



October 1, 2018

Mr. Bruce H. Wolfe
Executive Officer
San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board
1515 Clay Street, Suite 1400
Oakland, CA 94612

Subject: City of Belmont
FY 2017/18 Annual Report

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

This letter and Annual Report with attachments is submitted by the City of Belmont pursuant to Permit Provision C.17.a of the Municipal Regional Stormwater NPDES Permit (MRP), Order R2-2015-0049, NPDES Permit No CAS612008 issued by the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board. The Annual Report provides documentation of compliance activities conducted during FY 2017/18 and related accomplishments.

Please contact me at (650) 595-7469 regarding any questions or concerns.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, reading 'Leticia Alvarez', is positioned above the typed name. The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Leticia Alvarez, P.E.
Assistant Public Works Director/City Engineer

**City of Belmont
FY 2017/18 ANNUAL REPORT**

Certification Statement

"I certify, under penalty of law, that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to ensure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted, is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Signature of Duly Authorized Representative:



Leticia Alvarez, P.E. 9/25/2018
Assistant Public Works Director/City Engineer Date

Table of Contents

Section	Page
Section 1 – Permittee Information.....	1-1
Section 2 – Provision C.2 Municipal Operations	2-1
Section 3 – Provision C.3 New Development and Redevelopment.....	3-1
Section 4 – Provision C.4 Industrial and Commercial Site Controls.....	4-1
Section 5 – Provision C.5 Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination	5-1
Section 6 – Provision C.6 Construction Site Controls.....	6-1
Section 7 – Provision C.7 Public Information and Outreach	7-1
Section 9 – Provision C.9 Pesticides Toxicity Controls	9-1
Section 10 – Provision C.10 Trash Load Reduction.....	10-1
Section 11 – Provision C.11 Mercury Controls	11-1
Section 12 – Provision C.12 PCBs Controls	12-1
Section 13 – Provision C.13 Copper Controls.....	13-1
Section 15 – Provision C.15 Exempted and Conditionally Exempted Discharges	15-1

Section 1 – Permittee Information

Background Information					
Permittee Name:	City of Belmont				
Population:					
NPDES Permit No.:	CAS612008				
Order Number:	R2-2015-0049				
Reporting Time Period (month/year):	July 2017 through June 2018				
Name of the Responsible Authority:	Afshin Oskoui			Title:	Public Works Director
Mailing Address:	One Twin Pines Lane Suite 385				
City:	Belmont	Zip Code:	94002	County:	San Mateo
Telephone Number:	650-595-7459		Fax Number:		
E-mail Address:	Aouskoui@belmont.gov				
Name of the Designated Stormwater Management Program Contact (if different from above):	Leticia Alvarez			Title:	Assistant Public Works Director/City Engineer
Department:	Public Works				
Mailing Address:	One Twin Pines Lane, Suite 385				
City:	Belmont	Zip Code:	94002	County:	San Mateo
Telephone Number:	650-595-7469		Fax Number:		
E-mail Address:	lalvarez@belmont.gov				

Section 2 - Provision C.2 Reporting Municipal Operations

Program Highlights and Evaluation

Highlight/summarize activities for reporting year:

Summary:

- 1) Attended most SMCWPPP Public Works Municipal Maintenance Subcommittee and Trash Committee meetings held during FY 2017-18.
- 2) Trained maintenance staff on the CASQA Road and Street BMPs, BASMAA BMPs to Prevent Stormwater Pollution from Construction Related Activities, BASMAA Pollution from Surface Cleaning BMPs and graffiti removal BMPs.
- 3) Adopted the SMCWPPP Excel trash full capture device O&M tracking template used to track maintenance and inspection data.
- 4) Staff continues to inspect both Ralston and Harbor Storm Pump Stations and wet wells weekly as well as conduct dissolved oxygen testing and collection system screening inspections monthly. Records of all work done are documented in Belmont's computer network storage as well as Belmont's asset management computer software program, currently Hansen.

C.2.a. ► Street and Road Repair and Maintenance

Place a **Y** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were implemented. If not applicable, type **NA** in the box and provide an explanation in the comments section below. Place an **N** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were not implemented for one or more of these activities during the reporting fiscal year, then in the comments section below provide an explanation of when BMPs were not implemented and the corrective actions taken.

Y	Control of debris and waste materials during road and parking lot installation, repaving or repair maintenance activities from polluting stormwater
Y	Control of concrete slurry and wastewater, asphalt, pavement cutting, and other street and road maintenance materials and wastewater from discharging to storm drains from work sites.
Y	Sweeping and/or vacuuming and other dry methods to remove debris, concrete, or sediment residues from work sites upon completion of work.

Comments:

C.2.b. ► Sidewalk/Plaza Maintenance and Pavement Washing

Place a **Y** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were implemented. If not applicable, type **NA** in the box and provide an explanation in the comments section below. Place an **N** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were not implemented for one or more of these activities during the reporting fiscal year, then in the comments section below provide an explanation of when BMPs were not implemented and the corrective actions taken.

Y	Control of wash water from pavement washing, mobile cleaning, pressure wash operations at parking lots, garages, trash areas, gas station fueling areas, and sidewalk and plaza cleaning activities from polluting stormwater
Y	Implementation of the BASMAA Mobile Surface Cleaner Program BMPs

Comments:

C.2.c. ► Bridge and Structure Maintenance and Graffiti Removal

Place a **Y** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were implemented. If not applicable, type **NA** in the box and provide an explanation in the comments section below. Place an **N** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were not implemented for one or more of these activities during the reporting fiscal year, then in the comments section below provide an explanation of when BMPs were not implemented and the corrective actions taken.

Y	Control of discharges from bridge and structural maintenance activities directly over water or into storm drains
Y	Control of discharges from graffiti removal activities
Y	Proper disposal for wastes generated from bridge and structure maintenance and graffiti removal activities
Y	Implementation of the BASMAA Mobile Surface Cleaner Program BMPs for graffiti removal
Y	Employee training on proper capture and disposal methods for wastes generated from bridge and structural maintenance and graffiti removal activities.
Y	Contract specifications requiring proper capture and disposal methods for wastes generated from bridge and structural maintenance and graffiti removal activities.

Comments:

C.2.e. ► Rural Public Works Construction and Maintenance					
Does your municipality own/maintain rural ¹ roads:		<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
If your answer is No then skip to C.2.f.					
Place a Y in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were implemented. If not applicable, type NA in the box and provide an explanation in the comments section below. Place an N in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were not implemented for one or more of these activities during the reporting fiscal year, then in the comments section below provide an explanation of when BMPs were not implemented and the corrective actions taken.					
<input type="checkbox"/>	Control of road-related erosion and sediment transport from road design, construction, maintenance, and repairs in rural areas				
<input type="checkbox"/>	Identification and prioritization of rural road maintenance based on soil erosion potential, slope steepness, and stream habitat resources				
<input type="checkbox"/>	No impact to creek functions including migratory fish passage during construction of roads and culverts				
<input type="checkbox"/>	Inspection of rural roads for structural integrity and prevention of impact on water quality				
<input type="checkbox"/>	Maintenance of rural roads adjacent to streams and riparian habitat to reduce erosion, replace damaging shotgun culverts and excessive erosion				
<input type="checkbox"/>	Re-grading of unpaved rural roads to slope outward where consistent with road engineering safety standards, and installation of water bars as appropriate				
<input type="checkbox"/>	Inclusion of measures to reduce erosion, provide fish passage, and maintain natural stream geomorphology when replacing culverts or design of new culverts or bridge crossings				
Comments including listing increased maintenance in priority areas:					

¹Rural means any watershed or portion thereof that is developed with large lot home-sites, such as one acre or larger, or with primarily agricultural, grazing or open space uses.

C.2.f. ► Corporation Yard BMP Implementation

Place an **X** in the boxes below that apply to your corporations yard(s):

<input type="checkbox"/>	We do not have a corporation yard
<input type="checkbox"/>	Our corporation yard is a filed NOI facility and regulated by the California State Industrial Stormwater NPDES General Permit
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	We have a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) for the Corporation Yard(s)

Place an **X** in the boxes below next to implemented SWPPP BMPs to indicate that these BMPs were implemented in applicable instances. If not applicable, type **NA** in the box. If one or more of the BMPs were not adequately implemented during the reporting fiscal year then indicate so and explain in the comments section below:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Control of pollutant discharges to storm drains such as wash waters from cleaning vehicles and equipment
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Routine inspection prior to the rainy seasons of corporation yard(s) to ensure non-stormwater discharges have not entered the storm drain system
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Containment of all vehicle and equipment wash areas through plumbing to sanitary or another collection method
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Use of dry cleanup methods when cleaning debris and spills from corporation yard(s) or collection of all wash water and disposing of wash water to sanitary or other location where it does not impact surface or groundwater when wet cleanup methods are used
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Cover and/or berm outdoor storage areas containing waste pollutants

Comments:

If you have a corporation yard(s) that is not an NOI facility, complete the following table for inspection results for your corporation yard(s) or attach a summary including the following information: **Do not leave any cells blank.**

Corporation Yard Name	Corp Yard Activities w/ site-specific SWPPP BMPs	Inspection Date ²	Inspection Findings/Results	Date and Description of Follow-up and/or Corrective Actions
Belmont Corporation Yard	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •General housekeeping • Vehicle/equipment washing • Vehicle/equipment maintenance & repair • Fuel dispensing • Outdoor material storage • Outdoor Waste/recycling storage 	September 1, 2017	All corporation yard BMP's in place and no deficiencies noted	No follow-up or corrective actions needed

² Minimum inspection frequency is once a year during September.

	• Municipal vehicle/heavy equipment and employee parking			

Section 3 - Provision C.3 Reporting New Development and Redevelopment

C.3.b.iv.(2) ► Regulated Projects Reporting

Fill in attached table **C.3.b.iv.(2)** or attach your own table including the same information.

C.3.b.iv.(2) completed and attached.

C.3.e.iv. ► Alternative or In-Lieu Compliance with Provision C.3.c.

Is your agency choosing to require 100% LID treatment onsite for all Regulated Projects and not allow alternative compliance under Provision C.3.e.?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
--	--------------------------	-----	-------------------------------------	----

Comments (optional):
There is one special project currently under review.

C.3.e.v ► Special Projects Reporting

1. In FY 2017-18, has your agency received, but not yet granted final discretionary approval of, a development permit application for a project that has been identified as a potential Special Project based on criteria listed in MRP Provision C.3.e.ii.(2) for any of the three categories of Special Projects (Categories A, B or C)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
--	-------------------------------------	-----	--------------------------	----

2. In FY 2017-18, has your agency granted final discretionary approval to a Special Project? If yes, include the project in both the C.3.b.iv.(2) Table, and the C.3.e.v. Table.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
--	--------------------------	-----	-------------------------------------	----

If you answered "Yes" to either question,
 1) Complete Table C.3.e.v.
 2) Attach narrative discussion of 100% LID Feasibility or Infeasibility for each project.

Table C.3.e.v completed and attached. Narrative discussion of 100% LID feasibility or infeasibility also attached.

C.3.h.v.(2) ► Reporting Newly Installed Stormwater Treatment Systems and HM Controls (Optional)

On an annual basis, before the wet season, provide a list of newly installed (installed within the reporting year) stormwater treatment systems and HM controls to the local mosquito and vector control agency and the Water Board. The list shall include the facility locations and a description of the stormwater treatment measures and HM controls installed.

See attached Table **C.3.h.v.(2)** for list of newly installed Stormwater Treatment Systems/HM Controls.

See attached Table C.3.h.v.(2) for list of newly installed Stormwater Treatment Systems/HM Controls. There are a few regulated projects under construction. However, only four of them have completed the installation of their storm water treatment systems in the reporting year.

C.3.h.v.(3)(a) –(c) and (f) ► Installed Stormwater Treatment Systems Operation and Maintenance Verification Inspection Program Reporting

Site Inspections Data	Number/Percentage
Total number of Regulated Projects (including offsite projects, and Regional Projects) in your agency's database or tabular format at the end of the previous fiscal year (FY16-17)	5
Total number of Regulated Projects (including offsite projects, and Regional Projects) in your agency's database or tabular format at the end of the reporting period (FY 17-18)	9
Total number of Regulated Projects (including offsite projects, and Regional Projects) for which O&M verification inspections were conducted during the reporting period (FY 17-18)	9
Percentage of the total number of Regulated Projects (including offsite projects, and Regional Projects) inspected during the reporting period (FY 17-18)	100% ³

³ Based on the number of Regulated Projects in the database or tabular format at the end of the previous fiscal year, per MRP Provision C.3.h.ii.(6)(b).

C.3.h.v.(3)(d)-(e) ► Installed Stormwater Treatment Systems Operation and Maintenance Verification Inspection Program Reporting

Provide a discussion of the inspection findings for the year and any common problems encountered with various types of treatment systems and/or HM controls. This discussion should include a general comparison to the inspection findings from the previous year.

Summary:

Four new storm water treatment systems have been completed during this reporting period. City staff has reviewed the annual inspection report forms and inspected all development sites that have a storm water treatment system. City staff has reviewed the annual report from the developments that have signed O&M Agreements for their storm water treatment system, and also inspected the sites. During inspection, City staff did not find any problems with the storm water treatment systems.

Provide a discussion of the effectiveness of the O&M Program and any proposed changes to improve the O&M Program (e.g., changes in prioritization plan or frequency of O&M inspections, other changes to improve effectiveness program).

Summary:

The O&M program appears to be effective so far. The NPDES coordinator maintains a list of addresses that have installed O&M facilities. From the list, the coordinator assigns an inspector to inspect each site. The inspections have not found any problems with maintenance activities. The program has been effective and no changes are required at this time. In addition, the City has checked all required O&M annual reports from all subject sites.

C.3.h.v.(4) ► Enforcement Response Plan

Does your agency have an Enforcement Response Plan for all O&M inspections of stormwater treatment measures?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
-------------------------------------	-----	--------------------------	----

If No, explain:

Enforcement Response Plan completed 8/14/2017 and have been implemented since then.

C.3.i. ► Required Site Design Measures for Small Projects and Detached Single Family Home Projects

On an annual basis, discuss the implementation of the requirements of Provision C.3.i, including ordinance revisions, permit conditions, development of standard specifications and/or guidance materials, and staff training.

Summary:

BASMAA prepared standard specifications in four fact sheets regarding the site design measures listed in Provision C.3.i, as a resource for Permittees. We have modified local ordinances/policies/procedures and forms/checklists to require all applicable projects approved after December 1, 2012 to implement at least one of the site design measures listed in Provision C.3.i.

The City revised the typical Conditions of Approval for all development review projects that include checklist for recommended treatment measures for regulated and non-regulated projects. The City encourages all applicants even for projects less than 2500SF to incorporate some of the recommended treatment measures. All applicant for any size of building permits are required to fill out the C3 (small project) checklist. All checklists are filed in the building or planning permit folders.

C.3.j.i.(5)(d) ► Green Infrastructure Outreach

On an annual basis, provide a summary of your agency's outreach and education efforts pertaining to Green Infrastructure planning and implementation.

Summary:

Please refer to the SMCWPPP FY 17-18 Annual Report for a summary of outreach efforts implemented at the countywide level.

Staff has participated in all Green Infrastructure Committee meetings and will continue to attend all meetings going forward, The requirements policy was discussed internally at staff meetings.

On February 14, 2017, the MRP 2.0 was presented to City Council and included the Green Infrastructure component of the permit.

Additionally, A Green Infrastructure webpage was created on City of Belmont website: <http://www.belmont.gov/city-hall/public-works/green-infrastructure>

--

C.3.j.ii.(2) ► Early Implementation of Green Infrastructure Projects

On an annual basis, submit a list of green infrastructure projects, public and private, that are already planned for implementation during the permit term and infrastructure projects planned for implementation during the permit term that have potential for green infrastructure measures. Include the following information:

- A summary of planning or implementation status for each public and private green infrastructure project that is not also a Regulated Project as defined in Provision C.3.b.ii. (see C.3.j.ii.(2) Table B - Planned Green Infrastructure Projects).
- A summary of how each public infrastructure project with green infrastructure potential will include green infrastructure measures to the maximum extent practicable during the permit term. For any public infrastructure project where implementation of green infrastructure measures is not practicable, submit a brief description of the project and the reasons green infrastructure measures were impracticable to implement (see C.3.j.ii.(2) Table A - Public Projects Reviewed for Green Infrastructure).

Background Information:
Describe how this provision is being implemented by your agency, including the process used by your agency to identify projects with potential for green infrastructure, if applicable.

The City is referring to BASMAA “Guidance for identifying Green Infrastructure Potential in Municipal Capital Improvement Program Projects” (May 4, 2016) for guidance and reviewing potential green infrastructure projects.

Summary of Planning or Implementation Status of Identified Projects:
See attached Tables C.3.j.ii.(2)-A and C.3.j.ii.(2)-B for the required information, and any additional notes provided here (optional).

See attached Tables C.3.j.ii.(2)-A and C.3.j.ii.(2)-B for the required information. The majority of the City projects in this reporting period were utility or maintenance projects with no potential for green infrastructure.

C.3.j.iii.(2) ► Participate in Processes to Promote Green Infrastructure

On an annual basis, report on the goals and outcomes during the reporting year of work undertaken to participate in processes to promote green infrastructure.

Please refer to the SMCWPPP FY 17-18 Annual Report for a summary of efforts conducted to help regional, State, and federal agencies plan, design and fund incorporation of green infrastructure measures into local infrastructure projects, including transportation projects.

Please refer to the SMCWPPP FY 17-18 Annual Report for a summary of efforts conducted to help regional, State, and federal agencies plan, design and fund incorporation of green infrastructure measures into local infrastructure projects, including transportation projects.

C.3.j.iv.(2) ► Tracking and Reporting Progress

On an annual basis, report progress on development and implementation of methods to track and report implementation of green infrastructure measures and provide reasonable assurance that wasteload allocations for TMDLs are being met.

Please refer to the SMCWPPP FY 17-18 Annual Report for a summary of methods being developed to track and report implementation of green infrastructure measures.

C.3.b.iv.(2) ► Regulated Projects Reporting Table (part 1) – Projects Approved During the Fiscal Year Reporting Period

Project Name Project No.	Project Location ⁴ , Street Address	Name of Developer	Project Phase No. ⁵	Project Type & Description ⁶	Project Watershed ⁷	Total Site Area (Acres)	Total Area of Land Disturbed (Acres)	Total New Impervious Surface Area (ft ²) ⁸	Total Replaced Impervious Surface Area (ft ²) ⁹	Total Pre- Project Impervious Surface Area ¹⁰ (ft ²)	Total Post- Project Impervious Surface Area ¹¹ (ft ²)
Private Projects											
Bishop Subdivision	Bishop Road	Belmont Investment Group	N/A	Residential	Belmont Creek	2.0	1.13	21,296	0	0	21,296
Aron Subdivision	1320 Talbryn Drive	Aron Development	N/A	Residential	Belmont Creek	1.47	1.47	9,694	11,299	11,299	20,993
Public Projects											
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Comments:											
Two subdivisions approved during this reporting period.											

⁴Include cross streets

⁵If a project is being constructed in phases, indicate the phase number and use a separate row entry for each phase. If not, enter "NA".

⁶Project Type is the type of development (i.e., new and/or redevelopment). Example descriptions of development are: 5-story office building, residential with 160 single-family homes with five 4-story buildings to contain 200 condominiums, 100 unit 2-story shopping mall, mixed use retail and residential development (apartments), industrial warehouse.

⁷State the watershed(s) in which the Regulated Project is located. Downstream watershed(s) may be included, but this is optional.

⁸All impervious surfaces added to any area of the site that was previously existing pervious surface.

⁹All impervious surfaces added to any area of the site that was previously existing impervious surface.

¹⁰For redevelopment projects, state the pre-project impervious surface area.

¹¹For redevelopment projects, state the post-project impervious surface area.

**C.3.b.iv.(2) ► Regulated Projects Reporting Table (part 2) –
 Projects Approved During the Fiscal Year Reporting Period
 (private projects)**

Project Name Project No.	Application Deemed Complete Date ¹²	Application Final Approval Date ¹³	Source Control Measures ¹⁴	Site Design Measures ¹⁵	Treatment Systems Approved ¹⁶	Type of Operation & Maintenance Responsibility Mechanism ¹⁷	Hydraulic Sizing Criteria ¹⁸	Alternative Compliance Measures ^{19/20}	Alternative Certification ²¹	HM Controls ^{22/23}
Private Projects										
Bishop Subdivision	8/19/2017	4/10/2018	Mark “no Dumping” on inlet, plumb floor drain to sewer, landscaping, discharge fire test water to landscape etc.	Direct roof runoff & runoff from s/w, walkway & patio onto vegetated areas. Minimize land disturbances, plant trees etc.	Bio-retention	O&M Agreement	2c	No alternative	N/A	N/A. Not in MH control area
Aron Subdivision	3/2014	4/10/2018	Mark “no Dumping” on inlet, plumb floor drain to sewer, landscaping, roofed vehicle equipment cleaning, canopy for fueling area, discharge fire test water to landscape etc.	Direct roof runoff & runoff from s/w, walkway & patio onto vegetated areas. construct s/w, d/w with permeable surfaces, minimize land disturbances, plant trees etc.	Bio-retention	O&M Agreement	2c	No alternative	N/A	N/A. Not in MH control area

¹²For private projects, state project application deemed complete date. If the project did not go through discretionary review, report the building permit issuance date.

¹³For private projects, state project application final discretionary approval date. If the project did not go through discretionary review, report the building permit issuance date.

¹⁴List source control measures approved for the project. Examples include: properly designed trash storage areas; storm drain stenciling or signage; efficient landscape irrigation systems; etc.

¹⁵List site design measures approved for the project. Examples include: minimize impervious surfaces; conserve natural areas, including existing trees or other vegetation, and soils; construct sidewalks, walkways, and/or patios with permeable surfaces, etc.

¹⁶List all approved stormwater treatment system(s) to be installed onsite or at a joint stormwater treatment facility (e.g., flow through planter, bioretention facility, infiltration basin, etc.).

¹⁷List the legal mechanism(s) (e.g., O&M agreement with private landowner; O&M agreement with homeowners’ association; O&M by public entity, etc...) that have been or will be used to assign responsibility for the maintenance of the post-construction stormwater treatment systems.

¹⁸See Provision C.3.d.i. “Numeric Sizing Criteria for Stormwater Treatment Systems” for list of hydraulic sizing design criteria. Enter the corresponding provision number of the appropriate criterion (i.e., 1.a., 1.b., 2.a., 2.b., 2.c., or 3).

¹⁹For Alternative Compliance at an offsite location in accordance with Provision C.3.e.i.(1), on a separate page, give a discussion of the alternative compliance site including the information specified in Provision C.3.b.v.(1)(m)(i) for the offsite project.

²⁰For Alternative Compliance by paying in-lieu fees in accordance with Provision C.3.e.i.(2), on a separate page, provide the information specified in Provision C.3.b.v.(1)(m)(ii) for the Regional Project.

²¹Note whether a third party was used to certify the project design complies with Provision C.3.d.

²²If HM control is not required, state why not.

²³If HM control is required, state control method used (e.g., method to design and size device(s) or method(s) used to meet the HM Standard, and description of device(s) or method(s) used, such as detention basin(s), bioretention unit(s), regional detention basin, or in-stream control).

**C.3.b.iv.(2) ► Regulated Projects Reporting Table (part 2) –
 Projects Approved During the Fiscal Year Reporting Period
 (private projects)**

Project Name Project No.	Application Deemed Complete Date ¹²	Application Final Approval Date ¹³	Source Control Measures ¹⁴	Site Design Measures ¹⁵	Treatment Systems Approved ¹⁶	Type of Operation & Maintenance Responsibility Mechanism ¹⁷	Hydraulic Sizing Criteria ¹⁸	Alternative Compliance Measures ^{19/20}	Alternative Certification ²¹	HM Controls ^{22/23}
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

**C.3.b.iv.(2) ► Regulated Projects Reporting Table (part 2) –
 Projects Approved During the Fiscal Year Reporting Period
 (public projects)**

Project Name Project No.	Approval Date ²⁴	Date Construction Scheduled to Begin	Source Control Measures ²⁵	Site Design Measures ²⁶	Treatment Systems Approved ²⁷	Operation & Maintenance Responsibility Mechanism ²⁸	Hydraulic Sizing Criteria ²⁹	Alternative Compliance Measures ^{30/31}	Alternative Certification ³²	HM Controls ^{33/34}
Public Projects										
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Comments:										

²⁴For public projects, enter the plans and specifications approval date.

²⁵List source control measures approved for the project. Examples include: properly designed trash storage areas; storm drain stenciling or signage; efficient landscape irrigation systems; etc.

²⁶List site design measures approved for the project. Examples include: minimize impervious surfaces; conserve natural areas, including existing trees or other vegetation, and soils; construct sidewalks, walkways, and/or patios with permeable surfaces, etc.

²⁷List all approved stormwater treatment system(s) to be installed onsite or at a joint stormwater treatment facility (e.g., flow through planter, bioretention facility, infiltration basin, etc.).

²⁸List the legal mechanism(s) (e.g., maintenance plan for O&M by public entity, etc.) that have been or will be used to assign responsibility for the maintenance of the post-construction stormwater treatment systems.

²⁹See Provision C.3.d.i. "Numeric Sizing Criteria for Stormwater Treatment Systems" for list of hydraulic sizing design criteria. Enter the corresponding provision number of the appropriate criterion (i.e., 1.a., 1.b., 2.a., 2.b., 2.c., or 3).

³⁰For Alternative Compliance at an offsite location in accordance with Provision C.3.e.i.(1), on a separate page, give a discussion of the alternative compliance site including the information specified in Provision C.3.b.v.(1)(m)(i) for the offsite project.

³¹For Alternative Compliance by paying in-lieu fees in accordance with Provision C.3.e.i.(2), on a separate page, provide the information specified in Provision C.3.b.v.(1)(m)(ii) for the Regional Project.

³²Note whether a third party was used to certify the project design complies with Provision C.3.d.

³³If HM control is not required, state why not.

³⁴If HM control is required, state control method used (e.g., method to design and size device(s) or method(s) used to meet the HM Standard, and description of device(s) or method(s) used, such as detention basin(s), bioretention unit(s), regional detention basin, or in-stream control).

C.3.h.v.(2). ► Table of Newly Installed³⁵ Stormwater Treatment Systems and Hydromodification Management (HM) Controls (Optional)

Fill in table below or attach your own table including the same information.

This is an optional section of the Annual Report. However, the information needs to be submitted to the vector control agency and Water Board. If your agency does not provide the required information in this section, please submit the information separately to SMCWPPP staff by September 21. SMCWPPP staff will consolidate all Permittee tables and submit them to the vector control agency and Water Board in a separate submittal prior to the wet season.

Name of Facility	Address of Facility	Party Responsible ³⁶ For Maintenance	Type of Treatment/HM Control(s)
76 Gas Station	699 Ralston Avenue	Gustavo Greco, owner	Bio-retention
Summer Suite Hotel	1401 Shoreway Blvd.	Belmont Hotels, LLC	Bio-retention
Autobahn Dealership	700 Island Parkway	Sonic Automobile	Bio-retention
Crystal Springs School	10 Davis Drive	Crystal Springs Upland Middle School	Bio-retention

³⁵ "Newly Installed" includes those facilities for which the final installation inspection was performed during this reporting year.

³⁶State the responsible operator for installed stormwater treatment systems and HM controls.

C.3.e.v. Special Projects Reporting Table												
Reporting Period – July 1 2017 - June 30, 2018												
Project Name & No.	Permittee	Address	Application Submittal Date ³⁷	Status ³⁸	Description ³⁹	Site Total Acreage	Gross Density DU/Acre	Density FAR	Special Project Category ⁴⁰	LID Treatment Reduction Credit Available ⁴¹	List of LID Stormwater Treatment Systems ⁴²	List of Non-LID Stormwater Treatment Systems ⁴³
									Category A: Category B: Category C: Location: Density: Parking:	Category A: Category B: Category C: Location: Density: Parking:	Indicate each type of LID treatment system and % of total runoff treated.	Indicate each type of non-LID treatment system and % of total runoff treated. Indicate whether minimum design criteria met or certification received
Firehouse Square Apartment	Sares Regis Development	1300 El Camino Real	12/1/2016	Under review. Submittal Incomplete	Residential Condo-66 Units, 4 Levels	0.75	88	3.27	Category C Location Parking	Location: 50% Density: 20% Parking: 20% Total: 90%	10% Bio-retention	90% Mechanical Filter that meet GULD certification by TAPE

³⁷Date that a planning application for the Special Project was submitted.

³⁸ Indicate whether final discretionary approval is still pending or has been granted, and provide the date or version of the project plans upon which reporting is based.

³⁹Type of project (commercial, mixed-use, residential), number of floors, number of units, type of parking, and other relevant information.

⁴⁰ For each applicable Special Project Category, list the specific criteria applied to determine applicability. For each non-applicable Special Project Category, indicate n/a.

⁴¹For each applicable Special Project Category, state the maximum total LID Treatment Reduction Credit available. For Category C Special Projects also list the individual Location, Density, and Minimized Surface Parking Credits available.

⁴²: List all LID stormwater treatment systems proposed. For each type, indicate the percentage of the total amount of runoff identified in Provision C.3.d. for the Special Project's drainage area.

⁴³List all non-LID stormwater treatment systems proposed. For each type of non-LID treatment system, indicate: (1) the percentage of the total amount of runoff identified in Provision C.3.d. for the Special Project's drainage area, and (2) whether the treatment system either meets minimum design criteria published by a government agency, or received certification issued by a government agency, and reference the applicable criteria or certification.

Firehouse Square Townhouse	Sares Regis Development	1300 El Camino Real	12/1/2016	Under Review. Submittal Incomplete	Residential Townhouse 15 units – 2 Levels No Surface Parking	0.50	30	1.78	Category C Location Parking	Location: 25% Parking: 20% Total: 45%	55% Bio-retention	45% Mechanical Filter
----------------------------	-------------------------	---------------------	-----------	------------------------------------	--	------	----	------	-----------------------------------	--	-------------------	-----------------------

Special Projects Narrative

The project at 1300 El Camino Real, Firehouse Square, consists of two parcels. One for apartments and one for townhouses, which has been identified as a potential Special Project, based on Special Project criteria provided in Provision C.3.e.ii of the Municipal Regional Stormwater Permit (MRP). The project is partially within ½ mile from the Belmont train station.

1. Feasibility/Infeasibility of Onsite LID Treatment

The project site was reviewed with regard to the feasibility and infeasibility of onsite LID treatment. The results of this review showed that it was infeasible to treat 100 percent of the C.3.d amount of runoff with LID treatment. The findings of this review are:

- a. **On-site Drainage Conditions.** The Firehouse Square Project site is generally flat as the slope from its northmost corner to its southmost corner is 1.6%. However, at locations where the site is adjacent to streets, the slopes are greater, Oneill Road (2.0%) and Broadway (6.1%). Stormwater runoff flows from the south corner of the site at 5th and Broadway to the north corner of the site at El Camino Real and Oneill.
- b. **Self-treating and Self-Retaining Areas and LID Treatment Measures.** Landscaped areas have been designed to act as self-treating areas to reduce the stormwater runoff from the site.
- c. **Maximizing Flow to LID Features and Facilities.** Proposed sidewalks, driveways and impervious surfaces have been designed to route drainage to proposed LID measures.
- d. **Constraints to Providing On-site LID.** The drainage management areas that are proposed to drain to vault-based media filters include building roofs as well as at-grade areas such as impervious walkways and driveways. In these areas, conditions and technical constraints are present that preclude the use of LID features and facilities, as described below.
 - i. Impervious paved areas: The generally flat site causes grading constraints that do not allow for direct flow to the appropriate LID measures.
 - ii. Landscaped areas:
 - Inadequate space to accommodate biotreatment facilities that meet sizing requirements for the tributary area.
 - Conflict with subsurface utilities including an underground culvert.
 - Lack of hydraulic head or routing path to direct collected runoff to the landscaped area or from the landscaped area to an outfall.
 - Building setback requirements per the Belmont Village Specific Plan (BVSP) minimizes the potential locations for onsite LID measures.

2. Feasibility/Infeasibility of Off-Site LID Treatment.

The possibility of providing off-site LID treatment was found to be infeasible for the following reasons.

- i. The project proponent does not own or otherwise control land that can accommodate off-site bioretention facilities.
- ii. There is no formal regional LID stormwater mitigation program available to the project in-lieu of C.3 compliance.
- iii. Implementation of off-site LID treatment areas would reduce the amount of off-site parking that can be provided.

C.3.j.ii. (2) ► Table A - Public Projects Reviewed for Green Infrastructure

Project Name and Location ⁴⁴	Project Description	Status ⁴⁵	GI Included? ⁴⁶	Description of GI Measures Considered and/or Proposed or Why GI is Impracticable to Implement ⁴⁷
2018 Storm Project	Storm system Improvement	Design	No	No potential (utility project)
2018 Sewer Project	Sewer system Improvement	Design	No	No potential (utility project)
2018 Slurry Project	Street pavement improvement	Construction	No	Maintenance project
Ralston Retaining Wall Project	Retaining wall repair	Construction	No	Maintenance project
Ralston Sewer Improvement Project	Sewer pipe replacement	Construction	No	No potential (utility project)
Tahoe Signal	Signal installation	Construction	No	No potential (signal project)
Citywide ADA Ramp Project	ADA ramps construction	Planning	No	No potential (ADA project)
North Road Pump Station	Sewer pump station	Planning	No	No potential (utility project)
City Hall roofing	Roofing	Completed	No	No potential (maintenance)
Davey Glen Park	Small Playground < 1 acre	Completed	Yes	Bio-swale

⁴⁴ List each public project that is going through your agency’s process for identifying projects with green infrastructure potential.

⁴⁵ Indicate status of project, such as: beginning design, under design (or X% design), projected completion date, completed final design date, etc.

⁴⁶ Enter “Yes” if project will include GI measures, “No” if GI measures are impracticable to implement, or “TBD” if this has not yet been determined.

⁴⁷ Provide a summary of how each public infrastructure project with green infrastructure potential will include green infrastructure measures to the maximum extent practicable during the permit term. If review of the project indicates that implementation of green infrastructure measures is not practicable, provide the reasons why green infrastructure measures are impracticable to implement.

C.3.j.ii.(2) ► Table B - Planned and/or Completed Green Infrastructure Projects

Project Name and Location ⁴⁸	Project Description	Planning or Implementation Status	Green Infrastructure Measures Included
Ruth Ave Streetscape and Landscape Project	Rehabilitate Ruth Avenue while incorporating streetscape and landscaping to enhance functionally, aesthetics and treat stormwater	Planning Phase-Seeking funding	Potential for bio-retention, bioswale and vegetated landscaping

⁴⁸ List each planned (and expected to be funded) public and private green infrastructure project that is not also a Regulated Project as defined in Provision C.3.b.ii. Note that funding for green infrastructure components may be anticipated but is not guaranteed to be available or sufficient.

Section 4 – Provision C.4 Industrial and Commercial Site Controls

Program Highlights and Evaluation
 Highlight/summarize activities for reporting year:

Summary:
 The following activities were conducted for this reporting year by the City:

- Updated the existing Stormwater Industrial/Commercial Business Inspection Plan facilities list.
- Conducted inspections.
- Two staff members have participated in the Countywide Program's CII Subcommittee.
- Participated in quarterly meetings.
- Participated in training.

The City has contracted with the County of San Mateo to perform certain commercial and industrial stormwater inspections through December 2017. After December 2017, all commercial and industrial inspections will be performed by the City of Belmont.

C.4.b.iii ► Potential Facilities List (i.e., List of All Facilities Requiring Stormwater Inspections)

List below or attach your list of industrial and commercial facilities in your Inspection Plan to inspect that could reasonably be considered to cause or contribute to pollution of stormwater runoff.

The City has compiled a list of current City business licenses and will include them in the overall business inspection plan. Facilities that have the potential to contribute to pollution stormwater runoff (auto shops, machine shops, building materials, restaurants, etc.) will be placed on a 1-year to 5-year inspection based on facility type. The City also conducts drive-by's and responds to reports from the public.

Attached- City of Belmont BIP (Business Inspection Plan)

C.4.d.iii.(2)(a) & (c) ► Facility Inspections

Fill out the following table or attach a summary of the following information. Indicate your reporting methodology below.

Y	Permittee reports multiple discrete potential and actual discharges as one enforcement action.
Y	Permittee reports the total number of discrete potential and actual discharges on each site.
Number	
Total number of inspections conducted (C.4.d.iii.(2)(a))	
28	

Violations, enforcement actions, or discreet number of potential and actual discharges resolved within 10 working days or otherwise deemed resolved in a longer but still timely manner (C.4.d.iii.(2)(c))	0
Comments: County Environmental Health (CEH) reported 27 inspections being conducted from July –December 2017. The CEH Stormwater Inventory Transition table indicated there were 26 facilities due for inspection between January – June 2018 by the City. However, due to staff shortage City was able to conduct only one inspection between January – June 2018. With the current improved staffing level the City will inspect all of the facilities identified in the Facility List at the appropriate frequency and catch up with the properties that were not timely inspected.	

C.4.d.iii.(2)(b) ▶ Frequency and Type of Enforcement Conducted

Fill out the following table or attach a summary of the following information.

	Enforcement Action (as listed in ERP) ⁴⁹	Number of Enforcement Actions Taken
Level 1	Verbal Warning/Warning Notice	0
Level 2	Notice of Violation	0
Level 3	Administrative Citation	0
Level 4	Legal Action	0
Total		0

C.4.d.iii.(2)(d) ▶ Frequency of Potential and Actual Non-stormwater Discharges by Business Category

Fill out the following table or attach a summary of the following information.

Business Category ⁵⁰	Number of Actual Discharges	Number of Potential Discharges
Food Facility	0	0
Automotive	0	0

⁴⁹Agencies to list specific enforcement actions as defined in their ERPs.

⁵⁰List your Program’s standard business categories.

C.4.d.iii.(2)(e) ▶ Non-Filers

List below or attach a list of the facilities required to have coverage under the Industrial General Permit but have not filed for coverage:

There were no industries identified as non-filers during scheduled inspections during this fiscal year.

C.4.e.iii ▶ Staff Training Summary

Training Name	Training Dates	Topics Covered	No. of Industrial/ Commercial Site Inspectors in Attendance	Percent of Industrial/ Commercial Site Inspectors in Attendance	No. of IDDE Inspectors in Attendance	Percent of IDDE Inspectors in Attendance
Stormwater Inspections	03/13/18	Stormwater inspection for commercial and industrial facilities types of violation and their resolution, compliance with MRP Section C.4.	1	100%	0	0
Comments:						

Section 5 – Provision C.5 Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination

Program Highlights and Evaluation

Highlight/summarize activities for reporting year:

Provide background information, highlights, trends, etc.

Summary:

The City has performed the following activities:

The City participated in the Countywide Program's Commercial and Industrial Illicit Discharge Committee including roundtable discussions on the stormwater regulatory review, tips for inspecting for trash, and mobile auto wash best management practices.

The City's Department of Public Works responds to complaints regarding illicit discharges or threats of discharge to the storm sewer system. In order to make it easier to file a complaint, the City accepts illicit stormwater discharge complaints via the email pworks@belmont.gov, the 311 Public Stuff online reporting program, or by phone. Complaints received are entered into the Hansen database and responded to by inspectors and Public Works Operations staff to ensure that consequences of the illicit discharge are mitigated immediately.

The City continues to analyze its ordinances to ensure efficient and effective language to achieve stormwater pollution control compliance and enforcement.

Staff continues to monitor and photo document all four of our Illicit Discharge Inspection Sites annually.

The City continues to inspect all "Flows to Bay" decals placed by all storm drains in the right of way throughout the city and has replaced 73 during the FY 17-18

C.5.c.iii ► Complaint and Spill Response Phone Number

Summary of any changes made during FY 17-18:

No Change

Summary of any changes made during FY 17-18:

John Talitsch no longer with Belmont – Craig West (Construction Inspector) is the contact person: 650-637-2972

C.5.d.iii.(1), (2), (3) ► Spill and Discharge Complaint Tracking	
Spill and Discharge Complaint Tracking (fill out the following table or include an attachment of the following information)	
	Number
Discharges reported (C.5.d.iii.(1))	13
Discharges reaching storm drains and/or receiving waters (C.5.d.iii.(2))	8
Discharges resolved in a timely manner (C.5.d.iii.(3))	13
Comments: All illicit discharge complaints are logged into the City complaint system (Hansen Asset Management database) and are investigated. After logging in the complaint, a Service Request is issued and City's Illicit Discharge Inspector and Public Works Operations Crew are dispatched to the site of illicit discharge. If a spill/discharge is substantiated, the complaint and response actions are then recorded and the complaint is classified accordingly. If a report is not substantiated, it remains in the general complaint log with the note that an investigation took place and the spill/discharge was unsubstantiated. Unsubstantiated spill/discharge complaints are not included in the information above. Only verified discharges are reported.	

Section 6 – Provision C.6 Construction Site Controls

C.6.e.iii.(3)(a), (b), (c), (d) ▶ Site/Inspection Totals			
Number of active Hillside Sites (sites disturbing < 1 acre of soil requiring storm water runoff quality inspection) (C.6.e.iii.3.a)	Number of High Priority Sites (sites disturbing < 1 acre of soil requiring storm water runoff quality inspection) (C.6.e.iii. 3.c)	Number of sites disturbing ≥ 1 acre of soil (C.6.e.iii.3.b)	Total number of storm water runoff quality inspections conducted (include only Hillside Sites, High Priority Sites and sites disturbing 1 acre or more) (C.6.e.iii. 3.d)
17	0	5	169
Comments: IN addition to these sites staff conducts wet weather inspections for winter erosion control for construction sites less than 1 acre from October through April.			

C.6.e.iii.(3)(e) ▶ Construction Related Storm Water Enforcement Actions		
	Enforcement Action (as listed in ERP)⁵¹	Number Enforcement Actions Issued
Level 1 ⁵²	Verbal Warning	36
Level 2	Notice of Violation	12
Level 3	Stop Work Order	1
Level 4	Administrative Citation	
Total		49

⁵¹Agencies should list the specific enforcement actions as defined in their ERPs.

⁵²For example, Enforcement Level 1 may be Verbal Warning.

C.6.e.iii.(3)(f), ► Illicit Discharges	
	Number
Number of illicit discharges, actual and those inferred through evidence at hillside sites, high priority sites and sites that disturb 1 acre or more of land (C.6.e.iii. 3.f)	4

C.6.e.iii.(3)(g) ► Corrective Actions	
Indicate your reporting methodology below.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Permittee reports multiple discrete potential and actual discharges as one enforcement action.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Permittee reports the total number of discrete potential and actual discharges on each site.
	Number
Enforcement actions or discrete potential and actual discharges fully corrected within 10 business days after violations are discovered or otherwise considered corrected in a timely period (C.6.e.iii. .3.g)	39
Comments: Generally sites that were not resolved within 10 days were given escalated enforcement. The site with the stop work order took a month to resolve	

C.6.e.iii.(4) ► Evaluation of Inspection Data	
Describe your evaluation of the tracking data and data summaries and provide information on the evaluation results (e.g., data trends, typical BMP performance issues, comparisons to previous years, etc.).	
Description: there were a greater amount of active construction sites than last year. The majority of the issues encountered were with housekeeping BMPs that could be resolved in a short amount of time. We had some unexperienced contractors who were not familiar with stormwater requirements	

C.6.e.iii.(4) ► Evaluation of Inspection Program Effectiveness	
Describe what appear to be your program's strengths and weaknesses, and identify needed improvements, including education and outreach.	
Description: Strengths include our tracking tool that help us schedule and track follow up inspections. There has been improvement in our documentation including an increase use of pictures.	

C.6.f.iii ► Staff Training Summary			
Training Name	Training Dates	Topics Covered	No. of Inspectors in Attendance
SMCWPP Construction Stormwater Inspection	3/20/18	BMPs	2

Section 7 – Provision C.7. Public Information and Outreach

C.7.b.i.1 ► Outreach Campaign

Summarize outreach campaign. Include details such as messages, creative developed, and outreach media used. The detailed outreach campaign report may be included as an attachment. If outreach campaign is being done by participation in a countywide or regional program, refer to the separate countywide or regional Annual Report.

Summary:

See Section 7 and Section 9 of the SMCWPPP FY 17-18 Annual Report for a description of outreach campaign activities conducted at the countywide level.

C.7.c. Stormwater Pollution Prevention Education

No Change

C.7.d ► Public Outreach and Citizen Involvement Events

Describe general approach to event selection. Provide a list of outreach materials and giveaways distributed. Use the following table for reporting and evaluating public outreach events

See Section 7 of the SMCWPPP FY 17-18 Annual Report for a description of public outreach and citizen involvement events activities conducted at the countywide level.

Event Details	Description (messages, audience)	Evaluation of Effectiveness
Provide event name, date, and location. Indicate if event is local, countywide or regional. Indicate if event is public outreach or citizen involvement.	Identify type of event (e.g., school fair, creek clean-up, storm drain stenciling, farmers market etc.), type of audience (school children, gardeners, homeowners etc.) and outreach messages (e.g., EnviroScape presentation, pesticides, stormwater awareness)	Provide general staff feedback on the event (e.g., success at reaching a broad spectrum of the community, well attended, good opportunity to talk to gardeners etc.). Provide other details such as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Success at reaching a broad spectrum of the community • Number of participants compared to previous years. • Post-event effectiveness assessment/evaluation results • Quantity/volume of materials cleaned up, and comparisons to previous efforts
National Night Out August 1, 2017 5:00pm-8:pm Carlmont Shopping Center (Local Event) -Public Outreach	Event Type: Community Event Audience: All ages Outreach Message: Stormwater Pollution Prevention	Summary: Public Works made an appearance at Carlmont Shopping Center with Big Jetty the Jet Truck. Staff from Operations and Maintenance, Engineering, and Environmental sections were onsite to answer questions, hand out FREE driver/pedestrian awareness yard signs, and have the public spin the prize wheel to answer questions about water pollution prevention and win a prize. Additionally, two Public Works vehicles with staff members went mobile to other neighborhoods participating in this event. There were approximately 300+ attendees that came to the event. This number

		<p>was slightly higher than last year's attendance, which was 250-300.</p> <p>Environmental handouts included: Children's activity guides regarding water pollution prevention, brochures on non-flushing wet wipes, water pollution prevention info magnets, and "You Are the Solution to Water Pollution" brochures.</p> <p>Advertising: Ralston Street Banner, Facebook, Twitter, Nextdoor.com, City website, and City Manager's Weekly Update Newsletter.</p>
<p>California Coastal Cleanup Day September 16, 2017 9:00am-12:00pm One Twin Pines Lane, Belmont (City Hall) (County-wide)-Public Involvement</p>	<p>Event Type: Cleanup of waterways-Community Involvement Event Audience: All ages Outreach Message: Stormwater Pollution Prevention</p>	<p>Summary: 145 volunteers collected 720 lbs of trash and recyclables from local waterways. This was an average year based on previous cleanups. Approximately 1/3 of volunteers brought their own reusable buckets and gloves. The most unusual items found were confetti, branch loppers, and a broken hockey stick. No outreach materials were given out.</p> <p>Advertising: Event flyer was posted on social media: Facebook. Nextdoor.com, City website, and City Manager's Weekly Newsletter. Physical posters were put up in Belmont Library, Carlmont Shopping Center, Mr. Pickles Deli, City buildings, and City parks. Event information was posted on our free media Local Channel 27. Other advertising: Belmont Parks and Recreation Activity Guide, Ralston sign board, Cleanup Day Roadway banner (Ralston banner), and electronic sign board.</p>
<p>Save the Music Festival October 1, 2017 11:00am-5:30pm Twin Pines Park @ One Twin Pines Lane, Belmont (Local Event)-Public Outreach</p>	<p>Event Type: Community Event/Music Festival Audience: All ages Outreach Message: Stormwater Pollution Prevention</p>	<p>Summary: Approximately 300-400 festival goers stopped by our City booth to receive information on water pollution prevention. For the kids we had a Plinko board game which we gave prizes that were stormwater pollution prevention education related.</p> <p>Environmental handouts included: children's water pollution prevention activity guides, Flows</p>

		<p>to Bay fish erasers, and “You Are the Solution to Water Pollution” brochure. Advertising: Facebook, Nextdoor.com, City website, and City Manager’s Weekly Newsletter.</p>
<p>Earth Day 2018 April 21, 2018 9:00am-12:00pm The Meadow-Twin Pines Park @ One Twin Pines Lane, Belmont (Local Event)-Public Outreach</p>	<p>Event Type: Community Outreach Fair Audience: All ages Outreach Message: Stormwater pollution prevention and other environmental messaging</p>	<p>Summary: This year’s Earth Day was held in Twin Pines Park with twenty-six vendors. The Meadow housed a variety of booths for kids and adults to learn about sustainability and the importance of a healthy environment. Attendance was slightly less than previous year. A few hundred San Mateo County residents dropped off their E-waste, gently-used books for recycling, confidential documents for shredding, and shoveled free compost to use in their gardens. A total of 4,366 lbs. of E-waste was collected. A tree workshop and give-away were held by The Parks and Recreation Department. Environmental handouts included: children’s activity guide on stormwater pollution prevention, recycled jean pencils, soy crayons and “You Are the Solution to Water Pollution” brochure. Advertising: Daily Journal calendar of events. Posters were placed in City Library, various city buildings and Carlmont Shopping Center. TV announcement posted to Local Channel 27. Info posted on Social Media: Facebook. Nextdoor.com, City of Belmont website, and City Manager’s Weekly Newsletter.</p>

C.7.e. ► Watershed Stewardship Collaborative Efforts

Summarize watershed stewardship collaborative efforts and/or refer to a regional report that provides details. Describe the level of effort and support given (e.g., funding only, active participation etc.). State efforts undertaken and the results of these efforts. If this activity is done regionally refer to a regional report.

Evaluate effectiveness by describing the following:

- Efforts undertaken
- Major accomplishments

Summary:

See Section 7 of the SMCWPPP FY 17-18 Annual Report for a description of watershed stewardship collaborative efforts conducted at the countywide level.

C.7.f. ► School-Age Children Outreach

Summarize school-age children outreach programs implemented. A detailed report may be included as an attachment. Use the following table for reporting school-age children outreach efforts.

See Section 7 of the SMCWPPP FY 17-18 Annual Report for a description of school-age children outreach efforts conducted at the countywide level.

Program Details	Focus & Short Description	Number of Students/Teachers reached	Evaluation of Effectiveness
Provide the following information: Name Grade or level (elementary/ middle/ high)	Brief description, messages, methods of outreach used	Provide number or participants	Provide agency staff feedback. Report any other evaluation methods used (quiz, teacher feedback etc.). Attach evaluation summary if applicable.
Public Works Week Open House May 23, 2018 in Twin Pines Park 1 st and 2 nd graders from Nesbit Elementary School	Children's water pollution prevention, recycling, composting, and water conservation.	Over 260 children, parents and teachers	The Environmental section of Public Works participated in the Public Works Week Open House to educate elementary school students on the importance of water pollution prevention. Other agencies with booths included: Mid-Peninsula Water

			<p>District, and Recology. The Public Works Department displayed several of their fleet vehicles including the Vactor Truck which demoed how the city cleans the storm and sewer lines.</p> <p>Environmental handouts included: children's activity guide "Pest or Pal?", "You Are the Solution to Water Pollution" brochure and booklet on choosing less toxic products for pest control.</p> <p>Advertising: Posters were posted at Mr. Pickles, various City buildings, and City parks bulletin boards. Information was posted on Social media sites Facebook, Nextdoor.com and Twitter. Other advertising: City website, Ralston Ave street banner, and electronic sign board on Ralston Ave. Print advertising: ¼ page ad in Belmont Parks & Recreation 2018 Summer Activity Guide.</p>
--	--	--	---

Section 9 – Provision C.9 Pesticides Toxicity Controls

C.9.a. ► Implement IPM Policy or Ordinance									
Is your municipality implementing its IPM Policy/Ordinance and Standard Operating Procedures?						<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
If no, explain:									
Trends in Quantities and Types of Pesticide Active Ingredients Used ⁵³									
Pesticide Category and Specific Pesticide Active Ingredient Used	Amount ⁵⁴								
	FY 15-16	FY 16-17	FY 17-18	FY 18-19	FY 19-20	FY 20-21			
Organophosphates	0	0	0						
Active Ingredient Chlorpyrifos	0	0	0						
Active Ingredient Diazinon	0	0	0						
Active Ingredient Malathion	0	0	0						
Pyrethroids (see footnote #57 for list of active ingredients)	0	0	0						
Active Ingredient Type X	0	0	0						
Active Ingredient Type Y	0	0	0						
Carbamates	0	0	0						
Active Ingredient Carbaryl	0	0	0						
Active Ingredient Aldicarb	0	0	0						
Fipronil	0	0	0						
Indoxacarb	Reporting not required in FY 15-16	0	0						

⁵³Includes all municipal structural and landscape pesticide usage by employees and contractors.

⁵⁴Weight or volume of the active ingredient, using same units for the product each year. Please specify units used. The active ingredients in any pesticide are listed on the label. The list of active ingredients that need to be reported in the pyrethroids class includes: metofluthrin, bifenthrin, cyfluthrin, beta-cyfluthrin, cypermethrin, deltamethrin, esfenvalerate, lambda-cyhalothrin, and permethrin.

Diuron	Reporting not required in FY 15-16	0	0			
Diamides	Reporting not required in FY 15-16	0	0			
Active Ingredient Chlorantraniliprole		0	0			
Active Ingredient Cyantraniliprole		0	0			
<p>IPM Tactics and Strategies Used:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Contracted with a gopher trapping service company and discontinued the use of bait to control gophers. All trapping is done using mechanical traps. 2. Installed an organic Teen Community garden plot where the use of any chemicals is prohibited. 						

C.9.b ► Train Municipal Employees	
Enter the number of employees that applied or used pesticides (including herbicides) within the scope of their duties this reporting year.	4
Enter the number of these employees who received training on your IPM policy and IPM standard operating procedures within this reporting year.	4
Enter the percentage of municipal employees who apply pesticides who have received training in the IPM policy and IPM standard operating procedures within this reporting year.	80
Type of Training: PAPA, CAPCA to meet continued education requirements.	

C.9.c ▶ Require Contractors to Implement IPM			
Did your municipality contract with any pesticide service provider in the reporting year, for either landscaping or structural pest control?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
If yes, did your municipality evaluate the contractor's list of pesticides and amounts of active ingredients used?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No,

C.9.d ▶ Interface with County Agricultural Commissioners			
Did your municipality communicate with the County Agricultural Commissioner to: (a) get input and assistance on urban pest management practices and use of pesticides or (b) inform them of water quality issues related to pesticides,	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
If yes, summarize the communication. If no, explain.			
Did your municipality report any observed or citizen-reported violations of pesticide regulations (e.g., illegal handling and applications of pesticides) associated with stormwater management, particularly the California Department of Pesticide Regulation (DPR) surface water protection regulations for outdoor, nonagricultural use of pyrethroid pesticides by any person performing pest control for hire.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
If yes, provide a summary of improper pesticide usage reported to the County Agricultural Commissioner and follow-up actions taken to correct any violations. A separate report can be attached as your summary.			

C.9.e.ii (1) ▶ Public Outreach: Point of Purchase
Provide a summary of public outreach at point of purchase, and any measurable awareness and behavior changes resulting from outreach (here or in a separate report); OR reference a report of a regional effort for public outreach in which your agency participates.
Summary: See Section 9 of the SMCWPPP FY 17-18 Annual Report for a description of point of purchase public outreach efforts conducted at the countywide level and regionally

C.9.e.ii (2) ▶ Public Outreach: Pest Control Contracting Outreach
Provide a summary of outreach to residents who use or contract for structural pest control and landscape professionals); AND/OR reference a report of a regional effort for outreach to residents who hire pest control and landscape professionals in which your agency participates.

Summary:

See Section 9 of the SMCWPPP FY 17-18 Annual Report for a summary of outreach to residents who hire pest control and landscape professionals.” If your agency conducted additional outreach to residents who hire pest control and landscape professionals, describe those efforts here.

C.9.e.ii.(3) ► Public Outreach: Pest Control Operators

Provide a summary of public outreach to pest control operators and landscapers and reduced pesticide use (here or in a separate report); **AND/OR** reference a report of a regional effort for outreach to pest control operators and landscapers in which your agency participates.

Summary:

Provide the following text: “See Section 9 of the SMCWPPP FY 17-18 Annual Report for a summary of pest control operators and landscapers to reduce pesticide use

C.9.f ► Track and Participate in Relevant Regulatory Processes

Summarize participation efforts, information submitted, and how regulatory actions were affected; **AND/OR** reference a regional report that summarizes regional participation efforts, information submitted, and how regulatory actions were affected.

Summary:

During FY 17-18, we participated in regulatory processes related to pesticides through contributions to the Countywide Program, BASMAA and CASQA. For additional information, see the Regional Report submitted by BASMAA on behalf of all MRP Permittees.

Section 10 - Provision C.10 Trash Load Reduction

C.10.a.i ► Trash Load Reduction Summary	
For population-based Permittees, provide the overall trash reduction percentage achieved to-date within the jurisdictional area of your municipality that generates problematic trash levels (i.e., Very High, High or Moderate trash generation). Base the reduction percentage on the information presented in C.10.b i-iv and C.10.e.i-ii. Provide a discussion of the calculation used to produce the reduction percentage	
Trash Load Reductions	
Percent Trash Reduction in All Trash Management Areas (TMAs) due to Trash Full Capture Systems (as reported C.10.b.i)	48.9%
Percent Trash Reduction in all TMAs due to Control Measures Other than Trash Full Capture Systems (as reported in C.10.b.ii) ⁵⁵	44.7%
Percent Trash Reduction due to Jurisdictional-wide Source Control Actions (as reported in C.10.b.iv)	0.0%
SubTotal for Above Actions	93.7%
Trash Offsets (Optional)	
Offset Associated with Additional Creek and Shoreline Cleanups (as reported in C.10.e.i)	1.3%
Offset Associated with Direct Trash Discharges (as reported in C.10.e.ii)	N/A
Total (Jurisdictional-wide) % Trash Load Reduction through FY 2017-18	95.0%
<p>Discussion of Trash Load Reduction Calculation: The City attained and reported 92.7% trash load reduction (including trash offsets) in its FY 16-17 Annual Report. During FY 17-18, the City continued to implement a robust trash control measure program. This helped the City maintain and increase its trash load reduction above the mandatory 70% trash load reduction requirement included in the MRP. The total (jurisdiction-wide) percent trash load reduction in FY 17-18 is 95.0% (including trash offsets). The most recent version of the City's Baseline Trash Generation Map can be downloaded at URL here: http://www.flowstobay.org/content/municipal-trash-generation-maps</p>	

⁵⁵ See Appendix 10-1 for changes between 2009 and FY 17-18 in trash generation by TMA as a result of Full Capture Systems and Other Measures.

C.10.a.ii.b ► Trash Generation Area Management - Identification of Private Drainages >10,000 ft²

State (Y/N) if your agency completed Permit Provision C.10.a.ii.b. If Yes, attach a map (or other record) or provide a website link to a map (or other record) of the location of lands >10,000 ft² (in Very High, High, and Moderate trash generation areas) that are plumbed directly to the Permittee's storm drain systems, including trash control status of these areas. If No, provide explanation of why the provision was not completed and the estimated date when the provision will be completed.

Did your agency complete Permit Provision C.10.a.ii.b?	x	Yes		No		NA
--	---	-----	--	----	--	----

If No, provide explanation and estimated completion date:

Not Applicable

Description of the process used to identify applicable areas and their trash control status:

The City worked through SMCWPPP to identify the location of land areas >10,000 ft² in very high, high, and moderate trash generation areas (as depicted on the City's baseline trash generation map) that are plumbed directly to the City's MS4. In summary, applicable land areas were identified using existing data/information and a combination of desktop analyses and field visits. Land areas <10,000 ft², or areas identified as low trash generating on the City's baseline trash generation maps, or are currently treated by full capture systems were excluded from the analysis. The preliminary trash control status of these land areas were identified by conducting virtual (desktop) on-land visual trash assessments (OVTAs). For a complete description of the methods and process used to identify applicable land areas and their trash control status, please see the SMCWPPP FY 17-18 Annual Report.

URL link to Map:
<http://www.flowstobay.org/content/municipal-trash-generation-maps>

C.10.a.iii ► Mandatory Trash Full Capture Systems

Provide the following:

- 1) Total number and types of full capture systems (publicly and privately-owned) installed prior to FY 17-18, during FY 17-18, and to-date, including inlet-based and large flow-through or end-of-pipe systems, and qualifying low impact development (LID) required by permit provision C.3.
- 2) Total land area (acres) treated by full capture systems for population-based Permittees and total number of systems for non-population based Permittees compared to the total required by the permit.

Type of System	# of Systems	Areas Treated (Acres)
Installed Prior to FY 17-18		
Connector Pipe Screens (Public)	60	587.2
Basket (Public)	7	3.4
Low Impact Development (Private)	2	1.5
Installed in FY 17-18		
None	-	-
Total for all Systems Installed To-date	69	592.1
Treatment Acreage Required by Permit (Population-based Permittees)	17	
Total # of Systems Required by Permit (Non-population-based Permittees)		N/A

C.10.b.i ► Trash Reduction - Full Capture Systems

Provide the following:

- 1) Jurisdiction-wide trash reduction in FY 17-18 attributable to trash full capture systems implemented in each TMA;
- 2) The total number of full capture systems installed to-date in your jurisdiction;
- 3) The percentage of systems in FY 17-18 that exhibited significant plugged/blinded screens or were >50% full when inspected or maintained;
- 4) A narrative summary of any maintenance issues and the corrective actions taken to avoid future full capture system performance issues; and
- 5) A certification that each full capture system is operated and maintained to meet the full capture system requirements in the permit.

TMA	Jurisdiction-wide Reduction (%)	Total # of Full Capture Systems	% of Systems Exhibiting Plugged/Blinded Screens or >50% full in FY 17-18	Summary of Maintenance Issues and Corrective Actions
1	25.5%	69	0%	No issues to report. The City continued with regular inspections and cleanings, as necessary of all full capture systems on a quarterly basis. In addition, all full capture systems are inspected and cleaned, as necessary prior to the wet season; and during and after rain events.
2	19.1%			
3	3.0%			
4	1.3%			
5	0.0%			
Total	48.9%			

Certification Statement:

The City of Belmont certifies that a full capture system maintenance and operation program is currently being implemented to maintain all applicable systems in a manner that meets the full capture system requirements included in the Permit.

C.10.b.ii ► Trash Reduction – Other Trash Management Actions (PART A)	
Provide a summary of trash control actions other than full capture systems or jurisdictional source controls that were implemented within each TMA, including the types of actions, levels and areal extent of implementation, and whether actions are new, including initiation date.	
TMA	Summary of Trash Control Actions Other than Full Capture Systems
1 (Retail/Commercial Areas)	<p>A) Enhanced Street Sweeping- Starting in 2012, the City increased its street sweeping efforts to include sweeping most retail areas three times per week. Rethink Waste also conducts sweeping along Shoreway Road;</p> <p>B) Uncovered Loads- Since FY12/13, the City has continued to conduct public outreach for covered loads. A sign advising motorists “<i>Uncovered Loads Are Subject to Fines</i>” was installed on Shoreway Road, which is heavily used by garbage trucks and other vehicles traveling to the Shoreway Environmental Center, Recycling Center and Transfer Station;</p> <p>C) Improved Trash Bin/Container Management- The City continues to support the garbage service agreement implemented in January 2011 that required covered bins for all residential and commercial users. Additionally, the City continues to monitor, and empty when necessary, a covered top trash receptacle that replaced an open top trash receptacle on Shoreway Trail in 2010. This trail runs alongside commercial properties and the O’Neill Slough.</p> <p>D) On-Land Cleanups- Starting in FY2011-2012, the City began performing on-land trash cleanups in this TMA. These Permittee led cleanups have continued each year since, and the number of these on-land cleanups have increased in this TMA.</p> <p>E) Public Education- To bring awareness to cigarette butt litter a roadway banner for cigarette butt litter prevention was displayed multiple times over Ralston Avenue.</p> <p>F) Other Types of Actions Since installed in FY 2015/16, the City continues to inspect, and clean when necessary, 2 cigarette butt receptacles at the Carlmont Shopping Center and the 5th Avenue Public Parking Lot as well as 2 outreach signs at the Bus stop on Ralston in front of Walgreens and at the Carlmont Shopping Center in this TMA; which were all obtained through a grant from Keep America Beautiful for the Cigarette Butt Litter Prevention Program.</p>
2 (El Camino Real Corridor)	<p>A) Enhanced Street Sweeping- The City of Belmont swept the El Camino Real corridor twice a week pre 2013. Beginning in 2013, the City’s enhanced street sweeping frequency increased to three times per week on this El Camino Real corridor.</p> <p>B) Improved Trash Bin/Container Management- Since installed in April 2016, the City continues to have the two covered trash and recycling receptacles on Ralston Avenue between El Camino Real and Old County Road serviced twice a</p>

	<p>week by contract. Previously these pedestrian pathway areas had no public trash and recycling receptacles. These areas are adjacent to the Belmont Caltrain Station and are frequently traveled by pedestrians and Caltrain riders.</p> <p>C) Public Education- Since installed in FY 2015/16, the City continues to maintain outreach signage in this TMA at the bus stop on El Camino by the Lariat Tavern, the bus stops on El Camino by Starbucks at Ralston, and by the stairs to the Caltrain station at El Camino and Ralston to bring awareness to cigarette butt litter.</p> <p>D) Other Types of Actions- Since installed in FY 2015/16, the City continues to monitor, and empty when necessary, 1 cigarette butt receptacle at the bottom of the stairs leading up to the Caltrain Station at El Camino and Ralston as well as 3 outreach signs at the bus stop on El Camino by the Lariat Tavern, the bus stop on El Camino by Starbucks and by the stairs to the Caltrain station at El Camino and Ralston; which were obtained through a grant from Keep America Beautiful for the Cigarette Butt Litter Prevention Program.</p>
<p>3 (Public Facilities/Parks)</p>	<p>A) Enhanced Street Sweeping- Beginning in 2012, the City increased its sweeping frequency to three times per week for the City Hall parking lot, Twin Pines Park parking lot, Sports Complex parking lot, Barrett Community Center parking lot and Belmont Library parking lot.</p> <p>B) On-land Cleanup- Since 2011, the City has conducted on-land trash cleanups in this TMA. These have been both Permittee and volunteer lead cleanups.</p> <p>C) Improved Trash Bin/Container Management- Since 2013, the City continues to monitor, and empty when necessary, covered trash and recycling receptacles, which replaced uncovered receptacles, at two Twin Pines Park picnic areas; Since 2014/15, the City has continued to monitor, and empty when necessary, covered trash and recycling receptacles, which replaced uncovered receptacles, at the Barrett Community Center, Library, Belameda Park, O'Donnell Park and Alexander Park sites.</p> <p>D) Public Education- Since installed in FY 2015/16, the City continues to maintain three outreach signs in this TMA. There are two locations along O'Neill Slough Trail, and a sign at Barrett Park.</p> <p>E) Other Types of Actions- Since installed in FY 2015/16, the City continues to monitor, and empty when necessary, 3 cigarette butt receptacles (Vista Point, Belmont Sports Complex, and O'Neill Slough trail); which were all obtained through a grant from Keep America Beautiful for the Cigarette Butt Litter Prevention Program</p>
<p>4 (Schools)</p>	<p>A) Enhanced Street Sweeping- The City's enhanced street sweeping efforts that began in 2012 have resulted in additional sweeping occurring around four school sites. The street in front of two schools are swept twice per week, and the street in front of two other schools are swept three times per week.</p>

	<p>B) On-land Cleanup- Since 2011, the City has conducted on-land trash cleanups in this TMA. These have been both Permittee and volunteer lead cleanups.</p> <p>C) Storm Drain Inlet Cleaning- Since FY 2013/2014, the City has conducted enhanced storm drain inlet maintenance around schools. These enhanced efforts include inspecting and cleaning 48 storm drain inlets an additional two times per year.</p> <p>D) Improved Trash Bin/Container Management- The City continues to support the garbage service agreement implemented in January 2011 that required covered bins for all residential and commercial users.</p>
<p>5 (Other-Colleges/Universities, Open Space, Major Infrastructure, Forest Land, Rangeland)</p>	<p>A) Enhanced Street Sweeping- Beginning in 2012, the City's enhanced street sweeping for leaf control includes sweeping two neighborhoods (Chula Vista and portions of Sterling Downs) two times per week from October through mid-December.</p> <p>B) On-land Cleanup- Since 2013, The City has conducted on-land trash cleanups in this TMA. These have been Permittee lead.</p> <p>C) Improved Trash Bin/Container Management- The City continues to support the garbage service agreement implemented in January 2011 that required covered bins for all residential and commercial users.</p> <p>D) Partial Capture Devices- Since installed in February 2017, the City has continued to inspect, and clean when necessary, 27 United Storm Water Wing-Gate automatic retractable curb inlet screen covers on both Clipper Drive and Concourse Drive.</p>

Summary of Trash Control Measures Other than Full Capture Devices: (Do not delete this section)

- **Street Sweeping:** Include a description of any enhancements or new actions implemented after the MRP 1.0 effective date (i.e., December 2009). Identify portions of the TMA where enhanced street sweeping (i.e., increased sweeping frequency) and parking enforcement above 2009 levels was implemented.
- **On-land Cleanup:** Include a description of on-land cleanup activities that began after the MRP 1.0 effective date (i.e., December 2009) and continued into FY 17-18, including any enhancements or new actions implemented in FY 17-18. Describe if these actions are Permittee or volunteer-led.
- **Partial Capture Devices:** Provide a description of devices installed after the MRP 1.0 effective date (i.e., December 2009). Describe the level of maintenance conducted per device types.
- **Storm Drain Inlet Cleaning:** Describe storm drain inlet maintenance activities implemented after the MRP 1.0 effective date (i.e., December 2009) and continued in FY 17-18, including any enhancements or new maintenance activities implemented in FY 17-18. For new/enhanced actions, include the number of inlets where enhanced maintenance occurred, and the increased frequency of maintenance.
- **Uncovered Loads:** Describe activities designed to reduce trash from uncovered loads that began after the MRP 1.0 effective date (i.e., December 2009) and continued in FY 17-18, including any enhancements or new actions implemented in FY 17-18. Describe the types of actions implemented including new or redirected enforcement efforts to increase the focus towards new or enhanced actions.
- **Anti-littering and illegal dumping enforcement activities:** Describe anti-littering and illegal dumping enforcement activities began after to the MRP 1.0 effective date (i.e., December 2009) and continued in FY 17-18, and any enhancements or new actions implemented in FY 17-18. Include any new or redirected enforcement efforts to increase the focus towards new or enhanced actions. Describe the number of citations or other correction actions accomplished this year, and compare with previous years. Indicate how anti-littering and illegal dumping enforcement records are kept, and how they may be retrieved for audit.
- **Improved Trash Bin/Container Management:** Describe activities designed to improve trash bin/container management that began after the MRP1.0 effective date (i.e., December 2009) and continued in FY 17-18, and any enhancements or new actions implemented in FY 17-18. Include any new or redirected efforts to increase the focus towards these new or enhanced actions.
- **Other Types of Actions:** Describe activities designed after the MRP effective date (i.e., December 2009) and continued in FY 17-18, and any enhancements or new (post December 2009 effective date) actions implemented in FY 17-18.

C.10.b.ii ► Trash Reduction – Other Trash Management Actions (PART B)

Provide the following:

- 1) A summary of the on-land visual assessments in each TMA (or control measure area), including the street miles or acres available for assessment (i.e., those associated with VH, H, or M trash generation areas not treated by full capture systems), the street miles or acres assessed, the % of available street miles or acres assessed, and the average number of assessments conducted per site within the TMA; and
- 2) Percent jurisdictional-wide trash reduction in FY 17-18 attributable to trash management actions other than full capture systems implemented in each TMA; OR
- 3) Indicate that no on-land visual assessments were performed.

If no on-land visual assessments were performed, check here and state why:

Explanation: No OVTAs were conducted in TMA #5 in FY 17/18 because the entire TMA is low trash generating.

TMA ID or (as applicable) Control Measure Area	Total Street Miles ⁵⁶ or Acres Available for Assessment	Summary of On-land Visual Assessments ⁵⁷			Jurisdictional-wide Reduction (%)
		Street Miles or Acres Assessed	% of Available Street Miles or Acres Assessed	Avg. # of Assessments Conducted at Each Site ^{58, 59}	
1	0.51	0.26	51.3%	5.5	16.4%
2	0.86	0.37	42.7%	6.5	15.4%
3	0.32	0.19	59.1%	5.0	6.2%
4	0.45	0.15	32.9%	7.0	6.7%
5	0.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total		0.97	-	-	44.7%

⁵⁶ Street miles are defined as the street lengths and do not include curbs associated with medians.

⁵⁷ Assessments conducted between July 2016 and July 2018 are assumed to be representative of trash levels in FY 17-18 and were therefore used to calculate the jurisdictional-wide reductions reported in this section.

⁵⁸ Each assessment site is roughly 1,000 feet in length.

⁵⁹ Based on analyses conducted as part of the BASMAA *Tracking California's Trash* project (BASMAA 2017) funded by the State Water Resources Control Board, the optimal number of assessment events to detect an improvement from baseline trash levels at a site is between 4 and 6 per site.

C.10.b.iv ▶ Trash Reduction – Source Controls				
Provide a description of each jurisdictional-wide trash source control action implemented to-date. For each control action, identify the trash reduction evaluation method(s) used to demonstrate on-going reductions, summarize the results of the evaluation(s), and estimate the associated reduction of trash within your jurisdictional area. Note: There is a maximum of 10% total credit for source controls.				
Source Control Action	Summary Description & Dominant Trash Sources and Types Targeted	Evaluation/Enforcement Method(s)	Summary of Evaluation/Enforcement Results To-date	% Reduction
<p>Single-Use Plastic Bag Ordinance</p>	<p>The City adopted the Countywide Single-Use Carryout Bag Policy which went into effect on April 22, 2013. The ordinance is located on the Environmental page of the City's website. https://www.belmont.gov/home</p> <p>Dominant sources: Pedestrian litter and vehicles. Dominant type: Single-use carryout plastic bag.</p>	<p>On behalf of all SMCWPPP Permittees, the County of San Mateo conducted assessments evaluating the effectiveness of the single use plastic bag ban in municipalities within San Mateo County. Assessments conducted by the County included audits of businesses & surveys of customer bag usage at many businesses in the County. Additionally, the number of complaints by customers was also tracked by the County. The results of assessments conducted by these cities are assumed to be representative of all SMCWPPP Permittees, given the consistency between the implementation, scope, and enforcement of the ordinances among municipalities. The City of Belmont developed its % trash reduced estimate using the following assumptions: 1) Single use plastic bags comprise 8% of the trash discharged from stormwater conveyances, based on the Regional Trash Generation Study conducted by BASMAA; 2) 95% of single use plastic bags distributed in the City of Belmont are affected by the ordinance implementation, based on the County of San Mateo's Environmental Impact Report; and 3) Of the bags affected by the ordinance, there are now</p>	<p>Results of assessments conducted by the County of San Mateo on behalf of all municipalities in San Mateo County indicate that the City of Belmont's ordinance is effective in reducing the number of single-use plastic bags in stormwater discharges. This preliminary conclusion is based on the very small number of complaints received from customers about businesses in San Mateo County that are continuing to use single use plastic bags after ordinances were adopted. Assuming single use bags are 8% of the trash observed in stormwater discharges, the City of Belmont concludes that there has been a 7% (i.e., 8% x 86% effectiveness in reducing bags) reduction in trash in stormwater discharges as a result of the City of Belmont's ordinance.</p>	<p>7% City is not claiming this credit</p>

		<p>90% less bags being distributed, based on customer complaints received by the County's Department of Environmental Health Services. This is conservative estimate given that in FY 13-14 Environmental Services only received complaints about 4, of the over 1900 businesses in the County that are affected by the single-use plastic bag ordinances.</p>		
<p>Expanded Polystyrene Food Service Ware Ordinance</p>	<p>The City adopted the Countywide Prohibition on The Use of Polystyrene Based Disposable Food Service Ware by Food Vendors. This ordinance went into effect October 01, 2012. Link to ordinance below: http://38.106.5.146/city-hall/publicworks/environmental/polystyrene Dominant sources: Pedestrian litter Dominant type: Polystyrene</p>	<p>Although the City of Belmont has adopted and implemented an ordinance prohibiting the distribution of EPS food ware by food vendors, evaluations of the effectiveness of the ordinance have not yet been conducted. For the purpose of estimating trash reductions in stormwater discharges associated with the ordinance, the results of assessments conducted by the cities of Los Altos and Palo Alto were used to represent the reduction of trash associated with the City of Belmont's ordinance. Assessments conducted by these cities were conducted prior to and following the effective date of their ordinances and include audits of businesses and/or assessments of EPS food ware observed on streets, storm drains and local creeks. The results of assessments conducted by these cities are assumed to be representative of the effectiveness of the City of Belmont's ordinance because the implementation (including enforcement) of the City of Belmont's ordinance is similar to the City of Los Altos' and Palo Alto's. The City of Belmont developed its % trash reduced estimate using the</p>	<p>Results of assessments that are representative of the City of Belmont but were conducted by the cities of Los Altos and Palo Alto, indicate that the City of Belmont's ordinance is effective in reducing EPS food ware in stormwater discharges. This conclusion is based on the following assessment result – an average of 95% of businesses affected by the ordinance are no longer distributing EPS food ware post ordinance. Based on these results, the estimated average reduction of EPS food ware in stormwater discharges is 90%. Assuming EPS food ware is 6% of the trash observed in stormwater discharges, the City of Belmont concludes that there has been a 5% (i.e., 6% x 90%) reduction in trash in stormwater discharges as a result of the ordinance.</p>	<p>5% City is not claiming this credit</p>

		following assumptions: 1.) EPS food ware comprises 6% of the trash discharged from stormwater conveyances, based on the Regional Trash Generation Study conducted by BASMAA; 2) 80% of EPS food ware distributed by food vendors or sold via stores in the City of Belmont is affected by the implementation of the ordinance; and 3) There is now 95% less EPS food ware being distributed, sold and/or observed in the environment, based on assessments conducted by the City of Palo Alto and City of Los Altos		
--	--	---	--	--

C.10.b.v ► Trash Reduction – Receiving Water Monitoring

Report on the progress of developing and testing your agency's trash receiving water monitoring program.

In FY 17-18, the City began implementing the BASMAA regional Trash Monitoring Program Plan that was approved by the Water Board's Executive Officer. Implementation included preparing for and conducting qualitative assessments and quantitative monitoring in receiving water locations in San Mateo County. Implementation occurred through the City's participation in the San Mateo Countywide Water Pollution Prevention Program (SMCWPPP). Additional information on accomplishments in FY 17-18 can be found in the Trash Receiving Water Monitoring Progress Report included in the SMCWPPP FY 17-18 Annual Report.

C.10.c ► Trash Hot Spot Cleanups

Provide the FY 17-18 cleanup date and volume of trash removed during each MRP-required Trash Hot Spot cleanup during each fiscal year listed. Indicate whether the site was a new site in FY 17-18.

Trash Hot Spot	New Site in FY 17-18 (Y/N)	FY 17-18 Cleanup Date(s)	Volume of Trash Removed (cubic yards)				
			FY 2013-14	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18
BEL01	N	9/16/2017	0.10	0.10	0.04	0.04	0.22
BEL02	N	9/16/2017	0.20	0.10	0.01	0.01	0.30

C.10.d ► Long-Term Trash Load Reduction Plan	
Provide descriptions of significant revisions made to your Long-term Trash Load Reduction Plan submitted to the Water Board in February 2014. Describe significant changes made to primary or secondary trash management areas (TMA), baseline trash generation maps, control measures, or time schedules identified in your plan. Indicate whether your baseline trash generation map was revised and if so what information was collected to support the revision. If your baseline trash generation map was revised, attach it to your Annual Report.	
Description of Significant Revision	Associated TMA
In FY 15/16, consistent with all MRP Permittees, all public K-12 schools, college and university parcels were made non-jurisdictional on the City's baseline trash generation maps. Under California Government Code Sections 4450 through 4461, the construction, modification, or alteration of facilities and/or structures on these parcels are under the jurisdiction of the California Division of State Architect and not the City. The public right-of-way (e.g., streets and sidewalks) surrounding these parcels remain as a jurisdictional on the City's baseline trash generation maps. The City's revised baseline trash generation map was included as Appendix 10-2 in the FY 15/16 Annual Report.	All applicable

C.10.e. ► Trash Reduction Offsets (Optional)

Provide a summary description of each offset program implemented, the volume of trash removed, and the offset claimed in FY 17-18. Also, for additional creek and shoreline cleanups, describe the number and frequency of cleanups conducted, and the locations and cleanup dates. For direct discharge control programs approved by the Water Board Executive Officer, also describe the results of the assessments conducted in receiving waters to demonstrate the effectiveness of the control program. Include an Appendix that provides the calculations and data used to determine the trash reduction offset.

Offset Program	Summary Description of Actions and Assessment Results	Volume of Trash (CY) Removed/Controlled in FY 17-18	Offset (% Jurisdiction-wide Reduction)
Additional Creek and Shoreline Cleanups (Max 10% Offset)	In addition to the annual MRP required Trash Hot Spot cleanup, the City of Belmont performed three additional Trash Hot Spot cleanups at both sites (BEL01 & BEL02) in FY 17-18. BEL01 – Belmont Creek – Behind Carlmont Shopping Center 11/17/2017 03/13/2018 06/14/2018 BEL02 – O’Neill Slough 11/17/2017 03/13/2018 06/14/2018	0.6	1.3%
Direct Trash Discharge Controls (Max 15% Offset)	N/A	N/A	N/A

Appendix 10-1. Baseline trash generation and areas addressed by full capture systems and other control measures in Fiscal Year 17-18. ⁶⁰

TMA	2009 Baseline Trash Generation (Acres)					Trash Generation (Acres) in FY 17-18 After Accounting for Full Capture Systems					Jurisdiction-wide Reduction via Full Capture Systems (%)	Trash Generation (Acres) in FY 17-18 After Accounting for Full Capture Systems and Other Control Measures					Jurisdiction-wide Reduction via Other Control Measures (%)	Jurisdiction-wide Reduction via Full Capture AND Other Control Measures (%)
	L	M	H	VH	Total	L	M	H	VH	Total		L	M	H	VH	Total		
1	1	82	25	0	108	69	28	11	0	108	25.5%	107	1	0	0	108	16.4%	41.9%
2	8	10	38	0	56	32	6	18	0	56	19.1%	43	13	0	0	56	15.4%	34.5%
3	0	53	0	0	53	13	40	0	0	53	3.0%	40	13	0	0	53	6.2%	9.2%
4	0	34	0	0	34	6	28	0	0	34	1.3%	34	0	0	0	34	6.7%	8.0%
5	2,489	0	0	0	2,489	2,489	0	0	0	2,489	N/A	2,489	0	0	0	2,489	N/A	N/A
Totals	2,498	179	63	0	2,740	2,608	103	29	0	2,740	48.9%	2,713	27	0	0	2,740	44.7%	93.7%

Note: "NA" indicates that the TMA has no moderate, high or very high trash generating areas (i.e., all low trash generation and/or non-jurisdictional) and therefore no additional trash control measures are needed.

⁶⁰ Due to rounding, total acres and percentages presented in this table may be slightly different than the sum of the acres/percentages in the corresponding rows/columns (e.g., differ by 1 acre or 0.1%).

Section 11 - Provision C.11 Mercury Controls

C.11.a ► Implement Control Measures to Achieve Mercury Load Reductions

C.11.b ► Assess Mercury Load Reductions from Stormwater

See the SMCWPPP FY 2017-18 Annual Report for updated information on:

- Documentation of mercury control measures implemented in our agency's jurisdictional area for which load reductions will be reported and the associated management areas;
- A description of how the BASMAA Interim Accounting Methodology⁵⁷ was used to calculate the mercury load reduced by each control measure implemented in our agency's jurisdictional area and the calculation results (i.e., the estimated mercury load reduced by each control measure);
- Supporting data and information necessary to substantiate the load reduction estimates; and
- For Executive Officer approval, any refinements, if necessary, to the measurement and estimation methodologies to assess mercury load reductions in the subsequent permit."

C.11.c ► Plan and Implement Green Infrastructure to Reduce Mercury Loads

See the SMCWPPP FY 2017-18 Annual Report for information on the quantitative relationship between green infrastructure implementation and mercury load reductions, including all data used and a full description of models and model inputs relied on to establish this relationship.

C.11.e ► Implement a Risk Reduction Program

A summary of countywide and regional accomplishments for this sub-provision are included in the SMCWPPP FY 2017-18 Annual Report.

⁵⁷BASMAA 2017. Interim Accounting Methodology for TMDL Loads Reduced, Version 1.0. Prepared for BASMAA by Geosyntec Consultants and EOA, Inc., September 19, 2016.

Section 12 - Provision C.12 PCBs Controls

C.12.a ► Implement Control Measures to Achieve PCBs Load Reductions
C.12.b ► Assess PCBs Load Reductions from Stormwater

See the SMCWPPP FY 2017-18 Annual Report for:

- Documentation of PCBs control measures implemented in our agency's jurisdictional area for which load reductions will be reported and the associated management areas;
- A description of how the BASMAA Interim Accounting Methodology⁵⁸ was used to calculate the PCBs load reduced by each control measure implemented in our agency's jurisdictional area and the calculation results (i.e., the estimated PCBs load reduced by each control measure);
- Supporting data and information necessary to substantiate the load reduction estimates; and
- For Executive Officer approval, any refinements, if necessary, to the measurement and estimation methodologies to assess PCBs load reductions in the subsequent permit.

C.12.c ► Plan and Implement Green Infrastructure to Reduce PCBs Loads

See the SMCWPPP FY 2017-18 Annual Report for information on the quantitative relationship between green infrastructure implementation and PCBs load reductions, including all data used and a full description of models and model inputs relied on to establish this relationship.

C.12.e ► Evaluate PCBs Presence in Caulks/Sealants Used in Storm Drain or Roadway Infrastructure in Public Rights-of-Way

A summary of countywide and regional accomplishments for this sub-provision is included in the SMCWPPP FY 2017-18 Annual Report.

⁵⁸BASMAA 2017. Interim Accounting Methodology for TMDL Loads Reduced, Version 1.0. Prepared for BASMAA by Geosyntec Consultants and EOA, Inc., September 19, 2016.

C.12.f ▶ Manage PCB-Containing Materials and Wastes During Building Demolition Activities So That PCBs Do Not Enter Municipal Storm Drains

A summary of countywide and regional accomplishments for this sub-provision is included in the C.12 PCBs Controls section of the SMCWPPP FY 2017-18 Annual Report.

Does your agency plan to seek exemption from this requirement? Yes No

C.12.g. ▶ Fate and Transport Study of PCBs: Urban Runoff Impact on San Francisco Bay Margins

A summary of countywide and regional accomplishments for this sub-provision are included in the SMCWPPP FY 2017-18 Annual Report.

C.12.h ▶ Implement a Risk Reduction Program

A summary of countywide and regional accomplishments for this sub-provision are included in the SMCWPPP FY 2017-18 Annual Report.

Section 13 - Provision C.13 Copper Controls

C.13.a.iii.(3) ► Manage Waste Generated from Cleaning and Treating of Copper Architectural Features

Provide summaries of permitting and enforcement activities to manage waste generated from cleaning and treating of copper architectural features, including copper roofs, during construction and post-construction.

Summary:

The City has a standard condition that requires how the wastewater should be treated for any architectural copper features. During construction, municipal construction stormwater inspectors are responsible for identifying copper architectural features and if appropriate BMPs are implemented. Any issues noted are documented and enforcement actions recorded in the Provision C.6 inspection records. Post-construction municipal illicit discharge inspectors are responsible for responding to, investigating and identifying illegal discharge of wash water from washing copper architectural features. Any enforcement actions or reported discharges are recorded in the Provision C.5 inspection records. The SMCWPPP "Requirements for Architectural Copper" Fact Sheet is made available to the public, construction inspectors and illicit discharge inspectors on the SMCWPPP website www.flowstobay.org/files/newdevelopment/flyersfactsheets/ArchitecturalcopperBMPs.pdf). Inspectors are made aware of the concerns with copper architectural features at SMCWPPP Training Workshops and internal municipal trainings.

C.13.b.iii.(3) ► Manage Discharges from Pools, Spas, and Fountains that Contain Copper-Based Chemicals

Provide summaries of any enforcement activities related to copper-containing discharges from pools, spas, and fountains.

Summary:

All pools, spas, and fountains are required to be discharged into the sewer system.
Handouts are provided to permit applicants on for the "Maintenance Tips for Pools, Spas, and Fountains" Fact Sheet to educate the public.

Additionally, Staff responds to discharges from pools through the illicit discharge detection and elimination program and also requires all regulated projects to discharge pools, spas, and fountain water to the sanitary sewer.

The City responds to calls from residents and staff along with drive-by when pools and spas are being drained into the city storm system. Apart from stopping the flow, we educate the owner and fill out an illicit discharge form.

C.13.c.iii ► Industrial Sources Copper Reduction Results

Based upon inspection activities conducted under Provision C.4, highlight copper reduction results achieved among the facilities identified as potential users or sources of copper, facilities inspected, and BMPs addressed.

Summary:

Facilities are inspected that could be potential users or sources of copper including automotive and machine shop facilities in the City. No violations related to copper were noted at any of these facility inspections.

Section 15 -Provision C.15 Exempted and Conditionally Exempted Discharges

C.15.b.vi.(2) ► Irrigation Water, Landscape Irrigation, and Lawn or Garden Watering

Provide implementation summaries of the required BMPs to promote measures that minimize runoff and pollutant loading from excess irrigation. Generally the categories are:

- Promote conservation programs
- Promote outreach for less toxic pest control and landscape management
- Promote use of drought tolerant and native vegetation
- Promote outreach messages to encourage appropriate watering/irrigation practices
- Implement Illicit Discharge Enforcement Response Plan for ongoing, large volume landscape irrigation runoff.

Summary:

Refer to the C.3 New Development and Redevelopment, C.7. Public Information and Outreach and C.9. Pesticide Toxicity Control sections of the SMCWPPP FY 2017-18 Annual Report for description of activities at the countywide or regional level.

Conservation programs are not conducted through the City, however, Belmont's water purveyor-Mid Peninsula Water District participates in the City's Earth Day Event and promote water conservation programs.

The City provides informational material on Our Water, Our World (OWOW) less toxic pest control and landscape management at the City's outreach booths during events. The rainwater harvesting and rain barrel rebate program is also promoted at the City's events. Rain barrel rebate information was also posted to the City's Facebook page, twitter, and informational posts in the City Managers Weekly Updates.

ATTACHMENTS

C.4.b.iii Business Inspection Plan

C.4 Industrial Site Inspection Report

5/2/2019	12 - BELMONT	3090	FA0025451	PR0041617	STARBUCKS COFFEE	1050	EL CAMINO REAL	BELMONT	3090 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	MILTON JORDAN, JR.	(none)	C10 - CONSUMER DIST 10	BL - BELMONT
5/6/2019	12 - BELMONT	3090	FA0025000	PR0041612	PEETS COFFEE & TEA	1200	EL CAMINO REAL	BELMONT	3090 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	MILTON JORDAN, JR.	(none)	C10 - CONSUMER DIST 10	BL - BELMONT
5/6/2019	12 - BELMONT	3090	FA0062012	PR0064786	RYOSHIN SUSHI	1602	EL CAMINO REAL	BELMONT	3090 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	MILTON JORDAN, JR.	(none)	C10 - CONSUMER DIST 10	BL - BELMONT
5/13/2019	12 - BELMONT	3090	FA0049980	PR0080271	LAKEPARKA INC	530	HARBOR	BELMONT	3090 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	DERMOT CASEY	(none)	12 - BELMONT	BL - BELMONT
5/14/2019	12 - BELMONT	3090	FA0057615	PR0079945	CARLMOOT GARDENS NURSING CENTER	12140	CARLMOOT	BELMONT	3090 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	MILTON JORDAN, JR.	(none)	C10 - CONSUMER DIST 10	BL - BELMONT
5/14/2019	12 - BELMONT	3090	FA0053471	PR0073899	SUSHI LOVER	590	MASONIC	BELMONT	3090 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	MILTON JORDAN, JR.	(none)	C10 - CONSUMER DIST 10	BL - BELMONT
5/16/2019	12 - BELMONT	3090	FA0062096	PR0044914	PILGRIM KITCHEN BAKERY	311	EL CAMINO REAL	BELMONT	3090 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	MILTON JORDAN, JR.	(none)	C10 - CONSUMER DIST 10	BL - BELMONT
5/16/2019	12 - BELMONT	3090	FA0053463	PR0075437	PASTRY CHEK	1301	OLD COUNTY	BELMONT	3090 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	FROBIE R ERNEST	(none)	C9 - CONSUMER DIST 9	BL - BELMONT
5/19/2019	12 - BELMONT	3090	FA0061030	PR0083669	WATERDOG TAYERN	1015	ALAMEDA DE LAS PULGAS	BELMONT	3090 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	MILTON JORDAN, JR.	(none)	C10 - CONSUMER DIST 10	BL - BELMONT
5/19/2019	12 - BELMONT	3090	FA0051670	PR0084371	EDIBLE ARRANGEMENTS	390	EL CAMINO REAL	BELMONT	3090 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	MILTON JORDAN, JR.	(none)	C10 - CONSUMER DIST 10	BL - BELMONT
5/19/2019	12 - BELMONT	3090	FA0003146	PR0041581	MOUNTAIN MIXES PIZZA	390	EL CAMINO REAL	BELMONT	3090 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	MILTON JORDAN, JR.	(none)	C10 - CONSUMER DIST 10	BL - BELMONT
5/20/2019	12 - BELMONT	3090	FA0052066	PR0084885	YA YA YOGURT AND BOBA TEA	1690	ALAMEDA DE LAS PULGAS	BELMONT	3090 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	MILTON JORDAN, JR.	(none)	C10 - CONSUMER DIST 10	BL - BELMONT
5/20/2019	12 - BELMONT	3090	FA0061151	PR0083926	CHUCKS DONUTS	641	RALSTON	BELMONT	3090 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	FROBIE R ERNEST	(none)	C9 - CONSUMER DIST 9	BL - BELMONT
5/21/2019	12 - BELMONT	3090	FA0025320	PR0042441	COYOTE MEXICAN CAFE	1003	ALAMEDA DE LAS PULGAS	BELMONT	3090 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	MILTON JORDAN, JR.	(none)	C10 - CONSUMER DIST 10	BL - BELMONT
5/21/2019	12 - BELMONT	3090	FA0051836	PR0072024	DREAM DINNERS	390	EL CAMINO REAL	BELMONT	3090 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	MILTON JORDAN, JR.	(none)	C10 - CONSUMER DIST 10	BL - BELMONT
5/25/2019	12 - BELMONT	3090	FA0004866	PR0041586	GODFATHER BURGER LOUNGE	1500	EL CAMINO REAL	BELMONT	3090 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	MILTON JORDAN, JR.	(none)	C10 - CONSUMER DIST 10	BL - BELMONT
5/26/2019	12 - BELMONT	3090	FA0002979	PR0041580	ST JAMES GATE	1410	OLD COUNTY	BELMONT	3090 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	MICHELLE H GREIGERS	(none)	C11 - CONSUMER DIST 11	BL - BELMONT
5/27/2019	12 - BELMONT	3090	FA0000328	PR0041554	IRON GATE	1360	EL CAMINO REAL	BELMONT	3090 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	MILTON JORDAN, JR.	(none)	C10 - CONSUMER DIST 10	BL - BELMONT
5/29/2019	12 - BELMONT	3090	FA0000315	PR0041548	THE VANS RESTAURANT	815	BELMONT	BELMONT	3090 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	MILTON JORDAN, JR.	(none)	C10 - CONSUMER DIST 10	BL - BELMONT
5/29/2019	12 - BELMONT	3090	FA0000331	PR0041556	THE LARZAT	1428	EL CAMINO REAL	BELMONT	3090 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	MILTON JORDAN, JR.	(none)	C10 - CONSUMER DIST 10	BL - BELMONT
5/29/2019	12 - BELMONT	3090	FA0000334	PR0039121	THE NEW HARBOR	150	HARBOR	BELMONT	3090 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	MICHELLE H GREIGERS	(none)	C11 - CONSUMER DIST 11	BL - BELMONT
5/29/2019	12 - BELMONT	3090	FA0025687	PR0042321	ORACLE ISLAND PARK CAFE	401	ISLAND	BELMONT	3090 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	FROBIE R ERNEST	(none)	C9 - CONSUMER DIST 9	BL - BELMONT
7/10/2019	12 - BELMONT	3090	FA0026002	PR0041623	BELMONT CERTIFIED FARMERS MARKET		EL CAMINO REAL	BELMONT	3090 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	MILTON JORDAN, JR.	(none)	C10 - CONSUMER DIST 10	BL - BELMONT
7/11/2019	12 - BELMONT	3090	FA0058357	PR0080880	SHERWIN-WILLIAMS #692	1900	Ralston	Belmont	3090 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	DERMOT CASEY	(none)	12 - BELMONT	BL - BELMONT
7/13/2019	12 - BELMONT	3090	FA0023606	PR0039178	MOQUIN PRESS		BELMONT	BELMONT	3090 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	DERMOT CASEY	(none)	(none)	BL - BELMONT
7/20/2019	12 - BELMONT	3090	FA0005832	PR0040290	SZ ENTERPRISES, INC.	470	RALSTON	BELMONT	3090 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	ERIN THOMAS	(none)	(none)	BL - BELMONT
8/14/2019	12 - BELMONT	3090	FA0010900	PR0040325	KRBERG MOTORS INC	1020	BELMONT	BELMONT	3090 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	DERMOT CASEY	(none)	(none)	BL - BELMONT
8/22/2019	12 - BELMONT	3090	FA0028862	PR0048932	AUTO CARE OF REDWOOD SHORES	230	OLD COUNTY	BELMONT	3090 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	DERMOT CASEY	(none)	12 - BELMONT	BL - BELMONT
8/28/2019	12 - BELMONT	3090	FA0017117	PR0040333	SPITERS AUTO SERVICE	292	OLD COUNTY	BELMONT	3090 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	ERIN THOMAS	(none)	(none)	BL - BELMONT
9/5/2019	12 - BELMONT	3090	FA0011434	PR0040302	CALIFORNIA SHINGLE & SHAKE COM	1390	OLD COUNTY	BELMONT	3090 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	DERMOT CASEY	(none)	(none)	BL - BELMONT
9/6/2019	12 - BELMONT	3095	FA0023134	PR0040340	HOLIDAY CLEANERS OF AMERICA	680	RALSTON	BELMONT	3095 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	DERMOT CASEY	(none)	(none)	BL - BELMONT
9/8/2019	12 - BELMONT	3090	FA0027531	PR0043253	EXTREME AUTO BODY & PAINT	1300	OLD COUNTY	BELMONT	3090 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	DERMOT CASEY	(none)	12 - BELMONT	BL - BELMONT
9/18/2019	12 - BELMONT	3090	FA0004451	PR0039138	TAQUERIA EL METATE	120	HARBOR	BELMONT	3090 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	MICHELLE H GREIGERS	(none)	C11 - CONSUMER DIST 11	BL - BELMONT
9/29/2019	12 - BELMONT	3090	FA0029102	PR0051798	POWERVISION	258	HARBOR	BELMONT	3090 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	DERMOT CASEY	(none)	12 - BELMONT	BL - BELMONT
10/10/2019	12 - BELMONT	3090	FA0002639	PR0040285	SUMMIT AUTO BODY & PAINTING	317	OLD COUNTY	BELMONT	3090 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	DERMOT CASEY	(none)	(none)	BL - BELMONT
10/12/2019	12 - BELMONT	3090	FA0017058	PR0040317	CARLMOOT VILLAGE CLEANERS	1011	ALAMEDA DE LAS PULGAS	BELMONT	3090 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	ERIN THOMAS	(none)	(none)	BL - BELMONT
10/17/2019	12 - BELMONT	3095	FA0025177	PR0040355	CITY OF BELMONT, ISLAND PARK PUMP STATION		ISLAND PARK	BELMONT	3095 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	DERMOT CASEY	(none)	(none)	BL - BELMONT
10/17/2019	12 - BELMONT	3095	FA0025306	PR0040357	CITY OF BELMONT SAN JUAN PUMP STATION	3118	LAUREL CREEK	BELMONT	3095 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	DERMOT CASEY	(none)	(none)	BL - BELMONT
10/17/2019	12 - BELMONT	3095	FA0040390	PR0056420	CITY OF BELMONT MASKINS PUMP STA		LAUREL CREEK RD/MASKINS D	BELMONT	3095 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	DERMOT CASEY	(none)	12 - BELMONT	BL - BELMONT
10/23/2019	12 - BELMONT	3090	FA0033316	PR0052228	CLARK PEST CONTROL	485	ONEILL	BELMONT	3090 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	ERIN THOMAS	(none)	12 - BELMONT	BL - BELMONT
10/24/2019	12 - BELMONT	3090	FA0017094	PR0040329	COLOGNE AUTO BODY	1250	OLD COUNTY	BELMONT	3090 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	ERIN THOMAS	(none)	(none)	BL - BELMONT
10/24/2019	12 - BELMONT	3090	FA0009581	PR0040292	NIKON PRECISION INC	1399	SHOREWAY	BELMONT	3090 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	ERIN THOMAS	(none)	12 - BELMONT	BL - BELMONT
10/25/2019	12 - BELMONT	3090	FA0016142	PR0040313	M & G AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR	794	OLD COUNTY	BELMONT	3090 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	DERMOT CASEY	(none)	(none)	BL - BELMONT
10/26/2019	12 - BELMONT	3090	FA0015390	PR0040310	FINELINE CARPENTRY INC	1297	OLD COUNTY	BELMONT	3090 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	DERMOT CASEY	(none)	(none)	BL - BELMONT
10/31/2019	12 - BELMONT	3090	FA0028901	PR0040733	GREEN CLEANERS INC	678	RALSTON	BELMONT	3090 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	DERMOT CASEY	(none)	12 - BELMONT	BL - BELMONT
11/1/2019	12 - BELMONT	3090	FA0017107	PR0039156	BELMONT MOTOR WORKS	645	HARBOR	BELMONT	3090 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	DERMOT CASEY	(none)	(none)	BL - BELMONT
11/20/2019	12 - BELMONT	3090	FA0062149	PR0084981	KITCHEN 519 LLC	519	MARINE VIEW	BELMONT	3090 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	MILTON JORDAN, JR.	(none)	C10 - CONSUMER DIST 10	BL - BELMONT
11/21/2019	12 - BELMONT	3090	FA0060634	PR0083412	HYATT HOUSE	400	CONCOURSE	BELMONT	3090 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	FROBIE R ERNEST	(none)	C9 - CONSUMER DIST 9	BL - BELMONT
11/21/2019	12 - BELMONT	3090	FA0060595	PR0083120	LORENZOS SANDWICH SHOP	911	VILLA	BELMONT	3090 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	MILTON JORDAN, JR.	(none)	C10 - CONSUMER DIST 10	BL - BELMONT
11/16/2021	12 - BELMONT	3095	FA0052846	PR0073172	CITY OF BELMONT HASTINGS PUMP STATION		HASTINGS	BELMONT	3095 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	DERMOT CASEY	(none)	12 - BELMONT	BL - BELMONT
11/16/2021	12 - BELMONT	3095	FA0052844	PR0073168	CITY OF BELMONT		NORTH	BELMONT	3095 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	DERMOT CASEY	(none)	12 - BELMONT	BL - BELMONT
11/16/2021	12 - BELMONT	3095	FA0029496	PR0050256	CITY OF BELMONT	1	TWIN PINES	BELMONT	3095 - STORMWATER	01 - Active, billable	DERMOT CASEY	(none)	12 - BELMONT	BL - BELMONT

CITY OF BELMONT INDUSTRIAL SITE INSPECTION REPORT

ENTERED

1. Inspection Date: 1/19/18 Overcast Rain Severe Clear

1b. Rainfall with runoff since last inspection? YES NO

2. Name of Business: LADERA GARDEN AND GIFTS

3. Site Address: 2029 RALSTON AVENUE

4. Inspection Type: Routine Follow-up Drive By Other

5. Business Type: Auto Service Food Service Restaurant Industrial Other NURSERY/GIFTS

6. Priority Routine Inspection: High - Annual Medium - 2 yrs. Low - 5 yrs. Other

7. SWPPP YES NO Does not need coverage

8. High Priority Site (threat to water quality) YES NO

9. General:	Inspection Finding				Comments
	A	NM	P	NA*	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Employee Training	A	NM	P	NA	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Existing BMP's	A	NM	P	NA	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Outside Storage Area	A	NM	P	NA	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Trash Bin Area Cleanliness	A	NM	P	NA	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Trash and Recycling Bins Covered	A	NM	P	NA	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Parking Lot Cleanliness	A	NM	P	NA	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Designated Wash Area (non auto)	A	NM	P	NA	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Equipment Clean or Leaking	A	NM	P	NA	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Street Sweeping	A	NM	P	NA	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dust Control	A	NM	P	NA	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Recycling of Fluids or Materials	A	NM	P	NA	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exposed Earth Protected	A	NM	P	NA	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Vehicle Washing Area	A	NM	P	NA	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Outdoor Vehicle Maintenance	A	NM	P	NA	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SD Inlet Filters Protected	A	NM	P	NA	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Double Containment	A	NM	P	NA	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Spill Kits	A	NM	P	NA	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Air Conditioning Drains	A	NM	P	NA	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Soil Stockpiles	A	NM	P	NA	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hazardous Materials (paint, solvents)	A	NM	P	NA	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Petroleum Products (oil, fuel)	A	NM	P	NA	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Vehicle Servicing	A	NM	P	NA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	A	NM	P	NA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	A	NM	P	NA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	A	NM	P	NA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	A	NM	P	NA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	A	NM	P	NA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	A	NM	P	NA	
Run-on and Runoff Control					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Run-on diverted	A	NM	P	NA	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Active Treatment System (if any)	A	NM	P	NA	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Earth Dikes / Drainage Swales / Pipes	A	NM	P	NA	

* A=Adequate, NM=needs maintenance, P=Problem(s), NA=Not Applicable

10. Is there an actual illicit discharge or evidence of illicit discharge to storm drain/discharge point? YES NO

11. Comments: If YES, fill out back of this form

12. Enforcement/Follow-Up	Follow-up Report Required	YES	<input checked="" type="radio"/> NO	Next follow-up inspection date: _____ (10 Days)
Comments: _____				
Enforcement: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None/In compliance <input type="checkbox"/> Verbal Warning <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Violation date sent <input type="checkbox"/> Code Enforcement date sent				
13. Resolution: <input type="checkbox"/> Problem Fixed <input type="checkbox"/> Need More Time (include rationale in comments) <input type="checkbox"/> Escalate Enforcement Date resolved: ____/____/____				
Was there rain with runoff after problem identified and before resolution? YES NO <input type="checkbox"/> Items corrected during inspection (see comments)				
Comments: _____				

20. Inspector's Signature: Craig West Date: 1/19/18

No one on site or no responsible person present
 Left checklist copy at site

21. Name of Site Contact Person (Print): Marco Navarro Phone No. _____
Site Contact Signature: [Signature] Job Title: Manager Date: 1-19-18