Lieu Compliance with Provision C.3.c.

| (For FY 11-12 Annual Report and each Annual Report thereafter) Is your agency choosing to require 100% LID treatment onsite for all Regulated Projects and not allow alternative compliance under Provision C.3.e.? | Yes | х | No |
|---|-------|---|----|
| Comments (optional): | - | | - |

| C.3.e.vi ► Special Projects Reporting | | | | |
|--|---|-----|---|----|
| 1. Has your agency received, but not yet granted final discretionary approval of, a development permit application for a project that has been identified as a potential Special Project based on criteria listed in MRP Provision C.3.e.ii(2) for any of the three categories of Special Projects (Categories A, B or C)? | | Yes | x | No |
| 2. Has your agency granted final discretionary approval of a project identified as a Special Project in the March 15, 2015 report? If yes, include the project in both the C.3.b.v.(1) Table, and the C.3.e.vi. Table. | х | Yes | | No |
| If you answered "Yes" to either question, 1) Complete Table C.3.e.vi .below. 2) Attach narrative discussion of 100% LID Feasibility or Infeasibility for each project | | | | |

C.3.h.iv. ► Installed Stormwater Treatment Systems Operation and Maintenance Verification Inspection Program Reporting

(1) Fill in attached table C.3.h.iv.(1) or attach your own table including the same information.

(2) On an annual basis, provide a discussion of the inspection findings for the year and any common problems encountered with various types of treatment systems and/or HM controls. This discussion should include a general comparison to the inspection findings from the previous year.

Summary:

Permittee staff inspected three regulated sites' treatment controls during the 2014-15 fiscal year including, The Crossing, Merimont, and Cedar Mills.

Similar to last year, staff discovered that aside from minor ponding, the CDS units are generally in good working condition and performing as specified.

(3) On an annual basis, provide a discussion of the effectiveness of the O&M Program and any proposed changes to improve the O&M Program (e.g., changes in prioritization plan or frequency of O&M inspections, other changes to improve effectiveness program).

Summary:

In general the O&M Program has been quite effective. The HOAs routinely inspect the treatment measures each year and any required maintenance identified is completed promptly and coordinated with City staff through reports. Moving forward, continued communication between the HOA's and City staff is essential in ensuring the effectiveness of the storm water treatment measures.

| (4) During the reporting year, did your agency: | | | | | |
|---|---|----------|----|----------|---|
| Inspect all newly installed stormwater treatment systems and HM controls within 45 days of installation? | | Yes | No | Х | Not applicable. No new facilities were installed. |
| Inspect at least 20 percent of the total number of installed stormwater treatment systems or HM controls?³ | х | Yes | No | | Not applicable. No treatment measures |
| Inspect at least 20 percent of the total number of installed vault-based systems? | Х | Yes | No | | Not applicable. No vault systems. |
| If you answered "No" to any of the questions above, please explain: | - | <u> </u> | | <u>₽</u> | |

C.3.i. ► Required Site Design Measures for Small Projects and Detached Single Family Home Projects

On an annual basis, discuss the implementation of the requirements of Provision C.3.i, including ordinance revisions, permit conditions, development of standard specifications and/or guidance materials, and staff training.

Summary:

BASMAA prepared standard specifications in four fact sheets regarding the site design measures listed in Provision C.3.i, as a resource for Permittees. We have modified local ordinances/policies/procedures and forms/checklists to require all applicable projects approved after December 1, 2012 to implement at least one of the site design measures listed in Provision C.3.i. We are using the following Program and BASMAA products for C.3.i implementation:

- BASMAA's site design fact sheets
- The SMCWPPP Stormwater Checklist for Small Projects (<u>www.flowstobay.org/newdevelopment#forms</u>)

³If there is only 1 treatment measure in the jurisdiction, the agency must inspect it every year.

| Project Name Project No. | Project Location ¹⁰ , Street Address | Name of Developer | Project Phase No. ¹¹ | Project Type & Description ¹² | Project Watershed ¹³ | Total Site Area (Acres) | Total Area of Land Disturbed (Acres) | Total New Impervious Surface Area (ft²)14 | Total Replaced Impervious Surface Area (ft²) ¹⁵ | Total Pre- Project Impervious Surface Area ¹⁶ (ft ²) | Total Post- Project Impervious Surface Area ¹⁷ (ft ²) |
|--|--|--|---------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|
| Private Projects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 406-418 San Mateo Avenue – The Plaza Project #: GPA14-001, ZA14-001, AR14-002, TM14-001 | 406-418 San Mateo Avenue. The project is bounded by San Mateo Avenue, Taylor Avenue, and Mastick Avenue. | Signature Land Advisors received entitlements. Sares Regis recently purchased the property from Signature Land Advisors. | N/A | Mixed Use Project consisting of 83 residential units, approximately 6,975 s.f. of commercial space, and a subgrade garage containing 106 parking spaces. | | .95 | .95 | 41,095 | 35,709 | 35,709 | 41,095 |
| Public Projects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| None. | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

¹⁰Include cross streets

¹¹If a project is being constructed in phases, indicate the phase number and use a separate row entry for each phase. If not, enter "NA".

¹²Project Type is the type of development (i.e., new and/or redevelopment). Example descriptions of development are: 5-story office building, residential with 160 single-family homes with five 4-story buildings to contain 200 condominiums, 100 unit 2-story shopping mall, mixed use retail and residential development (apartments), industrial warehouse.

¹³State the watershed(s) in which the Regulated Project is located. Downstream watershed(s) may be included, but this is optional.

¹⁴All impervious surfaces added to any area of the site that was previously existing pervious surface.

¹⁵All impervious surfaces added to any area of the site that was previously existing impervious surface.

¹⁶For redevelopment projects, state the pre-project impervious surface area.

¹⁷For redevelopment projects, state the post-project impervious surface area.

| Project Name Project No. | Application Deemed Complete Date ¹⁸ | Application Final Approval Date ¹⁹ | Source Control Measures ²⁰ | Site Design Measures ²¹ | Treatment Systems Approved ²² | Type of Operation & Maintenance Responsibility Mechanism ²³ | Hydraulic Sizing Criteria ²⁴ | Alternative Compliance Measures ^{25/26} | Alternative Certification ²⁷ | HM Controls ^{28/29} |
|---|---|---|---|---------------------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|---------------------------------|
| Private Projects | | | | | | | | | | |
| 406-418 San Mateo Avenue – The Plaza Project #: GPA14-001, ZA14-001, AR14-002, TM14-001 | June 13, 2014 | Project Approved at the 10/28/14 City Council Meeting. The second reading for the associated ordinance was adopted at the November 25, 2014 City Council meeting | | | Flow Through Planter: 57.8% Self-Treating Area: .4% Contech Stormfilter: 41.8% This is a Category B Special Project. | | | | | |
| None | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

¹⁸For private projects, state project application deemed complete date. If the project did not go through discretionary review, report the building permit issuance date.

¹⁹For private projects, state project application final discretionary approval date. If the project did not go through discretionary review, report the building permit issuance date.

²⁰List source control measures approved for the project. Examples include: properly designed trash storage areas; storm drain stenciling or signage; efficient landscape irrigation systems; etc.

²¹List site design measures approved for the project. Examples include: minimize impervious surfaces; conserve natural areas, including existing trees or other vegetation, and soils; construct sidewalks, walkways, and/or patios with permeable surfaces, etc. ²²List all approved stormwater treatment system(s) to be installed onsite or at a joint stormwater treatment facility (e.g., flow through planter, bioretention facility, infiltration basin, etc.).

²³List the legal mechanism(s) (e.g., O&M agreement with private landowner; O&M agreement with homeowners' association; O&M by public entity, etc...) that have been or will be used to assign responsibility for the maintenance of the post-construction stormwater treatment systems.

²⁴See Provision C.3.d.i. "Numeric Sizing Criteria for Stormwater Treatment Systems" for list of hydraulic sizing design criteria. Enter the corresponding provision number of the appropriate criterion (i.e., 1.a., 1.b., 2.a., 2.b., 2.c., or 3).

²⁵For Alternative Compliance at an offsite location in accordance with Provision C.3.e.i.(1), on a separate page, give a discussion of the alternative compliance site including the information specified in Provision C.3.b.v.(1)(m)(i) for the offsite project.

²⁶For Alternative Compliance by paying in-lieu fees in accordance with Provision C.3.e.i.(2), on a separate page, provide the information specified in Provision C.3.b.v.(1)(m)(ii) for the Regional Project.

²⁷Note whether a third party was used to certify the project design complies with Provision C.3.d.

²⁸If HM control is not required, state why not.

²⁹If HM control is required, state control method used (e.g., method to design and size device(s) or method(s) used to meet the HM Standard, and description of device(s) or method(s) used, such as detention basin(s), biodetention unit(s), regional detention basin, or in-stream control).

| C.3.b.v.(1) ▶ Regulated Projects Reporting Table (part 2) – Projects Approved During the Fiscal Year Reporting Period (public projects) | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|------------------------------|
| Project Name Project No. | Approval Date ³⁰ | Date Construction Scheduled to Begin | Source Control Measures ³¹ | Site Design Measures ³² | Treatment Systems Approved ³³ | Operation & Maintenance Responsibility Mechanism ³⁴ | Hydraulic Sizing Criteria ³⁵ | Alternative Compliance Measures ^{36/37} | Alternative Certification ³⁸ | HM Controls ^{39/40} |
| Public Projects | | | | | | | | | | |
| None | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Comments: | | | · | · | · | · | · | | | • |

³⁰For public projects, enter the plans and specifications approval date.

³¹List source control measures approved for the project. Examples include: properly designed trash storage areas; storm drain stenciling or signage; efficient landscape irrigation systems; etc.

³²List site design measures approved for the project. Examples include: minimize impervious surfaces; conserve natural areas, including existing trees or other vegetation, and soils; construct sidewalks, walkways, and/or patios with permeable surfaces, etc. ³³List all approved stormwater treatment system(s) to be installed onsite or at a joint stormwater treatment facility (e.g., flow through planter, bioretention facility, infiltration basin, etc.).

³⁴List the legal mechanism(s) (e.g., maintenance plan for O&M by public entity, etc...) that have been or will be used to assign responsibility for the maintenance of the post-construction stormwater treatment systems.

³⁵See Provision C.3.d.i. "Numeric Sizing Criteria for Stormwater Treatment Systems" for list of hydraulic sizing design criteria. Enter the corresponding provision number of the appropriate criterion (i.e., 1.a., 1.b., 2.a., 2.b., 2.c., or 3).

³⁶For Alternative Compliance at an offsite location in accordance with Provision C.3.e.i.(1), on a separate page, give a discussion of the alternative compliance site including the information specified in Provision C.3.b.v.(1)(m)(i) for the offsite project.

³⁷For Alternative Compliance by paying in-lieu fees in accordance with Provision C.3.e.i.(2), on a separate page, provide the information specified in Provision C.3.b.v.(1)(m)(ii) for the Regional Project.

³⁸Note whether a third party was used to certify the project design complies with Provision C.3.d.

³⁹If HM control is not required, state why not.

⁴⁰If HM control is required, state control method used (e.g., method to design and size device(s) or method(s) used to meet the HM Standard, and description of device(s) or method(s) used, such as detention basin(s), biodetention unit(s), regional detention basin, or in-stream control).

C.3.h.iv. ► Table of Installed Stormwater Treatment Systems Operation and Maintenance Verification Inspection Program Reporting

| Name of Facility/Site Inspected | Address of Facility/Site Inspected | Newly Installed? (YES/NO) ⁴¹ | Party Responsible ⁴² For Maintenance | Date of Inspection | Type of Inspection ⁴³ | Type of Treatment/HM Control(s) Inspected ⁴⁴ | Inspection Findings or Results ⁴⁵ | Enforcement Action Taken ⁴⁶ | Comments/Follow-up |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|---|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|--|---|---|--------------------|
| Cedar Mills | Southwest Corner of Pepper Dr and Cedar Ave | NO | Lennar | 6/30/2015 | Scheduled | Lot 11: Flow-Through Planter | Proper O&M | Not Applicable | Compliant |
| Cedar Mills | Southwest Corner of Pepper Dr and Cedar Ave | NO | Lennar | 6/30/2015 | Scheduled | Lot 12: Flow-Through Planter | Proper O&M | Not Applicable | Compliant |
| Cedar Mills | Southwest Corner of Pepper Dr and Cedar Ave | NO | Lennar | 6/30/2015 | Scheduled | Lot 13: Flow-Through Planter | Proper O&M | Not Applicable | Compliant |
| Cedar Mills | Southwest Corner of Pepper Dr and Cedar Ave | NO | Lennar | 6/30/2015 | Scheduled | Lot 14: Flow-Through Planter | Proper O&M | Not Applicable | Compliant |
| Cedar Mills | Southwest Corner of Pepper Dr and Cedar Ave | NO | Lennar | 6/30/2015 | Scheduled | Cedar Mills Lane Pavers (Turf Block) – onsite | Proper O&M | Not Applicable | Compliant |
| Cedar Mills | Southwest Corner of Pepper Dr and Cedar Ave | NO | Lennar | 6/30/2015 | Scheduled | Bioretention Area Cedar Lane West - onsite | Proper O&M | Not Applicable | Compliant |
| Cedar Mills | Southwest Corner of Pepper Dr and Cedar Ave | NO | Lennar | 6/30/2015 | Scheduled | Bioretention Area Cedar Lane East - onsite | Proper O&M | Not Applicable | Compliant |
| The Crossing | Commodore Drive | NO | Sares Regis Management Company | 6/30/2015 | Scheduled | Vault – CDS #3 | Proper O&M | Not Applicable | Compliant |
| Merimont | In front of 107 Alden Ct. | NO | HOA Quality Management | 6/30/2015 | Scheduled | Vault | Proper O&M | Not Applicable | Compliant |
| Merimont | Between 257 and 355 Merimont Circle | NO | HOA Quality Management | 6/30/2015 | Scheduled | Vault | Proper O&M | Not Applicable | Compliant |

⁴¹Indicate "YES" if the facility was installed within the reporting period, or "NO" if installed during a previous fiscal year.

⁴⁵State the inspection findings or results (e.g., proper installation, improper installation, proper O&M, immediate maintenance needed, etc.).

⁴⁶State the enforcement action(s) taken, if any.

⁴²State the responsible operator for installed stormwater treatment systems and HM controls.

⁴³State the type of inspection (e.g., 45-day, routine or scheduled, follow-up, etc.).

⁴⁴State the type(s) of treatment systems inspected (e.g., bioretention facility, flow-through planter, infiltration basin, etc...) and the type(s) of HM controls inspected, and indicate whether the treatment system is an onsite, joint, or offsite system.

| C.3.e.vi.Spec | C.3.e.vi.Special Projects Reporting Table | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------|--|--|---|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------|---|---|---|--|
| Reporting Period –January1 – June 30, 2015 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Project Name & No. | Permittee | Address | Application Submittal Date ⁴⁷ | Status ⁴⁸ | Description ⁴⁹ | Site Total Acreage | Density DU/Acre | Density FAR | Special Project Category ⁵⁰ | LID Treatment Reduction Credit Available ⁵¹ | List of LID Stormwater Treatment Systems ⁵² | List of Non-LID Stormwater Treatment Systems ⁵³ |
| 406-418 San Mateo Avenue – The Plaza Project #: GPA14-001, ZA14-001, AR14-002, TM14-001 | City of San Bruno | 406-418 San Mateo Avenue | March 28, 2014 | Project Approved at the 10/28/14 City Council Meeting. The second reading for the associated ordinance was adopted at the November 25, 2014 City Council meeting | Mixed Use Project consisting of 83 residential units, approximately 6,975 square feet of commercial space, and a subgrade garage containing 106 parking spaces. | .95 Acres | 87 du/acre | 2.39 FAR | -Category B -The project is located in downtown San Bruno Avenue within the C-B-D (Central Business Zoning District). - Density: 2.39 FAR (Density is expressed in FAR for mixed- use projects). Parking: 106 parking spaces are provided in a subgrade parking garage. | - Category B - The maximum total LID Treatment Reduction Credit available is 50%. | -Flow Through Planter: 57.8% - Self-Treating Area: .4% | - Contech Stormfilter: 41.8% -Contech Stormfilter unit has been certified by the Regional Board for Non-LID treatment. |

⁵²: List all LID stormwater treatment systems proposed. For each type, indicate the percentage of the total amount of runoff identified in Provision C.3.d. for the Special Project's drainage area.

⁴⁷Date that a planning application for the Special Project was submitted.

⁴⁸ Indicate whether final discretionary approval is still pending or has been granted, and provide the date or version of the project plans upon which reporting is based.

⁴⁹Type of project (commercial, mixed-use, residential), number of floors, number of units, type of parking, and other relevant information.

⁵⁰ For each applicable Special Project Category, list the specific criteria applied to determine applicability. For each non-applicable Special Project Category, indicate N/A.

⁵¹For each applicable Special Project Category, state the maximum total LID Treatment Reduction Credit available. For Category C Special Projects also list the individual Location, Density, and Minimized Surface Parking Credits available.

⁵³List all non-LID stormwater treatment systems proposed. For each type of non-LID treatment system, indicate: (1) the percentage of the total amount of runoff identified in Provision C.3.d. for the Special Project's drainage area, and (2) whether the treatment system either meets minimum design criteria published by a government agency or received certification issued by a government agency, and reference the applicable criteria or certification.

3. Narrative Discussion of LID Feasibility or Infeasibility (criteria updated January 2015)

For each potential Special Project listed in Section 2, provide a narrative discussion of the feasibility or infeasibility of 100% LID treatment, onsite and offsite, using the template provided below. Insert information specific to the project where indicated with brackets and yellow shading [[== insert information here ==]].

406-418 San Mateo Avenue - The Plaza

1. Feasibility/Infeasibility of Onsite Infiltration, Evapotransplration, and Harvesting/Use

The Countywide Program's infiltration/harvesting and use feasibility screening worksheet was completed for the project. The results of this analysis showed that it was infeasible to treat the C.3.d amount of runoff with infiltration and/or harvesting and use.

2. Feasibility/Infeasibility of Onsite LID Treatment

The project site was reviewed with regard to the feasibility and infeasibility of onsite LID treatment. The results of this review showed that it was feasible to treat 58.2 percent of the C.3.d amount of runoff with LID treatment. The findings of this review are presented below.

- a. **On-site Drainage Conditions.** The project consists of a podium building that will encompass the entire site. The project generally slopes to the southwest corner of the development with runoff directed to either bioretention planter boxes, mechanical storm filter or self-retaining landscaped areas. Runoff treated by the bioretention planters or mechanical storm filter will discharge into the existing City of San Bruno storm drain system. The project is divided into three drainage management areas (OMA). OMA 1 consists of the interior courtyard, walkways, and approximately 40% of the roof runoff. DMA2 consists of approximately 60% of the roof runoff. DMA3 consists of the remaining 0.5% of the project site.
- b. Self-treating and Self-Retaining Areas and LID Treatment Measures. DMA2 consists of root runoff and is treated by the various bioretention LID treatment planters located in tile interior courtyard and walkways. DMA3 is approximately 0.5% of the project site and consists of self-treating landscaped areas and a self-retaining landscaped area that treats the walkway adjacent to sidewalk on Taylor Avenue.
- c. Maximizing Flow to LID Features and Facilities. Runoff from impervious surfaces has been directed to the available LIO facilities to the maximum extent feasible. Providing additional LID facilities at the expense of habitable residential and commercial space would make the project economically infeasible.
- d. Constraints to Providing On-site LID. The drainage management areas that are proposed to drain to tree-box type high flow rate biofilters and/or vault-based high flow rate media filters include some areas that are not covered by buildings. The project site consists of an interior courtyard and walkways that will be sloped to drain towards a mechanical storm filter. In these areas, conditions and technical constraints are present that preclude the use of LID features and facilities, as described below.
 - i. Impervious paved areas: The interior courtyard is provided for the benefit of the project's residents and the walkways within the project site are used to provide a circulation path. Providing additional LIO Facilities at the expense of habitable residential and commercial space would make the project economically infeasible.
 - ii. Landscaped areas:
 - Additional landscaped areas would be at the expense of habitable residential and commercial space and would have made the project economically infeasible.
- 3. Feasibility/Infeasibility of Off-Site LID Treatment. The possibility of providing off-site LID treatment was found to be infeasible for the following reasons.
 - i. Off-site LID treatment is infeasible given that the applicant does not own or control any land within the same watershed of the project that could accommodate in perpetuity off-site bioretention facilities to treat the runoff from the project.
 - ii. There is no known regional LID mitigation project available in-lieu of C.3 compliance.

Section 4 – Provision C.4 Industrial and Commercial Site Controls

Program Highlights

Provide background information, highlights, trends, etc.

General: The City of San Bruno has an agreement with the County Environmental Health Department (CEH) to perform business Stormwater inspections for food and hazmat facilities. Inspections, follow-up inspections, and enforcement are performed by CEH stormwater inspectors or technicians in accordance with the City's Enforcement Response Plan (ERP). The City also has an active Business Inspection Plan (BIP) which lists high and low priority businesses for inspection that were not already on the CEH list. No businesses were found to be high priority. Three businesses were found to be low-priority and scheduled for inspection once every two years. Inspections for those 3 low-priority businesses were not performed for this fiscal year.

In addition to inspections listed above, the City also performs stormwater inspections as part of the following:

- Code Enforcement: The Building Official, Building Inspectors, and Code Enforcement Officers participate in Countywide storm water meetings. They also regularly participate in regional building inspection and code enforcement networking groups that also discuss stormwater issues. 2 verbal warnings and 0 warning notice/NOV related to stormwater were issued during Code Enforcement inspections performed on private residences (thus these will not be reported or further discussed in the tables below).
- Business Compliance (BC) permits prior to the issuance of the business license (for change of ownership, new location or new business opening: A Building Inspector will inspect the site for any safety issues and also look at other issues such as waste and garbage disposal, and stormwater. Inspectors may advise business owners about best management practices. 84 BC inspections were performed for the 2014/2015 fiscal year. These inspections are performed on a pro-active basis. They are also used to determine if businesses should be assigned a high or low priority for inspections.

Committee Participation: Staff participates in the following Countywide Stormwater Pollution Prevention Program committees: Commercial, Industrial and Illicit Discharge (CII) Subcommittee; Municipal Maintenance (MM); Trash Committee; and others.

Training: The Building Inspectors and Code Enforcement Officers attended training from the San Mateo Countywide Water Pollution Prevention Program for "Stormwater Training for Construction Site Inspectors" and "Illicit Discharge Inspection Workshop" for the FY. Every year, San Bruno's inspectors and other staff members (engineers, planners) attend the training sessions offered by the Program.

Refer to the C.4. Industrial and Commercial Site Controls section of the SMCWPPP FY 14-15 Annual Report for a description of activities of SMCWPPP and/or the BASMAA Municipal Operations Committee.

C.4.b.i. ► Business Inspection Plan

Do you have a Business Inspection Plan?

X Yes No

If No, explain:

C.4.b.iii.(1) ► Potential Facilities List

List below or attach your list of industrial and commercial facilities in your Inspection Plan to inspect that could reasonably be considered to cause or contribute to pollution of stormwater runoff.

See attached "Potential Facilities List Inspected by the City of San Bruno Inspectors" and "Potential Facilities List, Facilities Inspected by San Mateo County." FY 14-15 AR Form 4-2 June 2015.

C.4.b.iii.(2) ► Facilities Scheduled for Inspection

List below or attach your list of facilities scheduled for inspection during the current fiscal year.

See attached "Facilities Scheduled for Inspection."

| C.4 | 1.c.i i | i.(1) ► Facility Inspections | | | | | |
|--------|--|---|-------------------|---------|--|--|--|
| Fill c | out th | ne following table or attach a summary of the following information. Indicate your violation reporting me | ethodology below. | | | | |
| | X Permittee reports multiple discrete violations on a site as one violation. | | | | | | |
| | | Permittee reports the total number of discrete violations on each site. | | | | | |
| | | | Number | Percent | | | |
| Nur | nber | of businesses inspected | 147 | | | | |
| Toto | al nu | mber of inspections conducted | 161 | | | | |
| Nun | nber | of violations (excluding verbal warnings) | 0 | | | | |
| Site | s insp | pected in violation | 0 | 0% | | | |
| Viol | latior | ns resolved within 10 working days or otherwise deemed resolved in a longer but still timely manner | 0** | 0% | | | |
| Cor | mme | nts: | • | | | | |

C.4.c.iii.(2) ► Frequency and Types/Categories of Violations Observed

| Fill out the following table or attach a summary of the following information. | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Type/Category of Violations Observed | Number of Violations | | | | | |
| Actual discharge (e.g. active non-stormwater discharge or clear evidence of a recent discharge) | 0 | | | | | |
| Potential discharge and other | 2 | | | | | |
| Comments: Violations are counted as one per site, regardless of the actual number of discrete violations observed / recorded. One discharge and two potential discharges were recorded during the Reporting Period. | | | | | | |

C.4.c.iii.(2) ► Frequency and Type of Enforcement Conducted

Fill out the following table or attach a summary of the following information.

| | Enforcement Action (as listed in ERP) ⁴⁸ | Number of Enforcement Actions Taken | % of Enforcement Actions Taken ⁴⁹ |
|---------|--|--|---|
| Level 1 | Verbal Warning | 2 | 100% |
| Level 2 | Warning Notice or Administrative Action | 0 | 0% |
| Level 3 | Administrative Action with Penalty &/or Cost Recovery | 0 | 0% |
| Level 4 | Legal Action/Referral | 0 | 0% |
| Total | | 2 | 100% |

C.4.c.iii.(3) ► Types of Violations Noted by Business Category

| Fill out the following table or attach a summary of the following information. | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| Business Category ⁵⁰ | Number of Actual Discharge Violations | Number of Potential/Other Discharge Violations | | |
| Haz Mat | 0 | 2 | | |
| Food | 0 | 0 | | |
| Total | 0 | 2 | | |

| C.4.c.iii.(4) ► Non-Filers | |
|---|--|
| List below or attach a list of the facilities required to have coverage under the Inc | dustrial General Permit but have not filed for coverage: |

There were no industries identified as non-filers during scheduled inspections during this fiscal year.

| C.4.d.iii ► Staff Training | Summary | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Training Name | Training Dates | Topics Covered | No. of Inspectors in Attendance | Percent of Inspectors in Attendance | | | | |
| No formal training attended by staff this reporting period | | | | | | | | |

⁴⁸Agencies to list specific enforcement actions as defined in their ERPs.

⁴⁹Percentage calculated as number of each type of enforcement action divided by the total number of enforcement actions.

⁵⁰List your Program's standard business categories.

C.4.b.iii.(1) Potential Facilities List Facilities Inspected by San Mateo County

| Name | Street Number | Street Name | City |
|--------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| SAN BRUNO WELL #20 | 3401 | IST | SAN BRUNO |
| BELLE AIR ELEMENTARY | 450 | 3RD | SAN BRUNO |
| VOLIKOS ENTERPRISES | 999 | 7TH | SAN BRUNO |
| JACK SAN BRUNO | 1050 | ADMIRAL | SAN BRUNO |
| PORTOLA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL | 300 | AMADOR | SAN BRUNO |
| SEVEN ELEVEN 2366-14335C | 105 | ANGUS | SAN BRUNO |
| SIDE BY SIDE GOURMET | 353 | ANGUS | SAN BRUNO |
| decima allen school | 875 | ANGUS | SAN BRUNO |
| SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC UTILITIES | | ANGUS AVE & SIXTH AVE | SAN BRUNO |
| SAN BRUNO CABLE | 140 | ARBOR | SAN BRUNO |
| EL CRYSTAL ELEMENTARY | 201 | BALBOA | SAN BRUNO |
| BAYHILL 7 | 999 | BAYHILL | SAN BRUNO |
| MARRIOTT COURTYARD | 1050 | BAYHILL | SAN BRUNO |
| HANA'S CAFE | 1111 | BAYHILL | SAN BRUNO |
| CAFE ON THE LAKE | 1200 | BAYHILL | SAN BRUNO |
| BASKIN ROBBINS | 9 | BAYHILL SHOPPING CENTER | SAN BRUNO |
| CVS PHARMACY #9807 | 10 | BAYHILL SHOPPING CENTER | SAN BRUNO |
| VIETNAM VILLAGE | 12 | BAYHILL SHOPPING CENTER | SAN BRUNO |
| MOLLIE STONES MARKET | 22 | BAYHILL SHOPPING CENTER | SAN BRUNO |
| JOHN MUIR ELEMENTARY | 130 | CAMBRIDGE | SAN BRUNO |

| SPIRAL JAPANESE RESTAURANT | 705 | CAMINO PLAZA | SAN BRUNO |
|----------------------------------|------|--------------|-----------|
| OCEAN PALACE CHINESE RESTAURANT | 711 | CAMINO PLAZA | SAN BRUNO |
| AKAGI RESTAURANT | 713 | CAMINO PLAZA | SAN BRUNO |
| RAGING BOBA | 719 | CAMINO PLAZA | SAN BRUNO |
| PASTA POMODORO | 811 | CHERRY | SAN BRUNO |
| WALMART ECOMMERCE 8771 | 850 | CHERRY | SAN BRUNO |
| WALMART @ COMMERCE CAFE | 850 | CHERRY | SAN BRUNO |
| WalMart eCommerce 8771 | 850 | CHERRY | SAN BRUNO |
| EXTREME PIZZA | 851 | CHERRY | SAN BRUNO |
| SUNNYS SUSHI | 851 | CHERRY | SAN BRUNO |
| AUS KITCHEN | 851 | CHERRY | SAN BRUNO |
| CAFE GRILLADES | 851 | CHERRY | SAN BRUNO |
| STARBUCKS COFFEE | 851 | CHERRY | SAN BRUNO |
| CARLS JR #315 RESTAURANT | 899 | CHERRY | SAN BRUNO |
| Google, Inc. | 901 | CHERRY | SAN BRUNO |
| YOU TUBE | 901 | CHERRY | SAN BRUNO |
| DAVITA | 1178 | CHERRY | SAN BRUNO |
| SAN BRUNO PARKS & REC DEPT | 251 | CITY | SAN BRUNO |
| SKYLINE COLLEGE | 3300 | College | SAN BRUNO |
| PACIFIC DINING- SKYLINE | 3300 | COLLEGE | SAN BRUNO |
| SKYLINE COLLEGE BOOKSTORE-BLDG 6 | 3300 | COLLEGE | SAN BRUNO |
| 23RD MARINES HEADQUARTERS | 900 | COMMODORE | SAN BRUNO |
| ROLLINGWOOD ELEMENTARY | 2500 | COTTONWOOD | SAN BRUNO |
| SEVEN ELEVEN FOOD STORE #15910 | 2100 | CRESTMOOR | SAN BRUNO |

| CRESTMOOR PUMP STATION | 3641 | CRESTMOOR | SAN BRUNO |
|-------------------------------------|------|-----------------|-----------|
| SAN BRUNO SR CITIZENS NUTRITION CTR | 1555 | CRYSTAL SPRINGS | SAN BRUNO |
| KIEWIT INFRASTRUCTURE WEST CO | 2901 | CRYSTAL SPRINGS | San Bruno |
| HARRY TRACY WATER TREATMENT PLANT | 2901 | CRYSTAL SPRINGS | SAN BRUNO |
| SAN BRUNO WELL #18 | 277 | CYPRESS | SAN BRUNO |
| ONE HOUR DRY CLEANING | 100 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| CAMINO CORNER RESTAURANT | 110 | EL CAMINO REAL | San Bruno |
| MOTORCYCLE MADNESS | 115 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| OLE'S CARBURETOR & ELECTRIC INC | 120 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| THE DOG HOUSE | 130 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| NORTH SOUTH SEAFOOD RESTAURANT | 130 | EL CAMINO REAL | San Bruno |
| PENINSULA SEA FOOD MART | 135 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| LIQUOR LOCKER | 185 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| HOWARD JOHNSON SAN BRUNO | 190 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| PENINSULA TRANSMISSION | 200 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| CELIAS | 201 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| RIB SHACK | 223 | EL CAMINO REAL | San Bruno |
| GINTEI | 235 | EL CAMINO REAL | San Bruno |
| TODAM TOFU HOUSE | 260 | EL CAMINO REAL | San Bruno |
| TAQUERIA MI DURANGO | 287 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| KOBE SAKE | 312 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| WALGREENS COMPANY | 333 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| Walgreens #2939 | 333 | El Camino Real | SAN BRUNO |
| VICTORY HONDA OF SAN BRUNO | 345 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |

| ICHIBAN SUSHI | 352 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
|----------------------------------|------|----------------|-----------|
| CAFE MARIO | 354 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| SAN BRUNO CABLE | 398 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| DON PICOS | 461 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| HOUSE OF COLOR SB | 471 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| WENDYS IN SAN BRUNO | 499 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| RAMADA LIMITED | 500 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| QUALITY AUTOMOTIVE SHOP | 501 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| SAN BRUNO CITY FIRE DEPT | 555 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| SAN BRUNO CITY HALL | 567 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| INTL HOUSE OF PANCAKES #625 | 590 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| San Bruno Shell #173 | 798 | El Camino Real | SAN BRUNO |
| DOUBLE AA EL CAMINO | 800 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| SPEEDEE OIL LUBE & TUNE UP | 801 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| CHILIS BAR & GRILL | 899 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| KAISER PERMANENTE MEDICAL CENTER | 901 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| EUROPEAN CAR SERVICE | 928 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| JC PENNEY #1959 | 1122 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| SUBWAY #61679 | 1150 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| NAAN N CURRY | 1150 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| PIZZA STUDIO | 1150 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| CHIPOTLE MEXICAN GRILL #2412 | 1150 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| CHARLOTTE RUSSE INC | 1150 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| THE SHOPS AT TANFORAN | 1150 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |

| LENSCRAFTERS #5115 | 1150 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
|-----------------------------------|------|----------------|-----------|
| TARGET T1054 | 1150 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| SEARS #1478 | 1178 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| Sears #6909 | 1178 | El Camino Real | SAN BRUNO |
| RED LOBSTER #0549 | 1210 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| DICKYS BARBEQUE | 1212 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| QUICKLY | 1212 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| STARBUCKS COFFEE CO | 1212 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| LA SALSA MEXICAN GRILL SAN BRUNO | 1230 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| PHO DO INC | 1230 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| MIJOURI SUSHI BUNE | 1230 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| MANILA BAY CUISINE | 1230 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| RED RIBBON BAKESHOP | 1230 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| MARSHALLS #492 | 1268 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| CHUCK E CHEESE | 1270 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| RED ROBIN RESTAURANT | 1274 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| ВК 6260 | 1278 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| LEADER CLEANERS | 1310 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| Lucky #748 | 1322 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| LOWE'S #1019 | 1340 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| DAYS INN SAN BRUNO | 1550 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| JIFFY LUBE #296 | 1580 | El Camino Real | SAN BRUNO |
| VIENNA PIZZERIA & RESTAURANTS INC | 1590 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| SAN BRUNO KOREAN BBQ | 1610 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |

| totos pizzeria of san bruno | 1690 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
|-------------------------------|------|----------------|-----------|
| JACK IN THE BOX #404 | 1700 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| CHILTON AUTO BODY INC | 1720 | El Camino Real | SAN BRUNO |
| PATIO FILIPINO | 1770 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| MID EAST MARKET | 1776 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| MID EAST MEAT MARKET | 1778 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| FAT WONGS KITCHEN | 1780 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| AMAMI SUSHI CORPORATION | 1789 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| SAN BRUNO ARCO | 1799 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| ARCO 00743 | 1799 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| SAN BRUNO WELL #16 | | FOREST | SAN BRUNO |
| SHARP PARK PUMP STATION | 3496 | HIGHLAND | SAN BRUNO |
| SAN BRUNO CORPORATION YARD | 225 | HUNTINGTON | SAN BRUNO |
| ARTICHOKE JOES | 659 | HUNTINGTON | SAN BRUNO |
| ARTICHOKE JOES ENTERPRISE INC | 659 | HUNTINGTON | SAN BRUNO |
| HUNTINGTON LIQUOR | 763 | HUNTINGTON | SAN BRUNO |
| CHUYS DELI | 875 | HUNTINGTON | SAN BRUNO |
| SAN BRUNO POLICE | 1177 | HUNTINGTON | SAN BRUNO |
| STAY BRIDGE SUITES | 1350 | HUNTINGTON | SAN BRUNO |
| SAN BRUNO WELL #17 | | HUNTINGTON | SAN BRUNO |
| SPEEDY SPOT | 701 | JENEVEIN | SAN BRUNO |
| CREST DRY CLEANERS | 705 | JENEVEIN | SAN BRUNO |
| TONYS AUTO REPAIR | 601 | KAINS | SAN BRUNO |
| CAPUCHINO HIGH SCHOOL | 1501 | MAGNOLIA | SAN BRUNO |

| SAN BRUNO WATER PUMP STATION # 5 | 590 | MAPLE | SAN BRUNO |
|----------------------------------|------|------------|-----------|
| MOBILL RESTORATION | 1013 | MONTGOMERY | SAN BRUNO |
| MONTGOMERY PLUS AUTO CLINIC | 1014 | MONTGOMERY | SAN BRUNO |
| PRECISION AUTO SVC | 1018 | MONTGOMERY | SAN BRUNO |
| A & W AUTO BODY | 1045 | MONTGOMERY | SAN BRUNO |
| AUTO PLUS BODY SHOP | 1051 | MONTGOMERY | SAN BRUNO |
| VINCES SHELLFISH CO INC | 1063 | MONTGOMERY | SAN BRUNO |
| TRIPLE M BODY SHOP | 1071 | MONTGOMERY | SAN BRUNO |
| A & Z AUTO BODY SHOP | 1079 | MONTGOMERY | SAN BRUNO |
| ARMANDO EXPRESS AUTO REPAIR | 1089 | MONTGOMERY | SAN BRUNO |
| LANGI AIRPORT EQUIPMENT REPAIR | 1121 | MONTGOMERY | SAN BRUNO |
| NATIONAL COLOR AUTO PAINT INC | 1136 | MONTGOMERY | SAN BRUNO |
| EURO AUTOMOTIVE | 1137 | MONTGOMERY | SAN BRUNO |
| FINE LINE AUTOBODY | 1144 | MONTGOMERY | SAN BRUNO |
| C & M AUTOMOTIVE | 1157 | MONTGOMERY | SAN BRUNO |
| G D RACING | 1169 | MONTGOMERY | SAN BRUNO |
| INTERNATIONAL AUTOBODY | 1172 | MONTGOMERY | SAN BRUNO |
| UNIQUE CUSTOM COLLISION CENTER | 1200 | MONTGOMERY | SAN BRUNO |
| PRIMESHAPE AUTOBODY INC | 1212 | MONTGOMERY | SAN BRUNO |
| RMD AUTO BODY LLC | 1229 | MONTGOMERY | SAN BRUNO |
| PRESTIGE AUTO BODY | 1245 | MONTGOMERY | SAN BRUNO |
| CRANKSHAFT CORPORATION | 1247 | MONTGOMERY | SAN BRUNO |
| BUDS AUTO CLINIC | 1254 | MONTGOMERY | SAN BRUNO |
| AMAZON | 1255 | MONTGOMERY | SAN BRUNO |

| SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY JAIL #5 | 1 | MORELAND | SAN BRUNO |
|-----------------------------------|------|----------------------|-----------|
| AVALON SAN BRUNO | | NATIONAL/COMMODORE | SAN BRUNO |
| PARKSIDE INTERMEDIATE | 1801 | NILES | SAN BRUNO |
| ST ROBERTS CHURCH & SCHOOL | 345 | ОАК | SAN BRUNO |
| PG&E: SAN BRUNO SUBSTATION | | Pepper | SAN BRUNO |
| PENINSULA HIGH SCHOOL /PAINT SHOP | 300 | PIEDMONT | SAN BRUNO |
| PENINSULA HIGH SCHOOL | 300 | PIEDMONT | SAN BRUNO |
| ROLLINGWOOD 76 | 2000 | Rollingwood | SAN BRUNO |
| Rollingwood Chevron | 2001 | Rollingwood | SAN BRUNO |
| ROLLINGWOOD CHEVRON | 2001 | ROLLINGWOOD | SAN BRUNO |
| SHARI | 2010 | ROLLINGWOOD | SAN BRUNO |
| 7 ELEVEN STORE # 2366-14341C | 2020 | ROLLINGWOOD | SAN BRUNO |
| KIEWIT INFRASTRUCTURE WEST CO | | SAN ANDREAS RESEVOIR | SAN BRUNO |
| LOMITA PARK PUMP STATION | 1049 | SAN ANTONIO | SAN BRUNO |
| LE CHON CATERING | 169 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |
| CARROLLS MEATS & DELI | 189 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |
| MR BATHTUB INC | 199 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |
| HANLONS TIRE SERVICE | 205 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |
| MELODY TOYOTA | 222 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |
| AUTOLOGIC | 265 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |
| SAN BRUNO TRANSMISSION | 271 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |
| SAN BRUNO VALERO | 310 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |
| SAN BRUNO VALERO | 310 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |
| ARAUJOS LA TAQUERIA | 404 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |

| MR PICKLES SANDWICH SHOP | 428 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |
|-----------------------------------|------|-----------|-----------|
| Rolling Pin Donuts LLC | 429 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |
| G M AUTO BODY | 482 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |
| GREEN VALLEY CENTER | 504 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |
| QUALITY BRAKE TUNE UP | 511 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |
| TASTEBUDS | 582 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |
| LA DORA LIQUORS & DELI | 604 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |
| INDIA FOOD MARKET | 650 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |
| TRUST AUTO AND PERFORMANCE | 675 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |
| NEW YORK PIZZA | 700 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |
| SAN BRUNO AVE BP AUTO REPAIR | 717 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |
| SAN BRUNO 76 #2611200 | 717 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |
| GRUMPYS MOTORCYCLES | 797 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |
| TOM & JACKS AUTO SERVICE & REPAIR | 798 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |
| SAN BRUNO KWIK SERVE | 2101 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |
| LUNARDIS MARKET | 2801 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |
| CRESTMOOR AUTO CENTER | 2901 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |
| SAN BRUNO 76 | 401 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| ISLA RESTAURANT | 422 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| ROMA DELI | 424 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| SWEET CONNECTIONS | 430 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| MINGS RESTAURANT | 436 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| THE FUEL PUMP CAFE | 440 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| CLEOS BRAZILIAN STEAK HOUSE | 446 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |

| HIRO JAPANESE RESTAURANT | 449 | SAN MATEO | San bruno |
|------------------------------|-----|-----------|-----------|
| KUYAS ASIAN CUISINE, INC | 460 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| THAI NAKORN RESTAURANT | 464 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| WEST COAST CAFE | 466 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| BEANS INTERNATIONAL MARKET | 480 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| SAJJ RESTAURANT | 482 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| BIG JOES #8 | 487 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| NEELAM PACIFIC MARKET | 492 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| CABALEN | 495 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| NEWELLS | 497 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| HON LIN RESTAURANT, INC | 500 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| STARBUCKS COFFEE CO | 501 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| MEXICANA PRODUCE | 512 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| SAN BRUNO COMMERCIAL KITCHEN | 528 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| SAN BRUNO LIQUORS | 542 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| DIDDALIDOO, LLC | 544 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| EL NOPAL TAQUERIA | 551 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| SUSHI HOUSE | 576 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| INNYA LAKE RESTAURANT | 586 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| LOS PRIMOS MEAT MARKET, INC | 599 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| GRAND LEADER MARKET | 600 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| MAR Y MAR SEAFOOD | 602 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| K-GRILL TOFU HOUSE | 617 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| SWEET & NATURAL | 629 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |

| KAVA BAR | 630 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
|---|-----|-----------|-----------|
| NOORI PAKISTANI AND INDIAN CUISINE LLC | 632 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| LITTLE SUPER PIZZA | 637 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| BOILING BEIJING | 649 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| CASCADE ECO FRIENDLY CLEANERS | 675 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| GEORGIOS RESTAURANT | 677 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| AJI ICHI | 695 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| LA PALOMA RESTAURANT | 699 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| CHEERS | 701 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| PAPA JOHN PIZZA | 735 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| HPR BISTRO | 741 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| AMERICAN LEGION POST 409 | 757 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| MC AUTO BODY | 828 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| EXTRA CARE | 840 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| LALOMA AUTO BODY SHOP | 848 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| C & C AUTOMOTIVE REFINISHING | 860 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| ACCESS AUTO BODY SHOP | 887 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| TECHNICS BODY SHOP | 898 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| SAMS FOOD MARKET | 899 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| D&J AUTO REPAIR | 900 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| JLK PRECISION MOTORCYCLE | 908 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| RICHARDS AUTO REPAIR | 910 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| FIVE STAR AUTO BODY WORKS | 916 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| K-119 OF CALIFORNIA INC | 925 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |

| SAN BRUNO AUTO CENTER INC | 965 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
|------------------------------------|------|-----------|-----------|
| | | | |
| WEST COAST AUTO REPAIR | 1029 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| FOUR SEAS AUTO SERVICE | 1037 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| EXPRESS AUTO REPAIR | 1041 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| TAQUERIA SAN BRUNO | 1045 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| TECHNIC AUTO REPAIR | 1051 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| T & J AUTOMOTIVE | 1073 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| LINDEN AUTO SERVICE | 1077 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| AT&T California - P2011 | 1101 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| JAPAN AUTO SERVICE | 1121 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| TRANSMISSION AUTO REPAIR & BODY WK | 1125 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| E & E ENTERPRISE | 1129 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| NOR CAL | 1133 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| TERRA NOVA AUTO SERVICE | 1137 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| A & R AUTOMOTIVE | 1141 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| HARRIS FORKLIFT SERVICE | 1161 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| GAVAS AUTO BODY | 1177 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| CERTIFIED AUTO BODY | 1201 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| THE BONEYARD | 1225 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| AUTO CLASS SOLUTION | 1229 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| ADVANCED AUTO BODY | 1229 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| LERA GLASS | 1245 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| SEGOVIA AUTO BODY INC | 1249 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| SUPERIOR AUTO REPAIR | 1253 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |

| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|-------------------------------|---------|---------------|-----------|
| VALLEJO AUTO BODY & PAINT | 1269 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| MG AUTO BODY SHOP | 1273 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| THE SHOP | 1153-55 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| A & F AUTO BODY REPAIR | 1261-65 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| LIMITLESS MOTORSPORTS | 1049 | SAN MATEO AVE | SAN BRUNO |
| GOLDEN GATE NATIONAL CEMETERY | 1300 | SNEATH | SAN BRUNO |
| MONTE VERDE ELEMENTARY | 2551 | ST CLOUD | SAN BRUNO |
| SAN BRUNO TRANSFER STATION | 101 | TANFORAN | SAN BRUNO |
| LEGACY AUTO TECH | 161 | TANFORAN | SAN BRUNO |
| 800 WALNUT | 800 | WALNUT | SAN BRUNO |
| TANFORAN SHELL #8 | | | SAN BRUNO |
| PG&E: SNEATH LANE SUBSTATION | | | SAN BRUNO |
| SAN BRUNO CAR WASH | | | SAN BRUNO |
| Oil Changer #303 | | | SAN BRUNO |
| B & B TRANSMISSIONS | | | SAN BRUNO |

| C.4.b.iii.(2) | | | | |
|---------------|---------------|------------|--|--|
| Facilities | Scheduled for | Inspection | | |

| Name | Street Number | Street Name | City |
|------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| CLEOS BRAZILIAN STEAK HOUSE | 446 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| AJI ICHI | 695 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| SUSHI HOUSE | 576 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| SAMS FOOD MARKET | 899 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| LOS PRIMOS MEAT MARKET, INC | 599 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| MEXICANA PRODUCE | 512 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| GEORGIOS RESTAURANT | 677 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| GAVAS AUTO BODY | 1177 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| LEGACY AUTO TECH | 161 | TANFORAN | SAN BRUNO |
| ONE HOUR DRY CLEANING | 100 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| SAN BRUNO AVE BP AUTO REPAIR | 717 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |
| PENINSULA HIGH SCHOOL / PAINT SHOP | 300 | PIEDMONT | SAN BRUNO |
| YOU TUBE | 901 | CHERRY | SAN BRUNO |
| QUALITY AUTOMOTIVE SHOP | 501 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| Lucky #748 | 1322 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| SPEEDEE OIL LUBE & TUNE UP | 801 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC UTILITIES | | ANGUS AVE & SIXTH AVE | SAN BRUNO |
| VOLIKOS ENTERPRISES | 999 | 7TH | SAN BRUNO |
| Google, Inc. | 901 | CHERRY | SAN BRUNO |
| KAISER PERMANENTE MEDICAL CENTER | 901 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |

| TARGET T1054 | 1150 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
|------------------------------------|------|-----------------|-----------|
| Tonys auto repair | 601 | KAINS | SAN BRUNO |
| TRUST AUTO AND PERFORMANCE | 675 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |
| ARAUJOS LA TAQUERIA | 404 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |
| MR BATHTUB INC | 199 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |
| AMERICAN LEGION POST 409 | 757 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| MINGS RESTAURANT | 436 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| THE SHOPS AT TANFORAN | 1150 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| NEELAM PACIFIC MARKET | 492 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| GRUMPYS MOTORCYCLES | 797 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |
| MAR Y MAR SEAFOOD | 602 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| GRAND LEADER MARKET | 600 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| TRANSMISSION AUTO REPAIR & BODY WK | 1125 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| VICTORY HONDA OF SAN BRUNO | 345 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| JOHN MUIR ELEMENTARY | 130 | CAMBRIDGE | SAN BRUNO |
| ROLLINGWOOD ELEMENTARY | 2500 | COTTONWOOD | SAN BRUNO |
| PORTOLA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL | 300 | AMADOR | SAN BRUNO |
| SAN BRUNO 76 #2611200 | 717 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |
| MOTORCYCLE MADNESS | 115 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| KIEWIT INFRASTRUCTURE WEST CO | 2901 | CRYSTAL SPRINGS | SAN BRUNO |
| 23RD MARINES HEADQUARTERS | 900 | COMMODORE | SAN BRUNO |
| PENINSULA TRANSMISSION | 200 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| OLE'S CARBURETOR & ELECTRIC INC | 120 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| EUROPEAN CAR SERVICE | 928 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |

| CABALEN | 495 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
|-----------------------------------|------|-----------------|-----------|
| CHILTON AUTO BODY INC | 1720 | El Camino Real | SAN BRUNO |
| JIFFY LUBE #296 | 1580 | El Camino Real | SAN BRUNO |
| SAN BRUNO CORPORATION YARD | 225 | HUNTINGTON | SAN BRUNO |
| HARRY TRACY WATER TREATMENT PLANT | 2901 | CRYSTAL SPRINGS | SAN BRUNO |
| Walgreens #2939 | 333 | El Camino Real | SAN BRUNO |
| LEADER CLEANERS | 1310 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| Sears #6909 | 1178 | El Camino Real | SAN BRUNO |
| SEARS #1478 | 1178 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| SAN BRUNO PARKS & REC DEPT | 251 | CITY | SAN BRUNO |
| SPEEDY SPOT | 701 | JENEVEIN | SAN BRUNO |
| LENSCRAFTERS #5115 | 1150 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| CREST DRY CLEANERS | 705 | JENEVEIN | SAN BRUNO |
| SAN BRUNO VALERO | 310 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |
| ARTICHOKE JOES ENTERPRISE INC | 659 | HUNTINGTON | SAN BRUNO |
| A & Z AUTO BODY SHOP | 1079 | MONTGOMERY | SAN BRUNO |
| SAN BRUNO KWIK SERVE | 2101 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |
| MONTGOMERY PLUS AUTO CLINIC | 1014 | MONTGOMERY | SAN BRUNO |
| MANILA BAY CUISINE | 1230 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| MOBILL RESTORATION | 1013 | MONTGOMERY | SAN BRUNO |
| C & M AUTOMOTIVE | 1157 | MONTGOMERY | SAN BRUNO |
| INTERNATIONAL AUTOBODY | 1172 | MONTGOMERY | SAN BRUNO |
| ROLLINGWOOD 76 | 2000 | Rollingwood | SAN BRUNO |
| Rollingwood Chevron | 2001 | Rollingwood | SAN BRUNO |

| SHARP PARK PUMP STATION | 3496 | HIGHLAND | SAN BRUNO |
|-------------------------------|------|----------------|-----------|
| LOMITA PARK PUMP STATION | 1049 | SAN ANTONIO | SAN BRUNO |
| CRESTMOOR PUMP STATION | 3641 | CRESTMOOR | SAN BRUNO |
| FINE LINE AUTOBODY | 1144 | MONTGOMERY | SAN BRUNO |
| NATIONAL COLOR AUTO PAINT INC | 1136 | MONTGOMERY | SAN BRUNO |
| PARKSIDE INTERMEDIATE | 1801 | NILES | SAN BRUNO |
| ST ROBERTS CHURCH & SCHOOL | 345 | ОАК | SAN BRUNO |
| PRIMESHAPE AUTOBODY INC | 1212 | MONTGOMERY | SAN BRUNO |
| BUDS AUTO CLINIC | 1254 | MONTGOMERY | SAN BRUNO |
| DOUBLE AA EL CAMINO | 800 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| MONTE VERDE ELEMENTARY | 2551 | ST CLOUD | SAN BRUNO |
| SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY JAIL #5 | 1 | MORELAND | SAN BRUNO |
| G M AUTO BODY | 482 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |
| SAN BRUNO CITY HALL | 567 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| SAN BRUNO CABLE | 398 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| SAN BRUNO POLICE | 1177 | HUNTINGTON | SAN BRUNO |
| SAN BRUNO CABLE | 140 | ARBOR | SAN BRUNO |
| SAN BRUNO CITY FIRE DEPT | 555 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| HANLONS TIRE SERVICE | 205 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |
| SIDE BY SIDE GOURMET | 353 | ANGUS | SAN BRUNO |
| MELODY TOYOTA | 222 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |
| AUTOLOGIC | 265 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |
| STARBUCKS COFFEE CO | 501 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| QUALITY BRAKE TUNE UP | 511 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |

| QUICKLY | 1212 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
|-----------------------------------|------|----------------------|-----------|
| CASCADE ECO FRIENDLY CLEANERS | 675 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| SAN BRUNO TRANSMISSION | 271 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |
| SEVEN ELEVEN 2366-14335C | 105 | ANGUS | SAN BRUNO |
| KIEWIT INFRASTRUCTURE WEST CO | | SAN ANDREAS RESEVOIR | SAN BRUNO |
| RMD AUTO BODY LLC | 1229 | MONTGOMERY | SAN BRUNO |
| DIDDALIDOO, LLC | 544 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| SAN BRUNO LIQUORS | 542 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| MG AUTO BODY SHOP | 1273 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| TOM & JACKS AUTO SERVICE & REPAIR | 798 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |
| THE DOG HOUSE | 130 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| ROLLING PIN DONUTS LLC | 429 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |
| CHEERS | 701 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| STAY BRIDGE SUITES | 1350 | HUNTINGTON | SAN BRUNO |
| K-119 OF CALIFORNIA INC | 925 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| FIVE STAR AUTO BODY WORKS | 916 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| TECHNICS BODY SHOP | 898 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| EXTREME PIZZA | 851 | CHERRY | SAN BRUNO |
| MC AUTO BODY | 828 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| SAN BRUNO AUTO CENTER INC | 965 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| CAFE GRILLADES | 851 | CHERRY | SAN BRUNO |
| SAN BRUNO CAR WASH | | | SAN BRUNO |
| B & B TRANSMISSIONS | | | SAN BRUNO |
| DON PICOS | 461 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |

| TASTEBUDS | 582 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |
|----------------------------------|---------|-------------------------|-----------|
| CRESTMOOR AUTO CENTER | 2901 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |
| A & F AUTO BODY REPAIR | 1261-65 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| SUPERIOR AUTO REPAIR | 1253 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| HOUSE OF COLOR SB | 471 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| MOLLIE STONES MARKET | 22 | BAYHILL SHOPPING CENTER | SAN BRUNO |
| HOWARD JOHNSON SAN BRUNO | 190 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| SHARI | 2010 | ROLLINGWOOD | SAN BRUNO |
| MR PICKLES SANDWICH SHOP | 428 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |
| SAN BRUNO ARCO | 1799 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| A & R AUTOMOTIVE | 1141 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| TECHNIC AUTO REPAIR | 1051 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| HON LIN RESTAURANT, INC | 500 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| LA SALSA MEXICAN GRILL SAN BRUNO | 1230 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| BOILING BEIJING | 649 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| E & E ENTERPRISE | 1129 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| WALMART ECOMMERCE 8771 | 850 | CHERRY | SAN BRUNO |
| SUBWAY #61679 | 1150 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| EURO AUTOMOTIVE | 1137 | MONTGOMERY | SAN BRUNO |
| LA PALOMA RESTAURANT | 699 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| SAJJ RESTAURANT | 482 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| NAAN N CURRY | 1150 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| BAYHILL 7 | 999 | BAYHILL | SAN BRUNO |
| PIZZA STUDIO | 1150 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |

| 1 | I | I | 1 |
|------------------------------|------|--------------------|-----------|
| AVALON SAN BRUNO | | NATIONAL/COMMODORE | SAN BRUNO |
| HUNTINGTON LIQUOR | 763 | HUNTINGTON | SAN BRUNO |
| RIB SHACK | 223 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| KAVA BAR | 630 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| 7 ELEVEN STORE # 2366-14341C | 2020 | ROLLINGWOOD | SAN BRUNO |
| GINTEI | 235 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| TAQUERIA MI DURANGO | 287 | EL CAMINO REAL | san bruno |
| CHIPOTLE MEXICAN GRILL #2412 | 1150 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| HANA'S CAFE | 1111 | BAYHILL | SAN BRUNO |
| THE BONEYARD | 1225 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| RAGING BOBA | 719 | CAMINO PLAZA | SAN BRUNO |
| PHO DO INC | 1230 | EL CAMINO REAL | SAN BRUNO |
| SAN BRUNO COMMERCIAL KITCHEN | 528 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| CARROLLS MEATS & DELI | 189 | SAN BRUNO | SAN BRUNO |
| ADVANCED AUTO BODY | 1229 | SAN MATEO | SAN BRUNO |
| 800 WALNUT | 800 | WALNUT | SAN BRUNO |

Section 5 – Provision C.5 Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination

Program Highlights Provide background information, highlights, trends, etc. Defendent in the second second

Refer to the C.5 Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination section of the SMCWPPP FY 14-15 Annual Report for description of activities at the countywide or regional level.

C.5.c.iii ► Complaint and Spill Response Phone Number and Spill Contact List

List below or attach your complaint and spill response phone number and spill contact list.

| Contact | Description | Phone Number |
|----------------------------------|---|--------------|
| Code Enforcement | Contact for reporting any code violation, including illicit discharges. | 650-616-7076 |
| Public Services Corporation Yard | Number is answered by a live person 24/7. Answered during Business hours by City personnel who respond appropriately for illicit discharge cleanup or report the activity to Code Enforcement. After | 650-616-7160 |
| | hours call service has a protocol and are trained to dispatch | |
| | Personnel to respond to observations of illicit discharges and sanitary sewer overflows. | |

C.5.d.iii ► Evaluation of Mobile Business Program

Describe implementation of minimum standards and BMPs for mobile businesses and your enforcement strategy. This may include participation in the BASMAA Mobile Surface Cleaners regional program or local activities.

Description:

Description: San Mateo County Environmental Health performs inspections on mobile businesses within the County. None of the businesses Operating in the County have a facility in San Bruno. The City responds to complaints/observations of illicit discharges from mobile businesses.

The City of San Bruno contracts with a BASMAA-certified vendor to provide high-pressure water and scrubbing cleaning of the sidewalk of San Mateo Avenue in downtown San Bruno. No soap is used. The primary material removed is gum and imbedded discoloring. No areas containing oil are washed—only the sidewalks are cleaned. Storm drain inlets are appropriately protected from wash water.

Refer to the C.5 Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination section of the SMCWPPP FY 14-15 Annual Report for a description of efforts by the Commercial, Industrial and Illicit Discharge (CII) Subcommittee and the BASMAA Municipal Operations Committee to address mobile businesses.

C.5.e.iii ► Evaluation of Collection System Screening Program

Provide a summary or attach a summary of your collection screening program, a summary of problems found during collection system screening and any changes to the screening program this FY.

Description:

The City of San Bruno inspected 970 catch basins, with 159 requiring cleaning during FY 14-15. Catch basins are cleaned as part of an annual catch basin cleaning program, which generally begins between the middle of August to the beginning of September and lasts approximately two months. Additionally cleaning of the catch basins are performed throughout the year as needed. No significant problems were encountered during the annual catch basin cleaning program this year.

City Maintenance Crews also thoroughly cleaned and inspected each Catch Basin housing a Connector Pipe Screen (50 in total covering a minimum of 94 acres) four times during FY 14-15. Maintenance records were documented and recorded. Additionally, each of the Connector Pipe Screens were inspected and/or cleaned during and following a large rain event. Maintenance Crews reported that there were no signs of device damage, or evidence of bypass.

Lastly, Maintenance crews routinely check for illicit discharges while performing their daily work activities.

C.5.f.iii.(1), (2), (3) ► Spill and Discharge Complaint Tracking

| Spill and Discharge Complaint Tracking (fill out the following table or include an attachment of the following information) | | | |
|---|----|------|--|
| Number Percente | | | |
| Discharges reported (C.5.f.iii.(1)) | 13 | | |
| Discharges reaching storm drains and/or receiving waters (C.5.f.iii.(2)) | 8 | 62% | |
| Discharges resolved in a timely manner (C.5.f.iii.(3)) | 13 | 100% | |

Comments:

Discharges due to a Sanitary Sewer Overflow (SSO) were due to a sewer main or lateral blocked by grease, roots or other debris. Wastewater crews are immediately dispatched to these locations upon notification with a vacuum combination unit to retrieve as much of the overflow from the storm drain as possible.

Non-SSO discharges are investigated by Code Enforcement staff. When the complaint is received the Code Enforcement Officer responds as soon as possible to catch the violator in the act and to prevent any more pollution from entering the storm drain. If the discharge has not reached the storm drain, the violator is allowed to clean the gutter and street. If the discharge has reached the storm drain, Public Services is called to clean and vacuum all affected inlets and piping. This may require blocking inlets further down-stream, washing the discharge out completely and vacuuming out the contents so that it does not flow to the Bay. All costs for cleanup are billed to the discharger who also receives enforcement action according the Enforcement Response Plan.

After investigation, some reports are of no merit, such as a neighbor complaining about another neighbor, but then finding no violation or evidence of a violation. In some cases we received complaints of a business dumping into a storm drain in the early morning hours. In either case, staff gives a verbal warning as an educational tool and a reminder that the City is active in water pollution prevention.

C.5.f.iii.(4) ► Summary of major types of discharges and complaints

Provide a narrative or attach a table and/or graph.

The 6 discharges that reached the storm drain system were not fully recovered due to no access for recovery. The material that reached the storm drain was identified as raw sewage. 1 discharge was from the drainage of a swimming pool that contained Chlorinated Water. 1 discharge from house paint cleanup.

Section 6 – Provision C.6 Construction Site Controls

| Number of High Priority Sites (sites disturbing < 1 acre of soil requiring storm water runoff quality inspection) (C.6.e.iii.1.a) | Number of sites disturbing ≥ 1 acre of soil (C.6.e.iii.1.b) | Total number of storm water runoff quality inspections conducted (include only High Priority Site and sites disturbing 1 acre or more) |
|---|---|--|
| | | (C.6.e.iii.1.c) |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Comments: | | |

C.6.e.iii.1.d ► Construction Activities Storm Water Violations

| BMP Category | Number of Violations ⁵¹ excluding Verbal Warnings | % of Total Violations ⁵² |
|----------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| Erosion Control | 0 | 0% |
| Run-on and Run-off Control | 0 | 0% |
| Sediment Control | 0 | 0% |
| Active Treatment Systems | 0 | 0% |
| Good Site Management | 0 | 0% |
| Non Stormwater Management | 0 | 0% |
| Total ⁵³ | 0 | 100% |

⁵¹Count one violation in a category for each site and inspection regardless of how many violations/problems occurred in the BMP category. For example, if during one inspection at a site, there are 2 erosion control violations, only 1 violation would be counted for this table.

⁵²Percentage calculated as number of violations in each category divided by total number of violations in all six categories.

⁵³The total number of violations may count more than one violation per inspection, since some inspections may result in violations in more than one category. For example, during one inspection of a site, there may have been both an erosion control violation and a sediment control violation. For this reason, the total number of violations in this table may not match the total number of enforcement actions reported in Table C6.e.iii.1.e.

| C.6.e.iii.1.e ► Construction Related Storm Water Enforcemen | t |
|---|---|
| Actions | |

| There were | There were no enforcement actions recorded for this reporting period. | | | | |
|-----------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| | Enforcement Action (as listed in ERP) ⁵⁴ | Number Enforcement Actions Issued | % Enforcement Actions Issued ⁵⁵ | | |
| Level 1 ⁵⁶ | 10-Day Notice to Correct-Courtesy (Verbal Warning*) | 0 | 0% | | |
| Level 2 | 10-Day Notice to Correct-Violation (Notice of Violation or Notice to Comply *) | 0 | 0% | | |
| Level 3 | Administrative Citation Fine (Stop Work, or Admin. Fine*) | 0 | 0% | | |
| Level 4 | Legal Action | 0 | 0% | | |
| Total | | 0 | 100% | | |

| C.6.e.iii.1.f, g ▶Illicit Discharges | |
|--|--------|
| | Number |
| Number of illicit discharges, actual and those inferred through evidence at high priority sites and sites that disturb 1 acre or more of land (C.6.e.iii.1.f) | 0 |
| Number of sites with discharges, actual and those inferred through evidence at high priority sites and sites that disturb 1 acre or more of land (C.6.e.iii.1.g) | 0 |

⁵⁴Agencies should list the specific enforcement actions as defined in their ERPs.

⁵⁵Percentage calculated as number of each type of enforcement action divided by the total number of enforcement actions. ⁵⁶For example, Enforcement Level 1 may be Verbal Warning.

| C.6.e.iii.1.h, i ► Violation Correction Times | | |
|---|--------|------------------|
| | Number | Percent |
| Violations (excluding verbal warnings) fully corrected within 10 business days after violations are discovered or otherwise considered corrected in a timely period (C.6.e.iii.1.h) | 0 | 0%57 |
| Violations (excluding verbal warnings) not fully corrected within 30 days after violations are discovered (C.6.e.iii.1.i) | 0 | 0% ⁵⁸ |
| Total number of violations (excluding verbal warnings) for the reporting year ⁵⁹ | 0 | 100% |
| Comments: | | |
| No violations were found. | | |

C.6.e.iii.(2) ► Evaluation of Inspection Data

Describe your evaluation of the tracking data and data summaries and provide information on the evaluation results (e.g., data trends, typical BMP performance issues, comparisons to previous years, etc.).

Description:

There were no projects that were found to be in non-compliance of current storm water management standards for this rating period.

C.6.e.iii.(2) Evaluation of Inspection Program Effectiveness

Describe what appear to be your program's strengths and weaknesses, and identify needed improvements, including education and outreach.

Description:

Overall, the City believes that its Inspection Program is effective, due mostly to the fact that City Inspectors are diligent and consistent with their inspections. This consistency has allowed the Inspectors to build good relationships with Developers and Contractors working within the City, which in turn has assisted in Developer compliance with applicable BMP requirements, and timely correction of violations when or if any are discovered.

⁵⁷Calculated as number of violations fully corrected in a timely period after the violations are discovered divided by the total number of violations for the reporting year.

⁵⁸Calculated as number of violations not fully corrected within 30 days after the violations are discovered divided by the total number of violations for the reporting year.

⁵⁹The total number of violations reported in the table of Violation Correction Times equals the number of <u>initial</u> enforcement actions. I.e., this assumes one violation is issued for several problems during an inspection at a site. The total number of violations in the table of Violation Correction Times may not equal the total number of enforcement actions because one violation issued at a site may have a second enforcement action for the same violation at the next inspection if it is not corrected.

| C.6.f ► Staff Training Summary | | | | | |
|--|----------------|-----|--------------|------------------------------------|---|
| Training Name | Training Dates | Тор | pics Covered | No. of Inspectors in Attendance | Percent of Inspectors in Attendance |
| Department work load prevented staff from attending the May 10, 2015 training. | May 10, 2015 | | | 0 | 0% |

Section 7 – Provision C.7. Public Information and Outreach

C.7.b.ii.1 ► Advertising Campaign

Summarize advertising efforts. Include details such as messages, creative developed, and outreach media used. The detailed advertising report may be included as an attachment. If advertising is being done by participation in a countywide or regional program, refer to the separate countywide or regional Annual Report.

Summary:

See Section 7, Public Information and Outreach, of the SMCWPPP FY 14-15 Annual Report. In addition, the following separate report developed by BASMAA summarizes the activities of the Regional Youth Litter Campaign

• BASMAA Be the Street Campaign Report

C.7.b.iii.1 ▶ Pre-Campaign Survey

(For the Annual Report following the pre-campaign survey) Summarize survey information such as sample size, type of survey (telephone survey, interviews etc.). Attach a survey report that includes the following information. If survey was done regionally, refer to a regional submittal that contains the following information:

Information on the pre-campaign survey for the BASMAA Regional Youth Litter Campaign was provided in the BASMAA FY 11-12 Annual Report. Place an **X** in the appropriate box below:

Survey report attached

X Reference to regional submittal: BASMAA FY 11-12 Annual Report

C.7.b.iii.2 ► Post-Campaign Survey

(For the Annual Report following the post-campaign survey)Discuss the campaigns and the measureable changes in awareness and behavior achieved. Provide an update of outreach strategies based on the survey results. If survey was done regionally, refer to a regional submittal that contains the following information:

Information on the post-campaign survey for the BASMAA Regional Youth Litter Campaign was provided in the BASMAA FY 13-14 Annual Report. Place an **X** in the appropriate box below:

Survey report attached

X Reference to regional submittal: BASMAA FY 13-14 Annual Report

C.7.c ► Media Relations

Summarize the media relations effort. Include the following details for each media pitch in the space below, AND/OR refer to a regional report that includes these details:

- Topic and content of pitch
- Medium (TV, radio, print, online)
- Date of publication/broadcast

Summary:

The following separate report developed by BASMAA summarizes media relations efforts conducted during FY 14-15:

• BASMAA Media Relations Final Report FY 14-15

Media relations efforts conducted countywide are described in the C.7 Public Information and Outreach section of SMCWPPP's FY 14-15 Annual Report.

C.7.d ► Stormwater Point of Contact

Summary of any changes made during FY 14-15:

No Change. Refer to the C.7 Public Information and Outreach section of SMCWPPP's FY 14-15 Annual Report for efforts conducted by the SMCWPPP to publicize stormwater points of contact.

C.7.e ► Public Outreach Events

Describe general approach to event selection. Provide a list of outreach materials and giveaways distributed.

Use the following table for reporting and evaluating public outreach events

| Event Details | Description (messages, audience) | Evaluation of Effectiveness |
|--|---|---|
| Provide event name, date, and location. Indicate if event is local, countywide or regional. | Identify type of event (e.g., school fair, farmers market etc.), type of audience (school children, gardeners, homeowners etc.) and outreach messages (e.g., Enviroscape presentation, pesticides, stormwater awareness) | Provide general staff feedback on the event (e.g., success at reaching a broad spectrum of the community, well attended, good opportunity to talk to gardeners etc.). Provide other details such as: Estimated overall attendance at the event. Number of people that visited the booth, comparison with previous years Number of brochures and giveaways distributed Results of any spot surveys conducted |

| The following outreach events were conducted on a countywide level by SMCWPPP and are described in detail in the Public Information and Outreach section of the SMCWPPP FY 14-15 Annual Report: Coastal Cleanup Day, September 20, 2014 San Mateo County Fair, June 6-14, 2015 | Please see the Countywide FY 14-15 Annual Report for a description. San Bruno promoted these events by posting flyers and posters throughout City Hall and also on the City's website. | Please see the Countywide FY 14-15 Annual Report for a description. |
|--|---|---|
| San Bruno Operation Clean Sweep May 2, 2015 | An annual event where the City seeks volunteers to do a number of maintenance and collection activities including painting, weeding, and trash pickup in a variety of locations throughout San Bruno. Residents gather at San Bruno City Park, register, and obtain their work assignments. Volunteers return in the afternoon for a barbeque lunch and distribution of thank you gifts. The local trash hauler, Recology San Bruno, is a major sponsor of the event. | Operation Clean Sweep is an effective outreach event because residents are eager to help their community and often inquire about how they can help more. Citizens become more aware of Stormwater pollution prevention and are pleased to learn of other ways to participate. The event reaches a broad spectrum of the local community and is heavily promoted as it is an annual event. Estimated attendance for the 2014 event was about 120 volunteers. Promotional materials, including car wash coupons, children's activity guides, reusable bags, and informational pamphlets were provided as part of the promotional items. |

C.7.f. ► Watershed Stewardship Collaborative Efforts

Summarize watershed stewardship collaborative efforts and/or refer to a regional report that provides details. Describe the level of effort and support given (e.g., funding only, active participation etc.). State efforts undertaken and the results of these efforts. If this activity is done regionally refer to a regional report.

Evaluate effectiveness by describing the following:

- Efforts undertaken
- Major accomplishments

Summary:

A summary of efforts conducted by SMCWPPP to work with Watershed Stewardship Groups on a countywide level is included within the Public Information and Outreach section of the SMCWPPP FY 14-15 Annual Report.

C.7.g. ► Citizen Involvement Events

| and evaluating citizen involvement events. Event Details | Description | Evaluation of effectiveness |
|--|---|---|
| Provide event name, date, and location. Indicate if event is local, countywide or regional | Describe activity (e.g., creek clean-up, storm drain marking etc.) | Provide general staff feedback on the event. Provide other evaluation details such as: Number of participants. Any change in participation from previous years. Distance of creek or water body cleaned Quantity of trash/recyclables collected (weight or volume). Number of inlets marked. Data trends |
| The following outreach events were conducted on a countywide level by SMCWPPP and are described in detail in the Public Information and Outreach section of the SMCWPPP FY 14-15 Annual Report: Coastal Cleanup Day, September 20, 2014 | Please see the Countywide FY 14-15 Annual Report for a description. San Bruno promoted these events by posting flyers and posters throughout City Hall and also on the City's website. | Please see the Countywide FY 14-15 Annual Report for a description. |
| San Bruno Operation Clean Sweep May 2, 2015. | An annual event where the City seeks volunteers to do a number of maintenance and collection activities including painting, weeding, and trash pickup in a variety of locations throughout San Bruno. Residents gather at San Bruno City Park, register, and obtain their work assignments. Volunteers return in the afternoon for a barbeque lunch and distribution of thank you gifts. The local trash hauler, Recology San Bruno, is a major sponsor of the event. | Operation Clean Sweep is an effective outreach event because residents are eager to help their community and often inquire about how they can help more. Citizens become more aware of Stormwater pollution prevention and are pleased to learn of other ways to participate. The event reaches a broad spectrum of the local community and is heavily promoted as it is an annual event. Estimated attendance for the 2014 event was about 120 volunteers. Promotional materials, including car wash coupons, children's activity guides, reusable bags, and informational pamphlets were provided as part of the promotional items. |

| C.7.h. ► School-Age Childre | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Summarize school-age children outreach programs implemented. A detailed report may be included as an attachment. Use the following table for reporting school-age children outreach efforts. | | | | | | | |
| Program Details | Focus & Short Description | Number of Students/Teachers reached | Evaluation of Effectiveness | | | | |
| Provide the following information: Name Grade or level (elementary/ middle/ high) | Brief description, messages, methods of outreach used | Provide number or participants | Provide agency staff feedback. Report any other evaluation methods used (quiz, teacher feedback etc.). Attach evaluation summary if applicable. | | | | |
| A description of School-age Children Outreach efforts conducted at the countywide level by SMCWPPP is included within the Public Information and Outreach section of the SMCWPPP FY 14-15 Annual Report. | Please see the Countywide Program's FY 14-15 Annual Report for reference tables of school outreach conducted by SMCWPPP | Please see the Countywide Program's FY 14-15 Annual Report for reference tables of school outreach conducted by SMCWPPP | Please see the Countywide Program's FY 14- 15 Annual Report for reference tables of school outreach conducted by SMCWPPP | | | | |
| SMCWPPP conducted two school-aged children outreach programs countywide. Outreach was conducted at John Muir Elementary on 10/8/2014 and Lomita Park Elementary on 1/23/2015. | | | | | | | |

Section 8 - Provision C.8 Water Quality Monitoring

C.8 ► Water Quality Monitoring

State below if information is reported in a separate regional report. Municipalities can also describe below any Water Quality Monitoring activities in which they participate directly, e.g. participation in RMP workgroups, fieldwork within their jurisdictions, etc.

Summary

During FY 14-15, we participated through SMCWPPP in the BASMAA Regional Monitoring Coalition (RMC). In addition, we contributed financially to the Regional Monitoring Program for Water Quality in the San Francisco Estuary (RMP) and were represented at RMP committees and work groups through SMCWPPP and BASMAA. For additional information on monitoring activities conducted by SMCWPPP, BASMAA RMC and the RMP, see SMCWPPP's Urban Creeks Monitoring Report, which will be submitted by March 15, 2016 per MRP Provision C.8.

Section 9 – Provision C.9 Pesticides Toxicity Controls

C.9.b ► Implement IPM Policy or Ordinance

Report implementation of IPM BMPs by showing trends in quantities and types of pesticides used, and suggest reasons for increases in use of pesticides that threaten water quality, specifically organophosphates, pyrethroids, carbaryl, and fipronil. A separate report can be attached as evidence of your implementation.

Trends in Quantities and Types of Pesticides Used⁶⁰

| | Amount ⁶¹ | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Pesticide Category and Specific Pesticide Used | FY 09-10 | FY 10-11 | FY 11-12 | FY 12-13 | FY 13-14 | FY 14-15 |
| Organophosphates | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Product or Pesticide Type A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Product or Pesticide Type B | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pyrethroids | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Product or Pesticide Type X | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Product or Pesticide Type Y | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Carbaryl | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fipronil | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

C.9.c ► Train Municipal Employees

| Enter the number of employees that applied or used pesticides (including herbicides) within the scope of their duties this reporting year. | 10 |
|--|------|
| Enter the number of these employees who received training on your IPM policy and IPM standard operating procedures within the last 3 years. | 10 |
| Enter the percentage of municipal employees who apply pesticides who have received training in the IPM policy and IPM standard operating procedures within the last three years. | 100% |

⁶⁰Includes all municipal structural and landscape pesticide usage by employees and contractors.

⁶¹Weight or volume of the product or preferably its active ingredient, using same units for the product each year. The active ingredients in any pesticide are listed on the label. The list of active ingredients that need to be reported in the pyrethroids class includes: allethrin, bifanthrin, beta-cyfluthrin, bioallethrin, cyfluthrin, cyfluthrin, cypermethrin, cyphenothrin, deltamethrin, esfenvalerate, etofenprox, fenpropathrin, gamma-cyhalothrin, imiprothrin, lambda-cyhalothrin, metofluthrin, permethrin, phenothrin, prallethrin, resmethrin, sumithrin (d-phenothrin), tau-fluvalinate, tefluthrin, tetramethrin, tralomethrin, cis-permethrin, and zeta-cypermethrin.

| C.9.d ▶ Require Contractors to Implement IPM | | | |
|--|----------|----------|----|
| Did your municipality contract with any pesticide service provider in the reporting year? | Х | Yes | No |
| If yes, attach one of the following: | | <u> </u> | |
| X Contract specifications that require adherence to your IPM policy and standard operating proceed | dures, C | R | |
| X Copy(ies) of the contractors' IPM certification(s) or equivalent, OR | | | |
| Equivalent documentation. | | | |
| If Not attached , explain: | | | |

C.9.e ► Track and Participate in Relevant Regulatory Processes

Summarize participation efforts, information submitted, and how regulatory actions were affected **OR** reference a regional report that summarizes regional participation efforts, information submitted, and how regulatory actions were affected.

Summary:

During FY 14-15, we participated in regulatory processes related to pesticides through SMCWPPP, BASMAA and CASQA. For additional information, see the regional report submitted by BASMAA on behalf of all MRP Permittees.

C.9.f ► Interface with County Agricultural Commissioners

| Did your municipal staff observe any improper pesticide usage or evidence of improper usage (e.g., | Yes | v | No |
|---|-----|---|----|
| pesticides in storm drain systems, along street curbs, or in receiving waters) during this fiscal year? | | ^ | |

If yes, provide a summary of improper pesticide usage reported to the County Agricultural Commissioner and follow-up actions taken to correct any violations. A separate report can be attached as your summary.

C.9.h.ii ► Public Outreach: Point of Purchase

Provide a summary of public outreach at point of purchase, and any measurable awareness and behavior changes resulting from outreach (here or in a separate report); **OR** reference a report of a regional effort for public outreach in which your agency participates.

Summary:

See the C.9 Pesticides Toxicity Control section of the SMCWPPP FY 14-15 Annual Report for information on point of purchase public outreach conducted countywide and regionally.

C.9.h.vi ► Public Outreach: Pest Control Operators

Provide a summary of public outreach to pest control operators and landscapers and reduced pesticide use (here or in a separate report); **OR** reference a report of a regional effort for outreach to pest control operators and landscapers in which your agency participates.

Summary:

See the C.9 Pesticides Toxicity Control section of the SMCWPPP FY 14-15 Annual Report for a summary of our participation in and contributions towards countywide and regional public outreach to pest control operators and landscapers to reduce pesticide use.

City of San Bruno Standard Operating Procedures for Pesticide Use and Implementation of Municipality's Integrated Pest Management Policy

Purpose: To minimize the use and reliance on those pesticides that threaten water quality by implementing the city's policy for integrated pest management (IPM) by all municipal employees and contractors hired to manage pests on municipal property.

Responsible Parties: All city personnel that as part of their municipal job duties are authorized to plan, manage, and control pests including pesticide applications and all city personnel that administer municipal contracts for applying pesticide on municipal property.

Contracts & Contractors: Contracts shall include a requirement that the contractor shall adhere to the city's IPM policy. This will be accomplished by using the following procedures:

- 1. Include a copy or link to the municipality's IPM policy in the contractor solicitation documents, e.g., Request for Proposal or Request for Quote, and make it clear that the pest control services being solicited must comply with the IPM policy.
- 2. Include a copy of the municipality's IPM policy in the contract's specifications.
- 3. Meet with the contractor to review the City's IPM policy.

Municipal Employees: Municipal employees who are authorized to manage pests are required to implement the city's IPM policy. This will be accomplished by using the following procedures:

- 1. Use cultural practices and pest prevention measures to minimize the occurrence of pest problems.
- 2. Set a threshold of tolerance for pests.
- 3. Use biological and physical controls that are environmentally appropriate and economically feasible to control pests.
- 4. Use chemical control as a last resort, and then the least toxic product will be used. Where feasible for structural pest control, insecticides will be applied as containerized baits.
- 5. Avoid the use of pesticides that threaten water quality⁶² especially in formulations and situations that pose a risk of contaminating stormwater runoff.
- 6. Train employees on IPM techniques, pesticides-related stormwater pollution prevention methods, the municipality's IPM policy and these standard operating procedures.

⁶² The municipal regional stormwater permit identifies the following pesticides as having a concern to water quality: "organophosphorous pesticides (chlorpyrifos, diazinon, and malathion); pyrethroids (bifenthrin, cyfluthrin, beta-cyfluthrin, cypermethrin, deltamethrin, esfenvalerate, lambda-cyfluthrin, beta-cyfluthrin, cypermethrin, deltamethrin, esfenvalerate, lambda-cyhalothrin, permethrin, and tralomethrin); carbamates (e.g., carbaryl); and fipronil." (Provision C.9)

C.9 – Pesticides Toxicity Controls

FY 2014-2015 Annual Report Permittee Name: <u>City of San Bruno</u>

7. As part of the municipality's annual report for the municipal regional stormwater permit, report on the IPM policy's implementation by showing trends in the quantities and types of pesticides used and suggest reasons for any increases in uses of pesticides that threaten water quality' (as required by municipal regional stormwater permit Provision C.9.b.).

City of San Bruno Integrated Pest Management (IPM) Policy (Adopted March 2012)

GOAL

The City of San Bruno (City) seeks to protect the health and safety of its employees and the general public, the environment and water quality, as well as to provide sustainable solutions for pest control through the reduced use of pesticides on property including buildings owned or managed by the City by applying Integrated Pesticide Management principles and techniques. The municipal regional stormwater permit requires that the City minimize reliance on pesticides that threaten water quality.

REQUIRED USE OF INTEGRATED PEST MANAGEMENT

Employees implementing pest management controls will use Integrated Pest Management (IPM) techniques that emphasize nonpesticide alternatives. Pesticides will only be used after careful consideration of non-chemical alternatives and then the least toxic chemicals that are effective shall be used. Pest control contractors hired by the City are required to implement IPM to control pests. This will be achieved by hiring only IPM-certified pest control contractors or by including contract specifications requiring contractors to implement IPM methods.

The City will establish written standard operating procedures for pesticide use to ensure implementation of this IPM policy and to require municipal employees and pest control contractors to comply with the standard operating procedures.

The City will track employee and contractor pesticide use and prepare an annual report summarizing pesticide use and evaluating pest control activities performed consistent with the municipal regional stormwater permit's requirements.

The City will review its purchasing procedures, contracts or service agreements with pest control contractors and employee training practices to determine what changes, if any, need to be made to support the implementation of this IPM Policy.

The City will perform educational outreach and/or support Countywide or regional efforts to educate residential and commercial pesticide users on a) goals and techniques of IPM, and b) pesticide related water quality issues consistent with the municipal regional stormwater permit's requirements.

The IPM-based hierarchical decision making process that will be used to control pests will include the following:

- 1. Based on field observations evaluate locations and sites where pest problems commonly occur to determine pest population, size, occurrence, and natural enemy population, if present. Identify conditions that contribute to the development of pest populations, and decisions and practices that could be employed to manage pest populations
- 2. Design, construct, and maintain landscapes and buildings to reduce and eliminate pest habitats;

C.9 – Pesticides Toxicity Controls

FY 2014-2015 Annual Report Permittee Name: <u>City of San Bruno</u>

- 3. Modify management practices including watering, mulching, waste management, and food storage to discourage the development of pest population;
- 4. Modify pest ecosystems to reduce food, water sources, and harborage;
- 5. Prioritize the use of physical controls such as mowing weeds, using traps, and installing barriers;
- 6. Use biological controls to introduce or enhance a pests' natural enemies;
- 7. When pest populations reach treatment thresholds (based on how much biological, aesthetic, economic or other damage is tolerable) non-pesticide management activities will be evaluated before considering the use of pesticides;
- 8. When pesticides are necessary, select reduced risk pesticides and use the minimum amounts needed to be effective;
- 9. Apply pesticides at the most effective treatment time, based on pest biology, monitoring and other variables, such as weather, seasonal changes in wildlife use, and local conditions; and;
- 10. Whenever possible, use pesticide application methods, such as containerized baits, that minimize opportunities for mobilization of the pesticide in stormwater runoff.

Departments performing pest management activities will identify an IPM coordinator who is responsible for assisting staff with implementation of this IPM policy.

BACKGROUND

<u>Pesticides</u> are defined as: any substance or mixture of substances intended for preventing, destroying, repelling, or mitigating any pest. Pests can be insects, rodents and other animals, unwanted plants (weeds), bacteria or fungi. The term pesticide applies to herbicides, fungicides, insecticides, rodenticides, molluscicides and other substances used to control pests.

Integrated Pest Management (IPM) is an ecosystem-based strategy that focuses on long-term prevention of pests or their damage through a combination of techniques such as biological control, habitat manipulation, modification of cultural practices, and use of resistant varieties. Pesticides are used only after monitoring indicates they are needed according to established guidelines, and treatments are made with the goal of removing only the target organism. Pest control materials are selected and applied in a manner that minimizes risks to human health, beneficial and nontarget organisms, and the environment.

IPM techniques could include biological controls (e.g., ladybugs and other natural enemies or predators); physical or mechanical controls (e.g., hand labor or mowing, caulking entry points to buildings); cultural controls (e.g., mulching, alternative plant type selection, and enhanced cleaning and containment of food sources in buildings); and reduced risk chemical controls (e.g., soaps or oils).

<u>City owned or managed property/facility</u> includes but is not limited to parks and open space, golf courses, roadsides, landscaped medians, flood control channels and other outdoor areas, as well as municipal buildings and structures.

Section 10 - Provision C.10 Trash Load Reduction

C.10.a.iii ► Minimum Full Trash Capture

Provide the following:

- 1) Total number and types of full capture devices (publicly and privately-owned) installed to-date;
- 2) Total land area (acres) and land areas within each trash generation category (i.e., very high, high, moderate and low) treated by full capture devices (or other types of devices for non-population based Permittees); and, compare with the total required in the permit.
- 3) A narrative summary of maintenance activities implemented for each device, group of devices, or device type, including descriptions of typical maintenance frequencies and issues associated with maintaining these devices. Describe, in particular, any devices that have trash or debris overflowed, bypassed or are not functioning properly in any other manner. Describe corrective actions.

| Type of Dovice | # of Devices | Acres Treated in FY 14-15 by Trash Generation Category | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|--|----------|------|-----------|-------|--|
| Type of Device | # of Devices | Low | Moderate | High | Very High | Total | |
| Connector Pipe Screens/Filters | 55 | 0 | 90 | 2 | 0 | 93 | |
| Low Impact Development (LID) Facilities | 3 (0 Public, 3 Private) | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | |
| Hydrodynamic Separator Units | 4 (0 Public, 4 Private) | 31 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 47 | |
| Total for all Types | 62 | 46 | 107 | 2 | 0 | 155 | |
| Required by Permit | | | | | 41 | | |

Maintenance Summary (Describe, in particular, any devices that have trash or debris overflowed, bypassed or are not functioning properly in any other manner. Describe corrective actions).

In April 2011, the City installed 41 small full-capture devices (i.e., connector pipe screens) within TMA #2. To supplement the April 2011 installation, the City installed an additional 9 small full-capture devices (i.e., connector pipe screens) in July 2013. The area treated by the 54 small full capture devices is approximately 93 acres of land. In addition to the above small full captures devices per section C.3. The following developments content CDS units and or Bio-swell and Bio-retention devices, Developments that content CDS units and or Bio-swell and Bio-retention devices. Merimont 2-CDS treating 8.5 acres (TMA 9), Marisol 1-CDS treating 19.59 acres (TMA 9), Skycrest 1-CDS treating 2.57 acres (TMA 9), Crossing 4-CDS units treating 17.21 acres (TMA 5), Cedar Mills 2- Bio-swell areas treating 1.4 acres (TMA 9), and Pacific Bay Vista Bio-retention units treating 13.3 acres (TMA 9).

Total acres treated by Small Full Trash capture devices, CDS unit, Bio-swell and Bio-detention devices 155.57 acres. This equates to approximately 5% of the City's jurisdictional area.

Jurisdictional Area treated by Full Capture and % of TMA treated by full capture: Low 46 acres 2%, Moderate 107 acres 11%, High 2 acres 4%, and Very High 0 acres 0% (no Very High acres are jurisdictional in San Bruno).

Total required Minimum Treatment area per the MRP is 41 acres.

These devices are inspected and maintained prior to the "first flush" with additional inspection and maintenance conducted, as necessary after major storm events (may be up to four times/year). Small full-capture devices are maintained by using a combination sewer truck (i.e. Vactor truck) to pressure wash and vacuum all debris from the catch basin and connector pipe screen. In some instances, the catch basins are shoveled and swept. A Trash Capture Device Order is filled out for every maintenance event and is logged. Paper copies of the Maintenance Reports are also kept at the Public Works Department. To date, the City has experienced no failures or other issues with these devices.

In FY 14-15, the City also participated in the initial development of a Model Trash Full Capture Device Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Verification Program initiated by SMCWPPP. The model program is intended to provide Permittees with a template for documenting O&M procedures, including inspection and maintenance frequencies. Over the course of the next year, the City plans to further document the city-specific O&M verification program by tailoring the Model Program developed by SMCWPPP to incorporate city-specific characteristics/processes. Additional details on the City's O&M verification program will be included in our FY15-16 Annual Report.

C.10.b.iii ► Trash Hot Spot Assessment

Provide the volume of material removed during each MRP-required Trash Hot Spot cleanup during each fiscal year, and the dominant types of trash (e.g., glass, plastics, paper) removed and their sources in FY 2014-15 to the extent possible. Also, provide additional information on creek cleanups conducted beyond those required.

| Trash Hot Spot | FY 14-15 Cleanup Date(s) | Volume of Trash Removed (cubic yards) | | | | Dominant Type(s) of | Trash Sources in | |
|----------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| | | FY 2010-11 | FY 2011-12 | FY 2012-13 | FY 2013-14 | FY 2014-15 | Trash in FY 2014-15 | FY 2014-15 (where possible) |
| SB001 | 6/10/2015 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.05 | Paper and cardboard, Other plastic products, Metal products, Plastic Bags, Cigarette butts | Litter, Trash accumulation |

C.10.c ► Long-Term Trash Load Reduction Plan

Provide descriptions of significant revisions made to your Long-term Trash Load Reduction Plan submitted to the Water Board in February 2014. Describe significant changes made to primary or secondary trash management areas (TMA), trash generation maps, control measures, or time schedules identified in your plan.

| Description of Significant Revision | Associated TMA |
|--|-------------------|
| At the beginning of 2015 the 500 block of San Mateo Ave was impacted with cars due to an increase in gym membership at one location and the opening of another facility on that block. This effected the early morning cleaning of the downtown area, prohibiting the green machine sweeper from effectively sweeping along this area and to the curb. Cleaning of this area had to be modified to keep area clean. The 500 block is swept Monday thru Friday, but due to parked cars about half the area the curb is missed. On Thursday the all of the curb area is cleaned with the vacuum unit on the green machine in addition to cleaning the drain inlets. | TMA #1 |
| In FY 14-15 the City reclassified trash generation along the northern portion of El Camino Real in TMA #4. Based on prior assessment results and City staff knowledge, the El Camino areas in TMA 4 north of Highway 380 were revised to High generation category. Reclassifications to trash generation categories were used for the purposes of calculating baseline (2009) trash generation included in this report (i.e., as an input parameter to the formula used to calculate load reductions reported in section C.10.d). Additional reclassifications may occur in FY 15-16, as a result of the City's efforts to make our Baseline Trash Generation Map as accurate as possible. Our final map will be submitted consistent with the schedule included in the reissued MRP, tentatively set for adoption in late 2015. | TMA #4 |
| Implementation of No Parking sign was completed in TMA #6 in April 2014. Installation of "No Parking-Street Sweeping" signs on Shelter Creek Lane to prohibit parking during sweeping hours. On scheduled sweeping days where signs are present, the sweeper is preceded by a City Community Service Officer who issues citations to vehicles in violation of the posted signs. | TMA # 6 |
| On-Land Clean Up: The City's Street/Storm Division has a part-time employee for the "trash patrol" program five days/week throughout the City <i>in all</i> of the TMA areas during this time period. Approximately 952 hours were devoted to the program during FY 2014-15 The dominant types of trash removed were large items, the smaller items (trash/litter) is collected and bagged to estimate its quantity, and the larger items are logged and counted separately. Additional City staff assisted with emergency cleanups. In November of 2014 an addition staff member was added to the storm division to aid in trash removal and storm related projects. This addition has increased the total volume of trash removed from cleanup activities to 14,769 gallons compared to 8,910 removed in FY 13-14. | All TMA's |
| City staff quantifies the amounts collected by either putting trash in bags or 5 gallon buckets. The amounts are recorded on work orders or in debris removal log book. | |

C.10.d ► PART A - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (Jurisdictional-wide Actions)

| Control Measure | Summary Description of Control Measure & Dominant Trash Sources and Types | Assessment Method(s) | Summary of Assessment Results To-date | Estimated % Trash Reduced |
|---|---|---|---|---------------------------------|
| Single-use Plastic Bag Ordinance or Policy | In January 2013, the City Council added Chapter 10.25 (Reusable Bag Ordinance to Regulate the Distribution of Single-use Carryout Bags by Retail Establishments) to Title 10 (Municipal Services) of the San Bruno Municipal Code. The ordinance restricts the use of single-use carry-out bags by retailers, including grocery stores, convenience stores, pharmacies and other shops. It does not apply to single-use carry-out bags used for restaurant food take-outs or for produce, meats, bulk foods and prescription medicines. The County of San Mateo Bag Ordinance was adopted by reference and became effective on April 22, 2013. | On behalf of all SMCWPPP Permittees, the County of San Mateo conducted assessments evaluating the effectiveness of the single use plastic bag ban in municipalities within San Mateo County. Assessments conducted by the County included audits of businesses and surveys of customer bag usage at many businesses in San Mateo County. Additionally, the number of complaints by customers was also tracked by the County. The results of assessments conducted by these cities are assumed to be representative of all SMCWPPP Permittees, given the consistency between the scope, implementation, and enforcement of the ordinances among the municipalities. | The City of San Bruno developed its % trash reduced estimate using the following assumptions: 1) Single use plastic bags comprise 8% of the trash discharged from stormwater conveyances, based on the Regional Trash Generation Study conducted by BASMAA; 2) 95% of single use plastic bags distributed in the City of San Bruno are affected by the implementation of the ordinance, based on the County of San Mateo's Environmental Impact Report; and 3) Of the bags affected by the ordinance, there are now 90% less bags being distributed, based on customer complaints received by the County of San Mateo's Department of Environmental Health Services. This is conservative estimate given that in FY 13-14 Environmental Services only received complaints about 4, of the over 1900 businesses in San Mateo County that are affected by the single- use plastic bag ordinances. Results of assessments conducted by the County of San Mateo on behalf of all municipalities in San Mateo County indicate that the City's ordinance is | 7% |

C.10.d ► PART A - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (Jurisdictional-wide Actions)

| Control Measure | Summary Description of Control Measure & Dominant Trash Sources and Types | Assessment Method(s) | Summary of Assessment Results To-date | Estimated % Trash Reduced |
|---|--|--|---|---------------------------------|
| | | | effective in reducing the number of single use plastic bags in stormwater discharges. This preliminary conclusion is based on the very small number of complaints received from customers about businesses in San Mateo County that are continuing to use single use plastic bags after ordinances were adopted. Assuming single use bags are 8% of the trash observed in stormwater discharges, the City of San Bruno concludes that there has been a 7% (i.e., 8% x 86% effectiveness in reducing bags) reduction in trash in stormwater discharges as a result of the City's ordinance. | |
| Expanded Polystyrene Food Service Ware Ordinance or Policy | In January 2009, the City Council added Chapter 10.21 (Sustainable Food Packaging) to Title 10 (Municipal Services) of the San Bruno Municipal Code. The ordinance prohibits food vendors (i.e., any establishment located or providing food within the City) from dispensing prepared food to customers in disposable food service ware made from polystyrene (foam and solid) and requires disposable food service ware to be biodegradable, compostable, reusable or recyclable. Lids, plates, bowls, cups, utensils and straws made of polystyrene are also governed by | Although the City of San Bruno has adopted and implemented an ordinance prohibiting the distribution of EPS food ware by food vendors, evaluations of the effectiveness of the ordinance have not yet been conducted. For the purpose of estimating trash reductions in stormwater discharges associated with the ordinance, the results of assessments conducted by the cities of Los Altos and Palo Alto were used to represent the reduction of trash associated with the City's ordinance. Assessments conducted by these cities were | The City Of San Bruno developed its % trash reduced estimate using the following assumptions: 1) EPS food ware comprises 6% of the trash discharged from stormwater conveyances, based on the Regional Trash Generation Study conducted by BASMAA; 2) 80% of EPS food ware distributed by food vendors or sold via stores in the City of San Bruno is affected by the implementation of the ordinance; and 3) There is now 95% less EPS food ware being distributed, sold and/or observed in the environment, based on assessments conducted by the City of Palo Alto and City of Los Altos. | 5% |

C.10.d ► PART A - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (Jurisdictional-wide Actions)

| Control Measure | Summary Description of Control Measure & Dominant Trash Sources and Types | Assessment Method(s) | Summary of Assessment Results To-date | Estimated % Trash Reduced |
|---|--|--|--|---------------------------------|
| | the ordinance. The ordinance provides for exemptions due to extreme hardships, but no exemptions have been applied for to date. The Sustainable Food Packaging Ordinance became effective on April 1, 2010. | conducted prior to and following the effective date of their ordinances, and include audits of businesses and/or assessments of EPS food ware observed on streets, storm drains and local creeks. The results of assessments conducted by these cities are assumed to be representative of the effectiveness of the City's ordinance because the implementation (including enforcement) of the City's ordinance is similar to the City of Los Altos' and Palo Alto's. | Results of assessments that are representative of the City of San Bruno, but were conducted by the cities of Los Altos and Palo Alto, indicate that City's ordinance is effective in reducing EPS food ware in stormwater discharges. This conclusion is based on the following assessment result - an average of 95% of businesses affected by the ordinance are no longer distributing EPS food ware post- ordinance. Based on these results, the estimated average reduction of EPS food ware in stormwater discharges is 90%. Assuming EPS food ware is 6% of the trash observed in stormwater discharges, the City of San Bruno concludes that there has been a 5% (i.e., 6% x 90%) reduction in trash in stormwater discharges as a result of the ordinance. | |
| Other Source Control Actions with sufficient documentation and supporting assessment | On-Land Clean Up: The City's Street/Storm Division has a part- time employee for the "trash patrol" program five days/week throughout the City in all of the TMA areas during this time period. Approximately 952 hours were devoted to the program during FY 2014-15 The dominant types of trash removed were large items, the smaller items (trash/litter) is collected and bagged to estimate its quantity, and the | City staff quantifies the amounts collected by either putting trash in bags or 5 gallon buckets. The amounts are recorded on work orders or in debris removal log book. | See section C.10.d Part B For assessment in TMA's | |

C.10.d ► PART A - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (Jurisdictional-wide Actions)

| Control Measure | Summary Description of Control Measure & Dominant Trash Sources and Types | Assessment Method(s) | Summary of Assessment Results To-date | Estimated % Trash Reduced |
|---|---|---|---|---------------------------------|
| | larger items are logged and counted separately. Additional City staff assisted with emergency cleanups. In November of 2014 an addition staff member was added to the storm division to aid in trash removal and storm related projects. This addition has increased the total volume of trash removed from cleanup activities to 14,769 gallons compared to 8,910 removed in FY 13-14. | | | |
| Public Education and Outreach Programs Targeted at Trash Reduction and Implemented post-MRP Adoption | Through participation and funding of the SMCWPPP's Public Information and Public Information and Participation program (PIP), the City continued implementing SMCWPPP and BASMAA public education and outreach programs. One program of interest implemented by the City is the BASMAA Youth Outreach Campaign. This Campaign was launched in September 2011 and aims to increase the awareness of Bay Area Youth (ages 16-24) on litter and stormwater pollution issues, and eventually change their littering behaviors. | BASMAA conducted post- campaign surveys in FY 13-14 to assess the effectiveness and impacts of their youth litter campaign "Be the Street". The methods used by BASMAA are described in Appendix 16 of the Program's Annual Report | Reductions (i.e., trends) in the levels of trash in stormwater discharges that occur as a result of the implementation of Public Education and Outreach campaigns and programs are very difficult to measure. Both the inherent spatial and temporal variability in trash generation and the timeframes by which behavior change occurs as a result of education and outreach largely governs our ability to link this control measure to water quality outcomes. That said, changing littering behaviors is paramount to the long-term success of trash management programs. As described in Section 7 of the Program's Annual Report, the City of San Bruno has spent significant resources on local, county-wide, and public education and outreach programs that are slowly | 1% |

C.10.d ► PART A - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (Jurisdictional-wide Actions)

| Control Measure | Summary Description of Control Measure & Dominant Trash Sources and Types | Assessment Method(s) | Summary of Assessment Results To-date | Estimated % Trash Reduced |
|-----------------|--|----------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| | On behalf of the City of San Bruno SMCWPPP and BASMAA also implemented public education and outreach actions at the countywide and regional scales that were targeted at reducing the impacts of trash on local water bodies. For descriptions of these activities, please see Section 7 of the Program's Annual Report. | | reducing the generation of trash at its source. Based on the results of assessments conducted by BASMAA in FY 13-14 to assess the effectiveness and impacts of their youth litter campaign "Be the Street" (see Program's Section 7), a modest conservative load reduction associated with public education and outreach programs is assumed. | |

C.10 – Trash Load Reduction

C.10.d ► PART B - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (TMA Specific Actions)

Complete the following trash control measure implementation and assessment summary for each primary trash management area (TMA) identified in your Long-term Plan. Include the following information:

- Identify the total jurisdictional area and the % of that area that generated very high (VH), high (H), moderate (M), or low (L) levels of trash in 2009, as depicted on trash generation maps;
- Identify the dominant trash source(s) and dominant type(s) of trash addressed or to-be addressed in the TMA;
- Provide the area currently treated by full capture devices, the quantity and type of devices installed to-date, and the % and acres of jurisdictional area in very high (VH), high (H), moderate (M), and low (L) generation categories that are currently treated by full capture devices in the TMA;
- Summarize control measures other than full capture devices implemented to-date, distinguishing between implementation that began pre- and post-MRP effective date. If not implemented in the entire TMA, describe generation category targeted and % of TMA addressed;
- Provide the acres of jurisdictional area in very high (VH), high (H), moderate (M), and low (L) generation categories in areas associated with actions other than full capture devices in the TMA;
- Describe the methods used to evaluate the effectiveness of control measures other than full capture devices, and any assessment results to-date. If the method was not implemented in the entire TMA, describe generation category targeted and % of TMA addressed.
- Provide the acres in VH, H, M or L generation categories after accounting for reduction associated with control measures other than full capture devices;
- Provide the acres in VH, H, M or L generation categories after accounting for reductions associated with ALL control measures (i.e., full capture and other actions) implemented to-date in the TMA
- Provide an estimate of the % of trash reduced in the TMA as a result of ALL control measures implemented to-date in the TMA. using the following formula:

% Reduction = 100 [(12A_{VH(2009}) + 4A_{H(2009}) + A_{M(2009}) - (12A_{VH} + 4A_H + A_M)]/(12A_{VH2009} + 4A_{H2009} + A_{M2009})

where:

| Avh(2009) | = | total amount of the 2009 very high trash generation category in jurisdictional area |
|----------------|---|--|
| AH(2009) | = | total amount of the 2009 high trash generation category in jurisdictional area |
| Am(2009) | = | total amount of the 2009 moderate trash generation category in jurisdictional area |
| Avh | = | total amount of very high trash generation category in jurisdictional area in the reporting year |
| A _H | = | total amount of high trash generation category in jurisdictional area in the reporting year |
| AM | = | total amount of moderate trash generation category in jurisdictional area in the reporting year |
| 12 | = | Very High to Moderate weighing ratio |
| 4 | = | High to Moderate weighing ratio |
| 100 | = | fraction to percentage conversion factor |

| C.10 | .d ► PART | B - Trash Co | ontrol Measure Implementation | on and Assessment (TMA Specific A | ctions) | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|---|----|----|----|---|
| | TMA ID | D TMA Area Dominant Sources Dominant Types | | | Area (Acres) in Eac Generation Cate | | | | |
| | | (Acres) | | | | VH | Н | Μ | L |
| | 1 | 35 | Pedestrians and Vehicles | Paper and cardboard, plastic bottles, aluminum cans, cigarette butts | Baseline Generation Areas (2009) | 0 | 30 | 5 | 0 |
| Full Captur | | d by Full Trash vices (Acres) | Quantity and Type | of Full Trash Capture Devices | Area Treated by Full Capture | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ξö | | 0 | There are no full capture devices insta | lled in this TMA. | Devices | | | | |
| | | Summary Description of Other Actions Implemented in the TMA Since MRP Adoption Area Not Treated by Full Capture Devices | | | | | 30 | 5 | 0 |
| Actions other than Full Capture Devices | within T/ Hensley they are The City sweepir on the s swept th Camino Mastick 3rd Tues City Co result, c practice San Ma Sylvan A (Mondo streets of when sy downto reachin present Prior to s | d "No Parking-S MA #1 are pos Avenue (between of swept du developed the gwithin an es scheduled day hat day. All streen Real and San Avenue) are s sday). On scheen mmunity Service ars are consiste es are effective teo Avenue, Je Avenue (between ay- Friday) between are not posted weeping is con own area are c g the curb. Du on streets during | ted except for San Mateo Avenue. veen Sylvan Avenue and West Ang- le to being narrow alleys between h the street sweeping program to mini- tablished two-hour window, and line v. As a result, residents may park on eets with TMA #1 except San Mateo Mateo Avenue) and Sylvan Avenue wept twice/month (1st and 3rd Mo- eduled sweeping days where signs of ce Officer who issues citations to ve- ently not present when sweeping is e since the sweeper is reaching the enevein Avenue (between El Cami een San Mateo Avenue and Mastic veen 6:00 a.m. and 7:15 a.m. using "No Parking- Street Sweeping". Ho aducted since the vast majority of re losed. Therefore, sweeping practic- te to the very frequent sweeping of ng the week (Monday-Friday). Mateo Avenue, City staff blow trast | us Avenue) are also not posted because buildings. mize inconvenience to residents by niting sweeping to one side of the street the opposite side of the street that is not be Avenue, Jenevein Avenue (between El ue (between San Mateo Avenue and anday on one side of the street and 1st and are present, the sweeper is preceded by a whicles in violation of the posted signs. As a conducted. Therefore, sweeping | Area after Accounting for Other Actions (based on assessment results) | 0 | 2 | 30 | 3 |

| | Estimated % Trash Reduction in this TM | 4 | 69 | % | |
|---|--|-----|----|----|---|
| | Area After Taking into Account Full Capture Devices AND Other Action | s 0 | 2 | 30 | 3 |
| A total of 5 assessments were performed at 3 sites in this T/ protocol. Approximately 3,200 linear feet (24%) of streets areas with M, H, or VH generation rates were assessed. Fo 6% were H, and 0% were VH. | and sidewalks were assessed in this TMA. Only | | | | |
| Summary of Assessme | ent Results | | | | |
| To assess environmental outcomes associated with contro visual on-land trash assessments were conducted using a developed by BASMAA member agencies. For each TMA probabilistic sample draw that allows for extrapolation wit assessed more than once in this fiscal year have had their 2013-14 and 2014-15, the City of San Bruno conducted 34 of trash observed on-land in priority TMAs. Through this effor and sidewalks were assessed. | standard on-land visual assessment protocol A assessed, sites were selected using a thin the applicable TMA. Sites that have been r assessment results averaged. In fiscal years visual assessments at 21 sites to assess the level | | | | |
| Assessment Methods for Control Measures | Other than Full Capture Devices | | | | |
| Addition clean up actions not included in Long Term At the beginning of 2015 the 500 block of San Mateo increase in gym membership at one location and the effected the early morning cleaning of the downtow from effectively sweeping along this area and to the to keep area clean. The 500 block is swept Monday t the area the curb is missed. On Thursday the all of the the green machine in addition to cleaning the drain | Ave was impacted with cars due to an e opening of another facility on that block. This in area, prohibiting the green machine sweeper curb. Cleaning of this area had to be modified hru Friday, but due to parked cars about half e curb area is cleaned with the vacuum unit on | | | | |
| ON-LAND CLEANUPS The City's Public Works Department performs on-land and City-owned parking lots five days/week (Monda 7:15 a.m. On-land cleanup activities include City staf along San Mateo Avenue, and removing trash from S lots. On-land cleanups have been effective in reduci on San Mateo Avenue and City-owned parking lots re these areas during the work week (Monday-Friday). | y/Friday) between the hours of 6:00 a.m. and f blowing trash from the sidewalk into the street San Mateo Avenue and City-owned parking ng trash loads and improving overall aesthetics | | | | |
| All City-owned parking lots within TMA #1 are swept and 7:15 a.m. | twice/week between the hours of 6:00 a.m. | | | | |
| that otherwise would stay on the sidewalk. This pract on San Mateo Avenue resulting in very minimal trash (Monday-Friday). | | | | | |

C.10 – Trash Load Reduction

| | TMA ID | (Acres) | Dominant Types | | | | in Each n Categ | | |
|---------|---|---|---|---|---|----|--------------------|-----|---------|
| | | (Acres) | | | | VH | н | Μ | L |
| | 2 | 115 | Pedestrians and Vehicles | Paper and cardboard, plastic bottles, aluminum cans, cigarette butts | Baseline Generation Areas (2009) | 0 | 7 | 108 | 0 |
| Capture | | d by Full Trash vices (Acres) | Quantity and Typ | e of Full Trash Capture Devices | Area Treated by Full Capture | 0 | 1 | 90 | 0 |
| Cap | | 1 This TMA has: 1 Connector Pipe Screen/Filter. | | | | U | • | 90 | 0 |
| | | Summary Des | scription of Other Actions Implemented | d in the TMA Since MRP Adoption | Area <u>Not</u> Treated by Full Capture Devices | 0 | 5 | 17 | 0 |
| | | | | additional 9 small full-capture devices (i.e., 50 small full capture devices is | | | | | |
| | connector pi | pe screens) in ly 94 acres of l | July 2013. The area treated by the and. This equates to approximate | 9 50 small full capture devices is y 3 % of the City's jurisdiction. | | | | | |
| | To assess env visual on-land developed b probabilistic s assessed mor 2013-2014 an level of trash | pe screens) in ly 94 acres of l Assessme ironmental out d trash assessm y BASMAA me sample draw t e than once ir d 2014-15, the | July 2013. The area treated by the and. This equates to approximate ent Methods for Control Measures Othe tcomes associated with control m nents were conducted using a state ember agencies. For each TMA as hat allows for extrapolation within in this fiscal year have had their associated so City of San Bruno conducted 36 v and in priority TMAs. Through this e | 9 50 small full capture devices is y 3 % of the City's jurisdiction. | Area after Accounting for Other Actions (based on assessment results) | 0 | 5 | 17 | a |
| | To assess env visual on-land developed b probabilistic s assessed mor 2013-2014 an level of trash | pe screens) in ly 94 acres of l Assessme ironmental out d trash assessm y BASMAA me sample draw t e than once ir d 2014-15, the observed on-le | July 2013. The area treated by the and. This equates to approximate ent Methods for Control Measures Othe tcomes associated with control m nents were conducted using a state ember agencies. For each TMA as hat allows for extrapolation within in this fiscal year have had their associated so City of San Bruno conducted 36 v and in priority TMAs. Through this e | e 50 small full capture devices is y 3 % of the City's jurisdiction. er than Full Capture Devices easures other than full capture devices, indard on-land visual assessment protocol sessed, sites were selected using a the applicable TMA. Sites that have been ressment results averaged. In fiscal years risual assessments at 21 sites to assess the ffort, approximately 24,200 linear feet of | Accounting for Other Actions (based on | 0 | 5 | 17 | o |
| | connector pi approximate To assess env visual on-land developed b probabilistic s assessed mor 2013-2014 an level of trash streets and sid | pe screens) in ly 94 acres of l Assessme ironmental out d trash assessm y BASMAA me sample draw t e than once ir d 2014-15, the observed on-le dewalks were | July 2013. The area treated by the and. This equates to approximate ent Methods for Control Measures Othe tcomes associated with control m nents were conducted using a state ember agencies. For each TMA as hat allows for extrapolation within n this fiscal year have had their ass City of San Bruno conducted 36 v and in priority TMAs. Through this e assessed. | e 50 small full capture devices is y 3 % of the City's jurisdiction. er than Full Capture Devices easures other than full capture devices, indard on-land visual assessment protocol sessed, sites were selected using a the applicable TMA. Sites that have been ressment results averaged. In fiscal years risual assessments at 21 sites to assess the ffort, approximately 24,200 linear feet of | Accounting for Other Actions (based on | 0 | 5 | 17 | 0 |
| | connector pi approximate To assess env visual on-land developed b probabilistic s assessed mor 2013-2014 an level of trash streets and sid | pe screens) in ly 94 acres of l Assessme ironmental out d trash assessm y BASMAA me sample draw t e than once ir d 2014-15, the observed on-le dewalks were | July 2013. The area treated by the and. This equates to approximate ent Methods for Control Measures Othe tcomes associated with control m nents were conducted using a state ember agencies. For each TMA as hat allows for extrapolation within in this fiscal year have had their associated 36 v and in priority TMAs. Through this e assessed. Summary of Assessment I ucted in this TMA. | e 50 small full capture devices is y 3 % of the City's jurisdiction. er than Full Capture Devices easures other than full capture devices, indard on-land visual assessment protocol sessed, sites were selected using a the applicable TMA. Sites that have been ressment results averaged. In fiscal years risual assessments at 21 sites to assess the ffort, approximately 24,200 linear feet of | Accounting for Other Actions (based on assessment results) | 0 | 5 | 17 | C 9: |

| C.1 | 0.d ► PART | B - Trash Co | ontrol Measure Implementat | ion and Assessment (TMA Specific A | ctions) | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|----|----|---------------------|-----|
| | TMA ID | (Acres) | Dominant Sources | Dominant Types | | | | in Each n Catego | |
| | | (Acles) | | | | VH | н | Μ | L |
| | 3 | 289 | Pedestrians and Vehicles | Paper and cardboard, plastic bottles, aluminum cans, cigarette butts | Baseline Generation Areas (2009) | 0 | 8 | 281 | 0 |
| hure | Area Treate Capture De | d by Full Trash vices (Acres) | Quantity and Type | e of Full Trash Capture Devices | Area Treated by | | _ | | |
| Capture | | 1 | This TMA has: 1 Connector Pipe S | creen/Filter. | <u>Full Capture</u> <u>Devices</u> | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Summary Des | cription of Other Actions Implemented | d in the TMA Since MRP Adoption | Area <u>Not</u> Treated by Full Capture Devices | 0 | 7 | 281 | 0 |
| Actions other than Full Capture Devices | maximize effe To address ar season on the <u>San Bruno Av</u> curb since Sc <u>Euclid Area (8</u> <u>Avenue and</u> | ectiveness. reas with heave e following stre renue betweer in Bruno Avenu 800-900 blocks Forest Lane. Ap eas is posted with | y leaf drop, the City has increased ets or areas: <u>n El Camino Real and Huntington .</u> Je is a major through fare with no <u>of Huntington, Mills, Masson, Easto</u> pproximately 4.09 curb miles. <u>_</u> The ith "No Parking- Street Sweeping" | on, Green and Hensley Avenues, Euclid street sweeper is reaching the curb since signs. | Area after Accounting for Other Actions | 0 | 23 | 148 | 112 |
| 1er † | | Assessme | ent Methods for Control Measures Othe | er than Full Capture Devices | (based on assessment results) | | | _ | |
| Actions of | Permittees to Board in Feb implemented in a TMA. Asso each TMA as and allow for also be targe level of trash | develop our P 2014. For areas d, visual on-land essments are c sessed, sites ar extrapolation eted to specific | Pilot Trash Assessment Strategy (Stra s where control measures other the d trash assessment is the method us conducted using a protocol devel e selected using a probabilistic sa of results within an applicable TM. c streets and properties (these results con-land assessments, along with the | sed collaboratively with other SMCWPPP ategy), which was submitted to the Water nan full capture devices have been used to determine the current level of trash oped by BASMAA member agencies. For ample draw to randomly pick sites in a TMA A. Additionally, trash assessment sites may ults are not extrapolated). Changes in the ne associated trash generation rates are | GSSGSSTIETH (ESUIIS) | | | | |

C.10 – Trash Load Reduction

| are presented below. Additional information on the Strat method used to calculate % reductions can be found in | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|----|-----|-----|--|
| Summary of Assessment Results | | | | | | |
| A total of 17 assessments were performed at 10 sites in the protocol. Approximately 11,900 linear feet (10%) of street areas with M, H, or VH generation rates were assessed. For M, 8% were H, and 0% were VH. | ts and sidewalks were assessed in this TMA. Only | | | | | |
| Area After Taking into Account Full Capture Devices AND Other Actions | | 0 | 23 | 148 | 118 | |
| Estimated % Trash Reduction in this TMA | | | 23 | 8% | | |

| | TMA ID | TMA Area (Acres) | Dominant Sources | Dominant Types | | | | in Each n Catego | |
|----------------|--|---|--|---|---|----|----|---------------------|---|
| | | (Acres) | | | | VH | Н | Μ | L |
| | 4 | 30 | Pedestrians and Vehicles | Paper and cardboard, plastic bottles, aluminum cans, cigarette butts | Baseline Generation Areas (2009) | 0 | 10 | 20 | 0 |
| Capture | | Area Treated by Full Trash Capture Devices (Acres) Quantity ar | | of Full Trash Capture Devices | Area Treated by | • | • | | |
| Full Captu | | 1 | This TMA has: 1 Connector Pipe Sc | creen/Filter. | <u>Full Capture</u> <u>Devices</u> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Summary Des | cription of Other Actions Implemented | in the TMA Since MRP Adoption | Area <u>Not</u> Treated by Full Capture Devices | 0 | 10 | 20 | 0 |
| Capture Device | and the med effectiveness To assess env visual on-land developed b | ian curbs are s Assessme ironmental out d trash assessm y BASMAA me | swept on Fridays. Street sweeping fr ent Methods for Control Measures Other tcomes associated with control me nents were conducted using a stan mber agencies. For each TMA asso | asures other than full capture devices, dard on-land visual assessment protocol essed, sites were selected using a | Area after Accounting for | | | | |
| s other th | assessed mor 2013-2014 an level of trash | e than once ir d 2014-15, the | n this fiscal year have had their asse City of San Bruno conducted 34 vi and in priority TMAs. Through this eff assessed. | he applicable TMA. Sites that have been essment results averaged. In fiscal years sual assessments at 21 sites to assess the fort, approximately 23,100 linear feet of | Other Actions (based on assessment results) | 0 | 8 | 16 | 6 |
| Ă | | | Summary of Assessment Re | esults | | | | | I |
| | protocol. Ap areas with M, | proximately 2, | eration rates were assessed. For tho | ising the on-land visual assessment sidewalks were assessed in this TMA. Only se areas assessed, 20% were L, 55% were | | | | | |
| | | | Ard | ea After Taking into Account Full Capture Device | s AND Other Actions | 0 | 8 | 16 | 6 |
| | Estimated % Trash Reduction in this T/ | | | | | | 23 | 8% | |

| 6.10 | | B - Trash C TMA Area | Dominant Sources | ation and Assessment (TMA Specific A | ctions) | | | in Each n Catego | |
|---|--|---|--|--|---|----|----|---------------------|-----|
| | | (Acres) | Dominant Sources | Dominant Types | | VH | H | M | L |
| | 5 | 295 | Pedestrians and Vehicles | Paper and cardboard, plastic bottles, aluminum cans, cigarette butts | Baseline Generation Areas (2009) | 0 | 13 | 280 | 2 |
| Full Capture | | d by Full Trash vices (Acres) | Quantity and Ty | rpe of Full Trash Capture Devices | Area Treated by | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 |
| Cap Cap | | 6 | This TMA has: 1 Hydrodynamic Sepe | arator. | <u>Full Capture</u> <u>Devices</u> | U | U | 16 | U |
| | | Summary De | scription of Other Actions Implement | red in the TMA Since MRP Adoption | Area <u>Not</u> Treated by Full Capture Devices | 0 | 13 | 264 | 2 |
| Actions other than Full Capture Devices | maximize effe To address wi on the followi <u>Bayhill Comm</u> <u>San Bruno Av</u> Approximate curbed, too r <u>San Bruno Av</u> sweeper is re- Updated the sweeping fre- residential are <u>http://sanbru</u> | ectiveness. th heavy leaf ng streets or c <u>hercial Area (E</u> enue to Grun- ly 1.61 curb m harrow for par enue betwee aching the cu "Street Sweep quency by res ea to determin no.ca.gov/py Assessm ironmental ou d trash assessn | drop, the City has increased swe breas: <u>Bayhill Drive between El Camino</u> <u>dy Lane, Traeger Avenue from So</u> illes. The street sweeper is reachinking or an active lane of traffic we <u>on El Camino Real and Interstate</u> urb since San Bruno Avenue is a n oing'' webpage on the City's we sidential area within the City. Res ne when their street is swept. The <u>w streetsweep.html</u> . Thent Methods for Control Measures O utcomes associated with control nents were conducted using a st | 280. Approximately 0.95 curb miles. The street najor through fare with no parking. bsite. This webpage provides the street sidents may view a list of streets within a webpage is available at: | Area after Accounting for Other Actions (based on assessment results) | 0 | 28 | 62 | 190 |

- - - -

C.10 – Trash Load Reduction

| 2013-14 and 2014-15, the City of San Bruno conducted 36 of trash observed on-land in priority TMAs. Through this eff and sidewalks were assessed. | | | | | | |
|---|--|----------------------|---|----|----|-----|
| Summary of Assessment Results | | | | | | |
| A total of 8 assessments were performed at 5 sites in this T protocol. Approximately 5,800 linear feet (16%) of streets areas with M, H, or VH generation rates were assessed. Fo M, 10% were H, and 0% were VH. | and sidewalks were assessed in this TMA. Only | | | | | |
| | Area After Taking into Account Full Capture Device | s AND Other Actions | 0 | 28 | 62 | 206 |
| | Estimated % Trash R | eduction in this TMA | | 48 | 8% | |

| | TMA ID | TMA Area | Dominant Sources | Dominant Types | | | | in Each n Catego | |
|---|---|---|--|--|---|----|---|---------------------|---|
| | | (Acres) | | | - | VH | н | M | L |
| | 6 | 9 | Pedestrians and Vehicles | Paper and cardboard, plastic bottles, aluminum cans, cigarette butts | Baseline Generation Areas (2009) | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| rull Capture | | d by Full Trash vices (Acres) | Quantity and Type | e of Full Trash Capture Devices | Area Treated by | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Captu | | 0 | There are no full capture devices installed in this TMA. | | <u>Full Capture</u> <u>Devices</u> | U | U | U | 0 |
| | | Summary Des | cription of Other Actions Implemented | d in the TMA Since MRP Adoption | Area <u>Not</u> Treated by Full Capture Devices | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| Actions other than Full Capture Devices | To assess envi visual on-land developed b probabilistic s assessed mor 2013-14 and 2 of trash obser | urs. On schedu hity Service Off Assessme ironmental out d trash assessm y BASMAA me cample draw th e than once ir 2014-15, the Ci | and the sweeping days where signs are ricer who issues citations to vehicle and Methods for Control Measures Other records associated with control meants were conducted using a star mber agencies. For each TMA as the fiscal year have had their associated their associated with control meant this fiscal year have had their associated the sociated the socia | | Area after Accounting for Other Actions (based on assessment results) | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| Ac | | | Summary of Assessment I | Results | | | | | |
| | protocol. Ap areas with M, | proximately 1, | 100 linear feet (33%) of streets and eration rates were assessed. For the | ising the on-land visual assessment I sidewalks were assessed in this TMA. Only ose areas assessed, 0% were L, 100% were | | | | | |
| | | | А | rea After Taking into Account Full Capture Device | s AND Other Actions | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| | Estimated % Trash Reduction in this T/ | | | | eduction in this TMA | | 0 | % | |

| | TMA ID | TMA Area (Acres) | Dominant Sources | Dominant Types | | | | in Each n Catego | |
|---------|--|---|---|--|---|----|---|---------------------|---|
| | | (Acres) | | | | VH | н | Μ | L |
| | 7 | 179 | 179 Pedestrians and Vehicles Paper and caraboard, plastic bottles, aluminum cans, cigarette butts Generation Area Full Trash Ougptity and type of Full Trash Capture Devices Capture Devices Capture Devices | | Baseline Generation Areas (2009) | 0 | 0 | 179 | 0 |
| Capture | | d by Full Trash vices (Acres) | Quantity and Type | of Full Trash Capture Devices | Area Treated by Full Capture | 0 | 0 | 0 | C |
| Cap | | 0 | There are no full capture devices i | nstalled in this TMA. | Devices | U | U | Ū | |
| | | Summary Des | cription of Other Actions Implemented i | in the TMA Since MRP Adoption | Area <u>Not</u> Treated by Full Capture Devices | 0 | 0 | 179 | ĺ |
| | moving the repeople are a | esidential swee It work later in t hing the curb. | ping times later in the day have re | weeping" signs. City staff has noted that sulted in better curb access since more ore effective since the sweeper is more than Full Capture Devices | - | | | | |
| | visual on-land developed b probabilistic s assessed mor 2013-2014 an level of trash | d trash assessm y BASMAA me sample draw th te than once in d 2014-15, the | ents were conducted using a stand mber agencies. For each TMA asse nat allows for extrapolation within th this fiscal year have had their asse City of San Bruno conducted 36 vis and in priority TMAs. Through this eff | asures other than full capture devices, dard on-land visual assessment protocol essed, sites were selected using a ne applicable TMA. Sites that have been essment results averaged. In fiscal years sual assessments at 21 sites to assess the ort, approximately 24,200 linear feet of | Area after Accounting for Other Actions (based on assessment results) | 0 | 0 | 179 | |
| L | | | Summary of Assessment Re | esults | | | | | |
| | | ats word condu | Latad in this TAAA | | | | | | |
| L | No assessme | nis were condu | Jered in this twia. | | | | | | |
| | No assessme | | | ea After Taking into Account Full Capture Device | s AND Other Actions | 0 | 0 | 179 | |

| | TMA ID | TMA Area (Acres) | Dominant Sources | Dominant Types | | | | in Each n Catego | |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|----|---|---------------------|---|
| | | (Acres) | | | | VH | н | Μ | L |
| | 8 | 73 | Pedestrians and Vehicles | Paper and cardboard, plastic bottles, aluminum cans, cigarette butts | Baseline Generation Areas (2009) | 0 | 0 | 73 | 0 |
| Capture | | d by Full Trash vices (Acres) | Quantity and Typ | e of Full Trash Capture Devices | Area Treated by Full Capture | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cap | | 0 | This TMA is partially treated by d | evices within neighboring TMAs. | Devices | U | U | U | U |
| | | Summary Des | scription of Other Actions Implemente | d in the TMA Since MRP Adoption | Area <u>Not</u> Treated by Full Capture Devices | 0 | 0 | 73 | 0 |
| | | d. In February 2013, the City implemented a delayed street sweeping start time in all resider s which have not been installed with "No Parking-Street Sweeping" signs. City staff has note ng the residential sweeping times later in the day have resulted in better curb access since ole are at work later in the day. Sweeping practices are more effective since the sweeper is to reaching the curb. Assessment Methods for Control Measures Other than Full Capture Devices | | Swooning" signs. City staff has noted that | | | | | |
| • | moving the re people are a | esidential swee It work later in hing the curb. | eping times later in the day have the day. Sweeping practices are | resulted in better curb access since more more effective since the sweeper is more | | | | | |
| | To assess environment visual on-land developed b probabilistic 2013-2014 an level of trash | esidential sweet it work later in hing the curb. Assessme ironmental our d trash assessm y BASMAA me sample draw t re than once ir d 2014-15, the | eping times later in the day have the day. Sweeping practices are ent Methods for Control Measures Oth teomes associated with control in tents were conducted using a store ember agencies. For each TMA a hat allows for extrapolation withir in this fiscal year have had their as City of San Bruno conducted 34 and in priority TMAs. Through this of | resulted in better curb access since more more effective since the sweeper is more | Area after Accounting for Other Actions (based on assessment results) | 0 | 0 | 73 | C |
| Actions other than Full Capture Dev | To assess environment visual on-land developed b probabilistic 2013-2014 an level of trash | esidential sweet it work later in hing the curb. Assessme ironmental out d trash assessme by BASMAA me sample draw t re than once ir id 2014-15, the observed on-le | eping times later in the day have the day. Sweeping practices are ent Methods for Control Measures Oth teomes associated with control in tents were conducted using a store ember agencies. For each TMA a hat allows for extrapolation withir in this fiscal year have had their as City of San Bruno conducted 34 and in priority TMAs. Through this of | resulted in better curb access since more more effective since the sweeper is more er than Full Capture Devices measures other than full capture devices, indard on-land visual assessment protocol assessed, sites were selected using a the applicable TMA. Sites that have been sessment results averaged. In fiscal years visual assessments at 21 sites to assess the effort, approximately 23,100 lineal feet of | Accounting for Other Actions (based on | 0 | 0 | 73 | 0 |
| Actions other man rull Capture | moving the re people are a likely to react To assess env visual on-land developed b probabilistic s assessed mor 2013-2014 an level of trash streets and si | esidential sweet the work later in hing the curb. Assessme ironmental our d trash assessm by BASMAA me sample draw the sample | eping times later in the day have the day. Sweeping practices are ent Methods for Control Measures Oth toomes associated with control n ments were conducted using a sto ember agencies. For each TMA a hat allows for extrapolation withir in this fiscal year have had their as City of San Bruno conducted 34 and in priority TMAs. Through this of assessed. | resulted in better curb access since more more effective since the sweeper is more er than Full Capture Devices measures other than full capture devices, indard on-land visual assessment protocol assessed, sites were selected using a the applicable TMA. Sites that have been sessment results averaged. In fiscal years visual assessments at 21 sites to assess the effort, approximately 23,100 lineal feet of | Accounting for Other Actions (based on | 0 | 0 | 73 | c |
| | moving the re people are a likely to react To assess env visual on-land developed b probabilistic s assessed mor 2013-2014 an level of trash streets and si | esidential sweet the work later in hing the curb. Assessme ironmental our d trash assessm by BASMAA me sample draw the sample | eping times later in the day have the day. Sweeping practices are ent Methods for Control Measures Ott toomes associated with control m nents were conducted using a sto ember agencies. For each TMA a hat allows for extrapolation withir in this fiscal year have had their as City of San Bruno conducted 34 and in priority TMAs. Through this of assessed. Summary of Assessment ucted in this TMA. | resulted in better curb access since more more effective since the sweeper is more er than Full Capture Devices measures other than full capture devices, indard on-land visual assessment protocol assessed, sites were selected using a the applicable TMA. Sites that have been sessment results averaged. In fiscal years visual assessments at 21 sites to assess the effort, approximately 23,100 lineal feet of | Accounting for Other Actions (based on assessment results) | 0 | 0 | 73 | (|

| | TMA ID | TMA Area (Acres) | Dominant Sources | Dominant Types | | | (Acres) eneratio | | |
|-----------------|---|---|---|---|---|----|---------------------|---|-------|
| | | (Acres) | | | | VH | Н | Μ | L |
| | 9 | 2,063 | Pedestrians and Vehicles | Paper and cardboard, plastic bottles, aluminum cans, cigarette butts | Baseline Generation Areas (2009) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,063 |
| Captur | | Area Treated by Full Trash Capture Devices (Acres) | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 |
| υ | 2 | 46 | This TMA has: 3 Hydrodynamic Sepa | rators; 3 LID Facilities. | Devices | | - | | |
| | | Summary Des | scription of Other Actions Implemente | ed in the TMA Since MRP Adoption | Area <u>Not</u> Treated by Full Capture Devices | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,017 |
| Dev | moving the repeople are a | esidential swee It work later in hing the curb. | eping times later in the day have | Sweeping" signs. City staff has noted that resulted in better curb access since more more effective since the sweeper is more | | | | | |
| Actions other t | visual on-land developed b probabilistic s assessed mor 2013-14 and 2 of trash obser | ironmental ou d trash assessm y BASMAA me sample draw t re than once ir 2014-15, the C | tcomes associated with control n nents were conducted using a sto ember agencies. For each TMA a hat allows for extrapolation withir n this fiscal year have had their as ity of San Bruno conducted 36 vis n priority TMAs. Through this effort, | neasures other than full capture devices, andard on-land visual assessment protocol assessed, sites were selected using a in the applicable TMA. Sites that have been assessment results averaged. In fiscal years sual assessments at 21 sites to assess the level , approximately 24,200 linear feet of streets | Area after Accounting for Other Actions (based on assessment results) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,017 |
| | | | Summary of Assessment | Results | | | | | |
| | No assessmer | nts were cond | ucted in this TMA. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| | | | | Area After Taking into Account Full Capture Device | s AND Other Actions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,063 |

C.10.d ► PART C – Estimated Overall Trash Load Reduction

For Population-based Permittees, provide an estimate of the overall trash reduction percentage achieved to-date within the jurisdictional area of your municipality that generates problematic trash levels (i.e., Very High, High or Moderate trash generation). Base the estimate on the information presented in C.10.d – Parts A and B and receiving water cleanups not reported in C.10.b.iii.

Discussion of Trash Reduction Estimate (including Receiving Water Cleanups):

The trash load reduction estimates presented in this section provide the best available estimate of trash reduction from the City's municipal separate stormwater sewer system (MS4). These criteria for these estimates were developed consistent with the trash reduction framework developed in collaboration with Water Board staff in 2013-14, and the Pilot SMCWPPP Trash Assessment Strategy submitted to the Water Board in February 2014. All estimates are based on available information collected by the City of San Bruno, should be considered preliminary at this time, and are subject to revision by Permittees based on additional information on the effectiveness of trash controls, the magnitude and extent of trash control measure implementation, and/or the levels of trash discharged from the City's MS4.

Trash reduction estimates were based on initial data collection efforts that began in FY 13-14 and utilize the verified levels of baseline trash generation in the City of San Bruno. Reductions associated with jurisdictional-wide trash control measures, trash full capture devices, other TMA-specific control measures, and trash cleanup events in local creeks and shorelines are included. Reductions associated with jurisdictional-wide actions are based on a combination of data collection and observations applicable to the City of San Bruno. Reductions associated with trash full capture devices assume that trash generated in areas treated by effectively maintained devices reduce trash to a level of "no adverse impacts" to local water bodies. For control measures other than full capture devices, all reductions estimates are based on empirical observations of current trash levels (i.e., on-land visual assessments) and associated reductions in applicable trash management areas. Reductions associated with creek and shoreline cleanups are based on the amount of trash removed via these cleanups in FY 14-15, in comparison to baseline trash generation in the City of San Bruno.

| Estimated % Trash Reduction due to Jurisdictional-wide Actions (as Reported in C.10.d – Part A) | 13% |
|---|-----|
| Estimated % Trash Reduction in All TMAs due to Trash Full Capture Devices (as Reported in C.10.d. – Part B) | 9% |
| Estimated % Trash Reduction in all TMAs due to Control Measures Other than Trash Full Capture Devices in All TMAs) (as Reported in C.10.d. – Part B) | 24% |
| SubTotal for Above Actions | 47% |
| Estimated % Trash Reduction due to Receiving Water Cleanups (All TMAs) | 0% |
| Total Estimated % Trash Reduction FY 14-15 | 47% |

Section 11 - Provision C.11 Mercury Controls

C.11.a.i ► Mercury Recycling Efforts

List below or attach lists of efforts to promote, facilitate, and/or participate in collection and recycling of mercury containing devices and equipment at the consumer level (e.g., thermometers, thermostats, switches, bulbs).

Please refer to SMCWPPP's FY 2014/15 Annual Report for details regarding countywide efforts to promote and facilitate collection and recycling of mercury containing devices and equipment at the consumer level through San Mateo County Health Department's Household Hazardous Waste (HHW) Program and Very Small Quantity Generator Business Collection (VSQG) Program.

1) The City of San Bruno has no role in the Facilitation/Organization or Collection of mercury-containing devices and equipment at the consumer level.

C.11.a.ii ► Mercury Collection

Provide an estimate of the mass of mercury collected through these efforts, or provide a reference to a report containing this estimate.

Please refer to the FY 14-15 SMCWPPP Annual Report for an estimate of the mass of mercury collected through the San Mateo County Health Department's Household Hazardous Waste (HHW) Program and Very Small Quantity Generator Business Collection (VSQG) Program.

| Mercury Containing Device/Equipment | Total Amount of Devices Collected | Estimated Mass of Mercury Collected |
|---|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Fluorescent Lamps ⁶³ (linear feet) | N/A | N/A |
| CFLs ⁶⁴ (each) | N/A | N/A |
| Thermostats ⁶⁵ (each) | N/A | N/A |
| Thermostats (Ibs) | N/A | N/A |
| Thermometers (each) | N/A | N/A |
| Switches (lbs) | N/A | N/A |
| Total Mass of Mercury Collected During FY 2014-20 | N/A | |

⁶³Only linear fluorescent lamps should be included

⁶⁴Only compact fluorescent lamps should be included

⁶⁵Thermostats can be reported by quantity or by pounds. Whichever unit is used, please avoid double-counting.

C.11.b ► Monitor Methylmercury C.11.c ▶ Pilot Projects to Investigate and Abate Mercury Sources in Drainages C.11.d ▶ Pilot Projects to Evaluate and Enhance Municipal **Sediment Removal and Management Practices** C.11.e ► Conduct Pilot Projects to Evaluate On-Site Stormwater Treatment via Retrofit C.11.f ► Diversion of Dry Weather and First Flush Flows to POTWs C.11.g ► Monitor Stormwater Mercury Pollutant Loads and Loads Reduced C.11.h ▶ Fate and Transport Study of Mercury In Urban Runoff C.11.i ► Development of a Risk Reduction Program Implemented **Throughout the Region** C.11.j ► Develop Allocation Sharing Scheme with Caltrans State below if information is reported in a separate regional report. Municipalities that participate directly in regional activities to can provide descriptions below. Summary

A summary of SMCWPPP and regional accomplishments for these sub-provisions is included within the C.11 Mercury Controls section of SMCWPPP's FY 14-15 Annual Report.

Section 12 - Provision C.12 PCBs Controls

C.12.a.ii,iii ► Ongoing Training

(For FY 10-11 Annual Report and Each Annual Report Thereafter) List below or attach description of ongoing training development and inspections for PCB identification, including documentation and referral to appropriate regulatory agencies (e.g. county health departments, Department of Toxic Substances Control, California Department of Public Health, and the Water Board) as necessary.

Description:

There are no industrial facilities in the service area. Compliance for this section is provided and coordinated at the County level.

| C.12.b ► Conduct Pilot Projects to Evaluate Managing PCB- |
|---|
| Containing Materials and Wastes during Building Demolition and |
| Renovation Activities |
| C.12.c ▶ Pilot Projects to Investigate and Abate On-land |
| Locations with Elevated PCB Concentrations |
| C.12.d ► Conduct Pilot Projects to Evaluate and Enhance |
| Municipal Sediment Removal and Management Practices |
| C.12.e ► Conduct Pilot Projects to Evaluate On-Site Stormwater |
| Treatment via Retrofit |
| C.12.f ► Diversion of Dry Weather and First Flush Flows to POTWs |
| C.12.g ► Monitor Stormwater PCB Pollutant Loads and Loads |
| Reduced |
| C.12.h ▶ Fate and Transport Study of PCBs In Urban Runoff |
| C.12.i ► Development of a Risk Reduction Program Implemented |
| Throughout the Region |
| State below if information is reported in a separate regional report. Municipalitie |

State below if information is reported in a separate regional report. Municipalities that participate directly in regional activities to can provide descriptions below.

Summary

A summary of SMCWPPP and regional accomplishments for these sub-provisions is included within the C.12 PCBs Controls section of SMCWPPP's FY 14-15 Annual Report.

Section 13 - Provision C.13 Copper Controls

C.13.a.iii.(2) ► Training, Permitting and Enforcement Activities

(FY 11-12 Annual Report and each Annual Report thereafter) Provide summaries of activities implemented to manage waste generated from cleaning and treating of copper architectural features, including copper roofs, during construction and post-construction including. :

- Development of BMPs on how to manage the water during and post construction
- Requiring the use of appropriate BMPs when issuing building permits
- Educating installers and operators on appropriate BMPs
- Enforcement actions taken again noncompliance

We have not had any issues with noncompliance. Copper is not a common material in San Bruno, perhaps because of the more modest nature of the construction here and the relative expense of copper. Staff is only aware of one project that has used architectural copper in the past several years. If there were an instance of non-compliance, we would follow the procedures outlined in our Enforcement Response Plan.

C.13.d.iii ► Industrial Sources Copper Reduction Results

Based upon inspection activities conducted under Provision C.4, highlight copper reduction results achieved among the facilities identified as potential users or sources of copper, facilities inspected, and BMPs addressed.

Summary

There were no pollutants of concern including copper, noted on any of the inspections performed at facilities within the City of San Bruno during FY 14-15.

Section 14 - Provision C.14 PBDE, Legacy Pesticides and Selenium Controls

Note: There are no reporting requirements in the FY 14-15 Annual Report for Section C.14.

C.15 – Exempted and Conditionally Exempted Discharges

Х

Yes

No

FY 2014-2015 Annual Report Permittee Name: <u>City of San Bruno</u>

Section 15 - Provision C.15 Exempted and Conditionally Exempted Discharges

C.15.b.iii.(1), C.15.b.iii.(2) ► Planned and Unplanned Discharges of Potable Water

Is your agency a water purveyor?

If **No**, skip to C.15.b.vi.(2):

If Yes, Complete the attached reporting tables or attach your own table with the same information. Provide any clarifying comments below.

Comments:

Note that the pH and discharge turbidity results are an average for all locations sampled.

C.15.b.vi.(2) ► Irrigation Water, Landscape Irrigation, and Lawn or Garden Watering

Provide implementation summaries of the required BMPs to promote measures that minimize runoff and pollutant loading from excess irrigation. Generally the categories are:

- Promote conservation programs
- Promote outreach for less toxic pest control and landscape management
- Promote use of drought tolerant and native vegetation
- Promote outreach messages to encourage appropriate watering/irrigation practices
- Implement Illicit Discharge Enforcement Response Plan for ongoing, large volume landscape irrigation runoff.

Summary:

San Bruno continues to offer several conservation programs in partnership with the Bay Area Water and Conservation Agency (BAWSCA) to minimize landscape run off. This spring the City implemented and held two hands on landscape classes on the new Lawn be Gone program to educate the public on how to convert their thirsty lawns into a drip irrigation California native drought resistant garden. The Lawn be Gone program offers up to \$500.00 rebate on all material used to convert lawns to drought resistant gardens. The City continues water use Audits on 10 of the largest irrigators in San Bruno. The information from the Audit will create a water use budget guideline that can be used by all the irrigators to reduce their overall usage throughout the year.

| C.15.b.iii.(1) ▶ P | lanned Discharges | of the Potable Wa | ter System | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|--|-----------------------------|----------------------|---|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|---|--|
| Site/ Location | Discharge Type | Receiving Waterbody(ies) | Date of Discharge | Duration of Discharge (military time) | Estimated Volume (gallons) | Estimated Flow Rate (gallons/day) | Chlorine Residual (mg/L) | pH (standard units) | Discharge Turbidity ⁶⁶ (NTU) | Implemented BMPs & Corrective Actions |
| Comments: | | | | | | | | | | |
| J | t and water conservation e water discharge ever | 0 1 | | ces Control Boarc | d, San Bruno did 1 | not complete the annua | l fire hydrant flu | ishing and disc | harge program o | during 2014-15. There were |

⁶⁶Monitor the receiving water for turbidity if necessary and feasible. Include data in this column if available.

| C.15.b.iii.(2) \blacktriangleright Unplanned Discharges of the Potable Water System ⁶⁷ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|---|----------------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|-----------------------------------|--|------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Site/ Location | Discharge Type | Receiving Waterbody(ies) | Date of Discharge | Discharge Duration (military time) | Estimated Volume (gallons) | Estimated Flow Rate (gallons/day) | Chlorine Residual (mg/L) ⁶⁸ | pH (standard units) ⁵² | Discharge Turbidity (Visual) ^{52,} | Implemented BMPs & Corrective Actions | Time of discharge discovery | Regulatory Agency Notification Time ⁶⁹ | Inspector arrival time | Responding crew arrival time |
| 788 Chestnut | 2" Water Main Break | Storm Drain / Vac-Con | 7/7/14 | N/A | 325 | 8 gpm | N/A | 7.8/8.0 | N/A | Water Main Repairs | 10:10am | N/A | N/A | Water Division Crew |
| 445 Huntington | 4" Water Main Break | Storm Drain / Vac-Con | 8/6/14 | N/A | 750 | 12 gpm | N/A | 7.8/8.0 | N/A | Water Main Repairs | 3:17pm | N/A | N/A | Water Division Crew |
| 345 Acacia | 2" Water Main Break | Storm Drain / Vac-Con | 9/1/14 | N/A | 400 | 8 gpm | N/A | 7.8/8.0 | N/A | Water Main Repairs | 7:39pm | N/A | N/A | Water Division Crew |
| Shelter Creek | 2" Water Main Break | Storm Drain / Vac-Con | 10/7/14 | N/A | 425 | 8 gpm | N/A | 7.8/8.0 | N/A | Water Main Repairs | 7:18am | N/A | N/A | Water Division Crew |
| 700 Chestnut | 2" Water Main Break | Storm Drain / Vac-Con | 11/25/14 | N/A | 400 | 8 gpm | N/A | 7.8/8.0 | N/A | Water Main Repairs | 11:56am | N/A | N/A | Water Division Crew |
| 353 Elm | 2" Water Main Break | Storm Drain / Vac-Con | 12/15/14 | N/A | 400 | 8 gpm | N/A | 7.8/8.0 | N/A | Water Main Repairs | 2:30pm | N/A | N/A | Water Division Crew |
| 311 Georgia | 8" Water Main Break | Storm Drain / Vac-Con | 1/5/15 | N/A | 2,500 | 20 gpm | N/A | 7.8/8.0 | N/A | Water Main Repairs | 1:58pm | N/A | N/A | Water Division Crew |
| 416 Kensington | 2" Water Main Break | Storm Drain / Vac-Con | 2/24/15 | N/A | 350 | 8 gpm | N/A | 7.8/8.0 | N/A | Water Main Repairs | 1:33pm | N/A | N/A | Water Division Crew |

⁶⁷This table contains all of the unplanned discharges that occurred in this FY.

⁶⁸Monitoring data is only required for 10% of the unplanned discharges. If you monitored more than 10% of your unplanned discharges, report all of the data collected.

⁶⁹. Notification to Water Board staff is required for unplanned discharges where the chlorine residual is >0.05 mg/L and total volume is ≥ 50,000 gallons. Notification to State Office of Emergency Services is required after becoming aware of aquatic impacts as a result of unplanned discharge or when the discharge might endanger or compromise public health and safety.

| C.15.b.iii.(2) \blacktriangleright Unplanned Discharges of the Potable Water System ⁶⁷ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|---|----------------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|-----------------------------------|--|------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Site/ Location | Discharge Type | Receiving Waterbody(ies) | Date of Discharge | Discharge Duration (military time) | Estimated Volume (gallons) | Estimated Flow Rate (gallons/day) | Chlorine Residual (mg/L) ⁶⁸ | pH (standard units) ⁵² | Discharge Turbidity (Visual) ^{52,} | Implemented BMPs & Corrective Actions | Time of discharge discovery | Regulatory Agency Notification Time ⁶⁹ | Inspector arrival time | Responding crew arrival time |
| 195 Martin | 2" Water Main Break | Storm Drain / Vac-Con | 3/4/15 | N/A | 400 | 8 gpm | N/A | 7.8/8.0 | N/A | Water Main Repairs | 7:06pm | N/A | N/A | Water Division Crew |
| 165 Diamond | 2'' Water Main Break | Storm Drain / Vac-Con | 4/17/15 | N/A | 450 | 8 gpm | N/A | 7.8/8.0 | N/A | Water Main Repairs | 6:56am | N/A | N/A | Water Division Crew |
| 148 Oxford | 6" Water Main Break | Storm Drain / Vac-Con | 5/5/15 | N/A | 1,200 | 16 gpm | N/A | 7.8/8.0 | N/A | Water Main Repairs | 3:07pm | N/A | N/A | Water Division Crew |
| 1226 Williams | 2'' Water Main Break | Storm Drain / Vac-Con | 6/5/15 | N/A | 400 | 8 gpm | N/A | 7.8/8.0 | N/A | Water Main Repairs | 7:14am | N/A | N/A | Water Division Crew |