



*City of Foster City*

**ESTERO MUNICIPAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT**

610 FOSTER CITY BOULEVARD  
FOSTER CITY, CA 94404-2222

September 30, 2017

Mr. Bruce H. Wolfe  
Executive Officer  
San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board  
1515 Clay Street, Suite 1400  
Oakland, CA 94612

Subject: **City of Foster City**  
FY 2016/17 Annual Report

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

This letter and Annual Report with attachments is submitted by City of Foster City pursuant to Permit Provision C.17.a of the Municipal Regional Stormwater NPDES Permit (MRP), Order R2-2015-0049, NPDES Permit No CAS612008 issued by the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board. The Annual Report provides documentation of compliance activities conducted during FY 2016/17 and related accomplishments.

Please contact Norm Dorais at 650-286-3279 or Vivian Ma at 650-286-3277 regarding any questions or concerns.

Very truly yours,

Duly Authorized Representative  
Jeff Moneda, P.E.  
Director of Public Works/City Engineer

**CITY OF FOSTER CITY  
FY 2016/17 ANNUAL REPORT**

**Certification Statement**

"I certify, under penalty of law, that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to ensure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted, is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

**Signature of Duly Authorized Representative:**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jeff Moneda, P.E.  
Director of Public Works/City Engineer

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

**Table of Contents**

<b>Section</b>	<b>Page</b>
Section 1 – Permittee Information.....	1-1
Section 2 – Provision C.2 Municipal Operations.....	2-1
Section 3 – Provision C.3 New Development and Redevelopment.....	3-1
Section 4 – Provision C.4 Industrial and Commercial Site Controls.....	4-1
Section 5 – Provision C.5 Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination.....	5-1
Section 6 – Provision C.6 Construction Site Controls.....	6-1
Section 7 – Provision C.7 Public Information and Outreach.....	7-1
Section 9 – Provision C.9 Pesticides Toxicity Controls.....	9-1
Section 10 – Provision C.10 Trash Load Reduction.....	10-1
Section 11 – Provision C.11 Mercury Controls.....	11-1
Section 12 – Provision C.12 PCBs Controls.....	12-1
Section 13 – Provision C.13 Copper Controls.....	13-1
Section 15 – Provision C.15 Exempted and Conditionally Exempted Discharges.....	15-1

Section 1 – Permittee Information

Background Information			
<b>Permittee Name:</b>	City of Foster City		
<b>Population:</b>	34,175		
<b>NPDES Permit No.:</b>	CAS612008		
<b>Order Number:</b>	R2-2015-0049		
<b>Reporting Time Period (month/year):</b>	July 2016 through June 2017		
<b>Name of the Responsible Authority:</b>	Jeff C. Moneda	<b>Title:</b>	City/District Engineer & Director of Public Works
<b>Mailing Address:</b>	610 Foster City Boulevard		
<b>City:</b>	Foster City	<b>Zip Code:</b>	94404
		<b>County:</b>	San Mateo County
<b>Telephone Number:</b>	(650) 286-3270	<b>Fax Number:</b>	(650) 345-4626
<b>E-mail Address:</b>	<a href="mailto:jmoneda@fostercity.org">jmoneda@fostercity.org</a>		
<b>Name of the Designated Stormwater Management Program Contact (if different from above):</b>	Norman Dorais	<b>Title:</b>	Public Works Maintenance Manager
<b>Department:</b>	Public Works		
<b>Mailing Address:</b>	100 Lincoln Centre Drive		
<b>City:</b>	Foster City	<b>Zip Code:</b>	94404
		<b>County:</b>	San Mateo County
<b>Telephone Number:</b>	(650) 286-8140	<b>Fax Number:</b>	(650) 349-7204
<b>E-mail Address:</b>	<a href="mailto:ndorais@fostercity.org">ndorais@fostercity.org</a>		

Section 2 - Provision C.2 Reporting Municipal Operations

**Program Highlights and Evaluation**

Highlight/summarize activities for reporting year:

Summary:

**City staff participated in SMCWPPP's Municipal Maintenance Subcommittee.**

**Refer to the C.2 Municipal Operations section of the Program's FY 16-17 Annual Report for a description of activities implemented at the countywide and/or regional level.**

**C.2.a. ► Street and Road Repair and Maintenance**

Place a **Y** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were implemented. If not applicable, type **NA** in the box and provide an explanation in the comments section below. Place an **N** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were not implemented for one or more of these activities during the reporting fiscal year, then in the comments section below provide an explanation of when BMPs were not implemented and the corrective actions taken.

<b>Y</b>	Control of debris and waste materials during road and parking lot installation, repaving or repair maintenance activities from polluting stormwater
<b>Y</b>	Control of concrete slurry and wastewater, asphalt, pavement cutting, and other street and road maintenance materials and wastewater from discharging to storm drains from work sites.
<b>Y</b>	Sweeping and/or vacuuming and other dry methods to remove debris, concrete, or sediment residues from work sites upon completion of work.

Comments: **City staff requires contractors to cover drain inlets with filter fabric during construction. Dust and debris control are maintained through both mechanical and manual methods. Concrete asphalt and slurry are required to be collected with wet/dry vacuum. Spoils and slurry are properly disposed preventing it from reaching the storm drain.**

**C.2.b. ► Sidewalk/Plaza Maintenance and Pavement Washing**

Place a **Y** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were implemented. If not applicable, type **NA** in the box and provide an explanation in the comments section below. Place an **N** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were not implemented for one or more of these activities during the reporting fiscal year, then in the comments section below provide an explanation of when BMPs were not implemented and the corrective actions taken.

<b>Y</b>	Control of wash water from pavement washing, mobile cleaning, pressure wash operations at parking lots, garages, trash areas, gas station fueling areas, and sidewalk and plaza cleaning activities from polluting stormwater
<b>Y</b>	Implementation of the BASMAA Mobile Surface Cleaner Program BMPs

Comments: **As of August 4, 2014, due to the drought, this activity was limited. All surface cleaning must be approved by an appeals board set up to determine the need for this activity. Approvals are given only for health and safety reasons. Under limited use, surface cleaners may use reclaimed water, so long as all water is collected and disposed of in the wastewater system.**

**C.2.c. ► Bridge and Structure Maintenance and Graffiti Removal**

Place a **Y** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were implemented. If not applicable, type **NA** in the box and provide an explanation in the comments section below. Place an **N** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were not implemented for one or more of these activities during the reporting fiscal year, then in the comments section below provide an explanation of when BMPs were not implemented and the corrective actions taken.

<b>Y</b>	Control of discharges from bridge and structural maintenance activities directly over water or into storm drains
<b>Y</b>	Control of discharges from graffiti removal activities
<b>Y</b>	Proper disposal for wastes generated from bridge and structure maintenance and graffiti removal activities
<b>Y</b>	Implementation of the BASMAA Mobile Surface Cleaner Program BMPs for graffiti removal
<b>Y</b>	Employee training on proper capture and disposal methods for wastes generated from bridge and structural maintenance and graffiti removal activities.
<b>Y</b>	Contract specifications requiring proper capture and disposal methods for wastes generated from bridge and structural maintenance and graffiti removal activities.

Comments: **If graffiti is discovered or reported to staff, graffiti is removed within 24 hours. Generally, if the graffiti is on signage, approved cleaners are used and properly disposed. If the graffiti is on or under bridge structures, the surface is repainted with standard paint. All workers are trained in proper safety and disposal of chemicals and/or paint. Contract specifications requiring proper testing and disposal methods for wastes generated from construction are included in bridge rehabilitation project.**

--

**C.2.e. ► Rural Public Works Construction and Maintenance**

Does your municipality own/maintain rural <sup>1</sup> roads:	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
---	--------------------------	-----	-------------------------------------	----

If your answer is **No** then skip to **C.2.f.**

Place a **Y** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were implemented. If not applicable, type **NA** in the box and provide an explanation in the comments section below. Place an **N** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were not implemented for one or more of these activities during the reporting fiscal year, then in the comments section below provide an explanation of when BMPs were not implemented and the corrective actions taken.

<b>NA</b>	Control of road-related erosion and sediment transport from road design, construction, maintenance, and repairs in rural areas
<b>NA</b>	Identification and prioritization of rural road maintenance based on soil erosion potential, slope steepness, and stream habitat resources
<b>NA</b>	No impact to creek functions including migratory fish passage during construction of roads and culverts
<b>NA</b>	Inspection of rural roads for structural integrity and prevention of impact on water quality
<b>NA</b>	Maintenance of rural roads adjacent to streams and riparian habitat to reduce erosion, replace damaging shotgun culverts and excessive erosion
<b>NA</b>	Re-grading of unpaved rural roads to slope outward where consistent with road engineering safety standards, and installation of water bars as appropriate
<b>NA</b>	Inclusion of measures to reduce erosion, provide fish passage, and maintain natural stream geomorphology when replacing culverts or design of new culverts or bridge crossings

	Comments including listing increased maintenance in priority areas: <b>None</b>
--	--

<sup>1</sup>Rural means any watershed or portion thereof that is developed with large lot home-sites, such as one acre or larger, or with primarily agricultural, grazing or open space uses.

**C.2.f. ► Corporation Yard BMP Implementation**

Place an **X** in the boxes below that apply to your corporations yard(s):

<input type="checkbox"/>	We do not have a corporation yard
<input type="checkbox"/>	Our corporation yard is a filed NOI facility and regulated by the California State Industrial Stormwater NPDES General Permit
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	We have a <b>Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP)</b> for the Corporation Yard(s)

Place an **X** in the boxes below next to implemented SWPPP BMPs to indicate that these BMPs were implemented in applicable instances. If not applicable, type **NA** in the box. If one or more of the BMPs were not adequately implemented during the reporting fiscal year then indicate so and explain in the comments section below:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Control of pollutant discharges to storm drains such as wash waters from cleaning vehicles and equipment
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Routine inspection prior to the rainy seasons of corporation yard(s) to ensure non-stormwater discharges have not entered the storm drain system
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Containment of all vehicle and equipment wash areas through plumbing to sanitary or another collection method
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Use of dry cleanup methods when cleaning debris and spills from corporation yard(s) or collection of all wash water and disposing of wash water to sanitary or other location where it does not impact surface or groundwater when wet cleanup methods are used
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Cover and/or berm outdoor storage areas containing waste pollutants

Comments:  
**All potential waste pollutant materials are stored indoor in the chemical storage room.**  
**As a standard practice, Foster City staff performed the FY 16/17 and future years' formal inspections of the Corporation Yard in September prior to the start of the "wet season."**

If you have a corporation yard(s) that is not an NOI facility, complete the following table for inspection results for your corporation yard(s) or attach a summary including the following information:

Corporation Yard Name	Corp Yard Activities w/ site-specific SWPPP BMPs	Inspection Date <sup>2</sup>	Inspection Findings/Results	Date and Description of Follow-up and/or Corrective Actions
EMID Corporation Yard	General housekeeping; Vehicle & equipment washing;	09/30/2016	In Compliance	None

<sup>2</sup> Minimum inspection frequency is once a year during September.



	<b>Vehicle &amp; equipment maintenance/repair; Fuel dispensing; Outdoor material storage; Outdoor waste/recycling storage; Municipal vehicle, heavy equipment, and employee parking</b>			
--	---	--	--	--

Section 3 - Provision C.3 Reporting New Development and Redevelopment

**C.3.b.iv.(1) ► Regulated Projects Approved Prior to C.3 Requirements**

(For FY 2016-17 Annual Report only) Does your agency have any Regulated Projects that were approved with no Provision C.3 stormwater treatment requirements under a previous MS4 permit and that did not begin construction by January 1, 2016 (i.e., that are subject to Provision C.3.b.i.(2))?

	<b>Yes</b>		<b>No</b>
		X	

If yes, complete attached Table C.3.b.iv.(1).

**C.3.b.iv.(2) ► Regulated Projects Reporting**

See Table C.3.b.iv.(2) below.

**C.3.e.iv. ► Alternative or In-Lieu Compliance with Provision C.3.c.**

Is your agency choosing to require 100% LID treatment onsite for all Regulated Projects and not allow alternative compliance under Provision C.3.e.?

	<b>Yes</b>		<b>No</b>
		X	

Comments (optional):

**C.3.e.v. ► Special Projects Reporting**

1. In FY 2016-17, has your agency received, but not yet granted final discretionary approval of, a development permit application for a project that has been identified as a potential Special Project based on criteria listed in MRP Provision C.3.e.ii.(2) for any of the three categories of Special Projects (Categories A, B or C)?		Yes	X	No
2. In FY 2016-17, has your agency granted final discretionary approval to a Special Project? If yes, include the project in both the <b>C.3.b.iv.(2)</b> Table, and the <b>C.3.e.v.</b> Table.		Yes	X	No
<b>No special projects to report.</b>				

**C.3.h.v.(2) ► Reporting Newly Installed Stormwater Treatment Systems and HM Controls (Optional)**

On an annual basis, before the wet season, provide a list of newly installed (installed within the reporting year) stormwater treatment systems and HM controls to the local mosquito and vector control agency and the Water Board. The list shall include the facility locations and a description of the stormwater treatment measures and HM controls installed.
<b>See attached Table C.3.h.v.(2) for list of newly installed Stormwater Treatment Systems/HM Controls.</b>

**C.3.h.v.(3)(a)–(c) and (f) ► Installed Stormwater Treatment Systems Operation and Maintenance Verification Inspection Program Reporting**

Site Inspections Data	Number/Percentage
Total number of Regulated Projects (including offsite projects, and Regional Projects) in your agency's database or tabular format at the end of the previous fiscal year (FY15-16)	<b>6</b>
Total number of Regulated Projects (including offsite projects, and Regional Projects) in your agency's database or tabular format at the end of the reporting period (FY 16-17)	<b>7</b>
Total number of Regulated Projects (including offsite projects, and Regional Projects) for which O&M verification inspections were conducted during the reporting period (FY 16-17)	<b>2</b>
Percentage of the total number of Regulated Projects (including offsite projects, and Regional Projects) inspected during the reporting period (FY 16-17)	<b>29%<sup>3</sup></b>

<sup>3</sup> Based on the number of Regulated Projects in the database or tabular format at the end of the previous fiscal year (FY 15-16), per MRP Provision C.3.h.ii.(6)(b).

**C.3.h.v.(3)(d)-(e) ► Installed Stormwater Treatment Systems Operation and Maintenance Verification Inspection Program Reporting**

Provide a discussion of the inspection findings for the year and any common problems encountered with various types of treatment systems and/or HM controls. This discussion should include a general comparison to the inspection findings from the previous year.

Summary:  
**The bioretention facilities appear to be functioning as designed. Based on observation of performance following each of the several heavy storm events of the 2016/17 rainy season, each of the facilities adequately collected the rainwater falling on the surrounding areas and allowed the stormwater into the ground without reaching the stormwater overflow. The native and drought tolerant vegetation planted in the bioretention facilities are continuing to grow well and appear to be establishing a strong root system.**

Provide a discussion of the effectiveness of the O&M Program and any proposed changes to improve the O&M Program (e.g., changes in prioritization plan or frequency of O&M inspections, other changes to improve effectiveness program).

Summary:  
**The current program is working well due to the low number of installations. For FY 16/17, two of the seven installations were inspected.**

**C.3.h.v.(4) ► Enforcement Response Plan**

<i>(For FY 2016-17 Annual Report only)</i> Has your agency completed an Enforcement Response Plan for all O&M inspections of stormwater treatment measures by July 1, 2017?	<b>X</b>	<b>Yes</b>		<b>No</b>
---	----------	------------	--	-----------

If No, provide schedule for completion:

**C.3.i. ► Required Site Design Measures for Small Projects and Detached Single Family Home Projects**

On an annual basis, discuss the implementation of the requirements of Provision C.3.i, including ordinance revisions, permit conditions, development of standard specifications and/or guidance materials, and staff training.

Summary:  
**BASMAA prepared standard specifications in four fact sheets regarding the site design measures listed in Provision C.3.i, as a resource for Permittees. We have modified local ordinances/policies/procedures and forms/checklists to require all applicable projects approved after December 1, 2012 to implement at least one of the site design measures listed in Provision C.3.i.**

**Completion of C.3/C.6 checklist is required for all new homes or tear-down/re-builds.**

**C.3.j.i.(5)(a) ► Green Infrastructure Framework or Work Plan**

<i>(For FY 2016-17 Annual Report only)</i> Was your agency's Green Infrastructure Framework or Work Plan approved by the agency's governing body, mayor, city manager, or county manager by June 30, 2017?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Yes, approval documentation attached</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> No
--	-------------------------------------	---	-----------------------------

If Yes, describe approval process and documentation:  
**The City's Green Infrastructure Work Plan was adopted by the City Council on June 5, 2017. See Attachment 1 for City Resolution No. 2017-37.**

**C.3.j.i.(5)(d) ► Green Infrastructure Outreach**

On an annual basis, provide a summary of your agency's outreach and education efforts pertaining to Green Infrastructure planning and implementation.

Summary:  
**City staff participated in:**

- "Leadership Conversation on Green Infrastructure" on 12/9/16
- "Small-Scale, Low-Cost Green Stormwater Management Projects for Parks and Public Lands" webinar on 5/24/17
- "Annual C.3 Stormwater Workshop: Stormwater Controls for Regulated Development Projects and Green Infrastructure Projects" on 6/21/17
- Green Infrastructure Committee meeting (attended by City consultant)

**Foster City adopted the Outdoor Landscape Efficiency Ordinance in January 2010.**

**Please refer to the SMCWPPP FY 16-17 Annual Report for a summary of outreach efforts implemented.**

**C.3.j.ii.(2) ► Early Implementation of Green Infrastructure Projects**

On an annual basis, submit a list of green infrastructure projects, public and private, that are already planned for implementation during the permit term and infrastructure projects planned for implementation during the permit term that have potential for green infrastructure measures. Include the following information:

- A summary of planning or implementation status for each public and private green infrastructure project that is not also a Regulated Project as defined in Provision C.3.b.ii. (see C.3.j.ii.(2) Table B - Planned Green Infrastructure Projects).
- A summary of how each public infrastructure project with green infrastructure potential will include green infrastructure measures to the maximum extent practicable during the permit term. For any public infrastructure project where implementation of green infrastructure measures is not practicable, submit a brief description of the project and the reasons green infrastructure measures were impracticable to implement (see C.3.j.ii.(2) Table A - Public Projects Reviewed for Green Infrastructure).

Background Information:

Describe how this provision is being implemented by your agency, including the process used by your agency to identify projects with potential for green infrastructure, if applicable.

**On an annual basis, all City Capital Improvement Program (CIP) projects are reviewed to determine if GI elements can be incorporated.**

Summary of Planning or Implementation Status of Identified Projects:

**See attached Tables C.3.j.ii.(2)-A and C.3.j.ii.(2)-B. Upon evaluation of the City's current CIP projects, none of the projects is identified to have GI potential at this time. Majority of the City CIP projects are identified as "no potential" (non-stormwater utility projects), while the remaining projects are identified as "maintenance" type of projects.**

**C.3.j.iii.(2) ► Participate in Processes to Promote Green Infrastructure**

On an annual basis, report on the goals and outcomes during the reporting year of work undertaken to participate in processes to promote green infrastructure.

**Please refer to the SMCWPPP FY 16-17 Annual Report for a summary of efforts conducted to help regional, State, and federal agencies plan, design and fund incorporation of green infrastructure measures into local infrastructure projects, including transportation projects.**

**C.3.j.iv.(2) ► Tracking and Reporting Progress**

On an annual basis, report progress on development and implementation of methods to track and report implementation of green infrastructure measures and provide reasonable assurance that wasteload allocations for TMDLs are being met.

**Please refer to the SMCWPPP FY 16-17 Annual Report for a summary of methods being developed to track and report implementation of green**

infrastructure measures.

**C.3.b.iv.(1) ► List of Regulated Projects Approved Prior to C.3 Requirements**

Project Name Project No.	Project Location <sup>4</sup> , Street Address	Type of Stormwater Treatment Required <sup>5</sup>	Type of Exemption Granted <sup>6</sup>
None	NA	NA	NA

<sup>4</sup> Include cross streets

<sup>5</sup> Indicate the stormwater treatment system required, if applicable

<sup>6</sup> Indicate the type for exemption, if applicable. For example, the project was previously approved with a vesting tentative map, or the Permittee has no legal authority to require changes to previously granted approvals (such as previously granted building permits).



**C.3.b.iv.(2) ► Regulated Projects Reporting Table (part 1) –  
 Projects Approved During the Fiscal Year Reporting Period**

Project Name Project No.	Project Location <sup>7</sup> , Street Address	Name of Developer	Project Phase No. <sup>8</sup>	Project Type & Description <sup>9</sup>	Project Watershed <sup>10</sup>	Total Site Area (Acres)	Total Area of Land Disturbed (Acres)	Total New Impervious Surface Area (ft <sup>2</sup> ) <sup>11</sup>	Total Replaced Impervious Surface Area (ft <sup>2</sup> ) <sup>12</sup>	Total Pre- Project Impervious Surface Area <sup>13</sup> (ft <sup>2</sup> )	Total Post- Project Impervious Surface Area <sup>14</sup> (ft <sup>2</sup> )
<b>Private Projects</b>											
Gilead NB 324	320, 322, 324 Lakeside Drive	Gilead Sciences	NA	4-Story Laboratory Building with Surface Parking, Hardscape, and Landscape Improvements	Foster City Lagoon	6	5.92	93,684	126,582	221,391	220,266
Gilead NB 357	357 Lakeside Drive	Gilead Sciences	NA	6-Story Laboratory Building with Parking, Hardscape, and Landscape Improvements	Foster City Lagoon	2.37	2.29	11,391	69,394	86,060	84,406
<b>Public Projects</b>											
None	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Comments: <b>Gilead NB 357 was approved in June 2016. However, the project was not captured in the FY 2015-16 Annual Report, therefore it is being added to this fiscal year's report.</b>											

<sup>7</sup>Include cross streets

<sup>8</sup>If a project is being constructed in phases, indicate the phase number and use a separate row entry for each phase. If not, enter "NA".

<sup>9</sup>Project Type is the type of development (i.e., new and/or redevelopment). Example descriptions of development are: 5-story office building, residential with 160 single-family homes with five 4-story buildings to contain 200 condominiums, 100 unit 2-story shopping mall, mixed use retail and residential development (apartments), industrial warehouse.

<sup>10</sup>State the watershed(s) in which the Regulated Project is located. Downstream watershed(s) may be included, but this is optional.

<sup>11</sup>All impervious surfaces added to any area of the site that was previously existing pervious surface.

<sup>12</sup>All impervious surfaces added to any area of the site that was previously existing impervious surface.

<sup>13</sup>For redevelopment projects, state the pre-project impervious surface area.

<sup>14</sup>For redevelopment projects, state the post-project impervious surface area.

**C.3.b.iv.(2) ► Regulated Projects Reporting Table (part 2) – Projects Approved During the Fiscal Year Reporting Period (private projects)**

Project Name Project No.	Application Deemed Complete Date <sup>15</sup>	Application Final Approval Date <sup>16</sup>	Source Control Measures <sup>17</sup>	Site Design Measures <sup>18</sup>	Treatment Systems Approved <sup>19</sup>	Type of Operation & Maintenance Responsibility Mechanism <sup>20</sup>	Hydraulic Sizing Criteria <sup>21</sup>	Alternative Compliance Measures <sup>22/23</sup>	Alternative Certification <sup>24</sup>	HM Controls <sup>25/26</sup>
<b>Private Projects</b>										
Gilead NB 324	September, 2016	November 3, 2016	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• “No Dumping! Flows to Lagoon” @ inlets</li> <li>• Plumb interior floor drains to sanitary sewer</li> <li>• Landscape:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Retain existing vegetation as practicable</li> <li>- Select diverse species appropriate to the site</li> <li>- Minimize use of pesticides and quick-release fertilizers</li> <li>- Use efficient irrigation system; design to minimize runoff</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Direct roof runoff onto vegetated areas</li> <li>• Direct runoff from sidewalks, walkways, and/or patios onto vegetated areas</li> <li>• Direct runoff from driveways and/or uncovered parking lots onto vegetated areas</li> </ul>	Bioretention area	O&M agreement with private landowner	2.c 3	None	None	Project is not in a HM controlled area

<sup>15</sup>For private projects, state project application deemed complete date. If the project did not go through discretionary review, report the building permit issuance date.

<sup>16</sup>For private projects, state project application final discretionary approval date. If the project did not go through discretionary review, report the building permit issuance date.

<sup>17</sup>List source control measures approved for the project. Examples include: properly designed trash storage areas; storm drain stenciling or signage; efficient landscape irrigation systems; etc.

<sup>18</sup>List site design measures approved for the project. Examples include: minimize impervious surfaces; conserve natural areas, including existing trees or other vegetation, and soils; construct sidewalks, walkways, and/or patios with permeable surfaces, etc.

<sup>19</sup>List all approved stormwater treatment system(s) to be installed onsite or at a joint stormwater treatment facility (e.g., flow through planter, bioretention facility, infiltration basin, etc.).

<sup>20</sup>List the legal mechanism(s) (e.g., O&M agreement with private landowner; O&M agreement with homeowners' association; O&M by public entity, etc...) that have been or will be used to assign responsibility for the maintenance of the post-construction stormwater treatment systems.

<sup>21</sup>See Provision C.3.d.i. "Numeric Sizing Criteria for Stormwater Treatment Systems" for list of hydraulic sizing design criteria. Enter the corresponding provision number of the appropriate criterion (i.e., 1.a., 1.b., 2.a., 2.b., 2.c., or 3).

<sup>22</sup>For Alternative Compliance at an offsite location in accordance with Provision C.3.e.i.(1), on a separate page, give a discussion of the alternative compliance site including the information specified in Provision C.3.b.v.(1)(m)(i) for the offsite project.

<sup>23</sup>For Alternative Compliance by paying in-lieu fees in accordance with Provision C.3.e.i.(2), on a separate page, provide the information specified in Provision C.3.b.v.(1)(m)(ii) for the Regional Project.

<sup>24</sup>Note whether a third party was used to certify the project design complies with Provision C.3.d.

<sup>25</sup>f HM control is not required, state why not.

<sup>26</sup>f HM control is required, state control method used (e.g., method to design and size device(s) or method(s) used to meet the HM Standard, and description of device(s) or method(s) used, such as detention basin(s), bioretention unit(s), regional detention basin, or in-stream control).

**C.3.b.iv.(2) ► Regulated Projects Reporting Table (part 2) – Projects Approved During the Fiscal Year Reporting Period (private projects)**

Project Name Project No.	Application Deemed Complete Date <sup>15</sup>	Application Final Approval Date <sup>16</sup>	Source Control Measures <sup>17</sup>	Site Design Measures <sup>18</sup>	Treatment Systems Approved <sup>19</sup>	Type of Operation & Maintenance Responsibility Mechanism <sup>20</sup>	Hydraulic Sizing Criteria <sup>21</sup>	Alternative Compliance Measures <sup>22/23</sup>	Alternative Certification <sup>24</sup>	HM Controls <sup>25/26</sup>
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Outdoor Equipment/Materials Storage                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Cover the area or design to avoid pollutant contact with stormwater runoff</li> <li>-Locate area only on paved &amp; contained areas</li> <li>-Roof storage areas that will contain non-hazardous liquids, drain to sanitary sewer, and contain by berms or similar</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Loading Docks                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Cover and/or grade to minimize run-on to and runoff from loading area</li> <li>-Position downspouts to direct stormwater away from loading area</li> <li>-Drain water from loading dock areas to sanitary sewer</li> <li>-Install door skirts between trailers and the building</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Design for discharge of fire sprinkler test water to landscape or sanitary sewer</li> <li>• Miscellaneous Drain or Wash Water                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Drain condensate of A/C units to landscaping. Large A/C units may connect to sanitary sewer</li> <li>-Roof drains from equipment drain to landscaped area where</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Conserve natural areas, including existing trees, other vegetation and soils</li> <li>• Self-treating area</li> <li>• Self-retaining area</li> </ul>						

**C.3.b.iv.(2) ► Regulated Projects Reporting Table (part 2) – Projects Approved During the Fiscal Year Reporting Period (private projects)**

Project Name Project No.	Application Deemed Complete Date <sup>15</sup>	Application Final Approval Date <sup>16</sup>	Source Control Measures <sup>17</sup>	Site Design Measures <sup>18</sup>	Treatment Systems Approved <sup>19</sup>	Type of Operation & Maintenance Responsibility Mechanism <sup>20</sup>	Hydraulic Sizing Criteria <sup>21</sup>	Alternative Compliance Measures <sup>22/23</sup>	Alternative Certification <sup>24</sup>	HM Controls <sup>25/26</sup>
			practicable -Drain boiler drain lines, roof top equipment, all wash water to sanitary sewer							
Gilead NB 357	April, 2016	June 2, 2016	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• “No Dumping! Flows to Lagoon” @ inlets</li> <li>• Landscape:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Retain existing vegetation as practicable</li> <li>-Select diverse species appropriate to the site</li> <li>-Minimize use of pesticides and quick-release fertilizers</li> <li>-Use efficient irrigation system; design to minimize runoff</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Design for discharge of fire sprinkler test water to landscape or sanitary sewer</li> <li>• Miscellaneous Drain or Wash Water               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Drain condensate of A/C units to landscaping. Large A/C units may connect to sanitary sewer</li> <li>-Roof drains from equipment drain to landscaped area where practicable</li> </ul> </li> <li>• -Drain boiler drain lines, roof top equipment, all wash water to sanitary sewer</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Direct roof runoff onto vegetated areas</li> <li>• Direct runoff from sidewalks, walkways, and/or patios onto vegetated areas</li> <li>• Direct runoff from driveways and/or uncovered parking lots onto vegetated areas</li> <li>• Conserve natural areas, including existing trees, other vegetation and soils</li> </ul>	Bioretention area	O&M agreement with private landowner	3	None	None	Project is not in a HM controlled area

**C.3.b.iv.(2) ► Regulated Projects Reporting Table (part 2) –  
 Projects Approved During the Fiscal Year Reporting Period  
 (public projects)**

Project Name Project No.	Approval Date <sup>27</sup>	Date Construction Scheduled to Begin	Source Control Measures <sup>28</sup>	Site Design Measures <sup>29</sup>	Treatment Systems Approved <sup>30</sup>	Operation & Maintenance Responsibility Mechanism <sup>31</sup>	Hydraulic Sizing Criteria <sup>32</sup>	Alternative Compliance Measures <sup>33/34</sup>	Alternative Certification <sup>35</sup>	HM Controls <sup>36/37</sup>
<b>Public Projects</b>										
None	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Comments: None										

<sup>27</sup>For public projects, enter the plans and specifications approval date.

<sup>28</sup>List source control measures approved for the project. Examples include: properly designed trash storage areas; storm drain stenciling or signage; efficient landscape irrigation systems; etc.

<sup>29</sup>List site design measures approved for the project. Examples include: minimize impervious surfaces; conserve natural areas, including existing trees or other vegetation, and soils; construct sidewalks, walkways, and/or patios with permeable surfaces, etc.

<sup>30</sup>List all approved stormwater treatment system(s) to be installed onsite or at a joint stormwater treatment facility (e.g., flow through planter, bioretention facility, infiltration basin, etc.).

<sup>31</sup>List the legal mechanism(s) (e.g., maintenance plan for O&M by public entity, etc...) that have been or will be used to assign responsibility for the maintenance of the post-construction stormwater treatment systems.

<sup>32</sup>See Provision C.3.d.i. "Numeric Sizing Criteria for Stormwater Treatment Systems" for list of hydraulic sizing design criteria. Enter the corresponding provision number of the appropriate criterion (i.e., 1.a., 1.b., 2.a., 2.b., 2.c., or 3).

<sup>33</sup>For Alternative Compliance at an offsite location in accordance with Provision C.3.e.i.(1), on a separate page, give a discussion of the alternative compliance site including the information specified in Provision C.3.b.v.(1)(m)(i) for the offsite project.

<sup>34</sup>For Alternative Compliance by paying in-lieu fees in accordance with Provision C.3.e.i.(2), on a separate page, provide the information specified in Provision C.3.b.v.(1)(m)(ii) for the Regional Project.

<sup>35</sup>Note whether a third party was used to certify the project design complies with Provision C.3.d.

<sup>36</sup>If HM control is not required, state why not.

<sup>37</sup>If HM control is required, state control method used (e.g., method to design and size device(s) or method(s) used to meet the HM Standard, and description of device(s) or method(s) used, such as detention basin(s), bioretention unit(s), regional detention basin, or in-stream control).

**C.3.h.v.(2) ► Table of Newly Installed<sup>38</sup> Stormwater Treatment Systems and Hydromodification Management (HM) Controls (Optional)**

Fill in table below or attach your own table including the same information.

Name of Facility	Address of Facility	Party Responsible <sup>39</sup> For Maintenance	Type of Treatment/HM Control(s)
Foster Square – MidPen Affordable	790 Alma Lane 1100 Foster Square Lane	Midpen Housing Foster Square Association	Flow-Through Planters; Landscape Areas; Media Filtration

<sup>38</sup> "Newly Installed" includes those facilities for which the final installation inspection was performed during this reporting year.

<sup>39</sup> State the responsible operator for installed stormwater treatment systems and HM controls.

C.3.e.v. ► Special Projects Reporting Table												
Reporting Period – July 1 2016 - June 30, 2017												
Project Name & No.	Permittee	Address	Application Submittal Date <sup>40</sup>	Status <sup>41</sup>	Description <sup>42</sup>	Site Total Acreage	Gross Density DU/Acre	Density FAR	Special Project Category <sup>43</sup>	LID Treatment Reduction Credit Available <sup>44</sup>	List of LID Stormwater Treatment Systems <sup>45</sup>	List of Non-LID Stormwater Treatment Systems <sup>46</sup>
None	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

**Special Projects Narrative**  
 NA

<sup>40</sup>Date that a planning application for the Special Project was submitted.

<sup>41</sup> Indicate whether final discretionary approval is still pending or has been granted, and provide the date or version of the project plans upon which reporting is based.

<sup>42</sup>Type of project (commercial, mixed-use, residential), number of floors, number of units, type of parking, and other relevant information.

<sup>43</sup> For each applicable Special Project Category, list the specific criteria applied to determine applicability. For each non-applicable Special Project Category, indicate n/a.

<sup>44</sup>For each applicable Special Project Category, state the maximum total LID Treatment Reduction Credit available. For Category C Special Projects also list the individual Location, Density, and Minimized Surface Parking Credits available.

<sup>45</sup>: List all LID stormwater treatment systems proposed. For each type, indicate the percentage of the total amount of runoff identified in Provision C.3.d. for the Special Project's drainage area.

<sup>46</sup>List all non-LID stormwater treatment systems proposed. For each type of non-LID treatment system, indicate: (1) the percentage of the total amount of runoff identified in Provision C.3.d. for the Special Project's drainage area, and (2) whether the treatment system either meets minimum design criteria published by a government agency or received certification issued by a government agency, and reference the applicable criteria or certification.

**C.3.j.ii.(2) ► Table A - Public Projects Reviewed for Green Infrastructure**

Project Name and Location <sup>47</sup>	Project Description	Status <sup>48</sup>	GI Included? <sup>49</sup>	Description of GI Measures Considered and/or Proposed or Why GI is Impracticable to Implement <sup>50</sup>
None	NA	NA	NA	A review of the City CIP projects indicated that majority of the projects are identified as “no potential” (non-stormwater utility projects), while the remaining projects are identified as “maintenance” type of projects.

**C.3.j.ii.(2) ► Table B - Planned and/or Completed Green Infrastructure Projects**

Project Name and Location <sup>51</sup>	Project Description	Planning or Implementation Status	Green Infrastructure Measures Included
None	NA	NA	NA

<sup>47</sup> List each public project that is going through your agency’s process for identifying projects with green infrastructure potential.

<sup>48</sup> Indicate status of project, such as: beginning design, under design (or X% design), projected completion date, completed final design date, etc.

<sup>49</sup> Enter “Yes” if project will include GI measures, “No” if GI measures are impracticable to implement, or “TBD” if this has not yet been determined.

<sup>50</sup> Provide a summary of how each public infrastructure project with green infrastructure potential will include green infrastructure measures to the maximum extent practicable during the permit term. If review of the project indicates that implementation of green infrastructure measures is not practicable, provide the reasons why green infrastructure measures are impracticable to implement.

<sup>51</sup> List each planned (and expected to be funded) public and private green infrastructure project that is not also a Regulated Project as defined in Provision C.3.b.ii. Note that funding for green infrastructure components may be anticipated but is not guaranteed to be available or sufficient.



**Section 4 – Provision C.4 Industrial and Commercial Site Controls**

**Program Highlights and Evaluation**  
 Highlight/summarize activities for reporting year:

Summary:  
**City staff participated in the Program’s CII Subcommittee.**

**The City updated its Stormwater Industrial/Commercial Business Inspection Plan (BIP) and facilities lists, as well as its Stormwater NPDES Enforcement Response Plan (ERP) in June 2017. The City has reviewed its revised BIP and ERP with the inspection staff and will attend regional trainings in order to educate the inspectors on proper inspection and reporting techniques.**

**Per agreement with San Mateo County, Foster City coordinates with San Mateo County Environmental Health (CEH) staff to maintain the database of facilities to be inspected by either CEH staff or City staff. Due to current staff workload, it is likely that the inspections will be contracted out. The final determination will be made prior to the end of the contract term with the County.**

**The City received a Notice of Violation (NOV) letter from the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWB) dated May 24, 2017. Subsequently, City staff reviewed the items identified in the NOV, and corrective actions were taken to address the concerns of the RWB. The City provided a response letter to the RWB on July 31, 2017.**

**Refer to the C.4 Industrial and Commercial Site Controls section of the SMCWPPP FY 16-17 Annual Report for a description of Program activities.**

**C.4.b.iii. ► Potential Facilities List**

List below or attach your list of industrial and commercial facilities in your Inspection Plan to inspect that could reasonably be considered to cause or contribute to pollution of stormwater runoff.

**Attachment 2 (FY 16-17 Complete Facility List)**

**C.4.d.iii.(2)(a) & (c) ► Facility Inspections – CEH Inspections**

Fill out the following table or attach a summary of the following information. Indicate your reporting methodology below.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Permittee reports multiple discrete potential and actual discharges as one enforcement action.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Permittee reports the total number of discrete potential and actual discharges on each site.

	Number	Percent
Total number of inspections conducted (C.4.d.iii.(2)(a))	<b>54</b>	

Number of enforcement actions or discreet number of potential and actual discharges	<b>3</b>	
Violations Enforcement actions or discreet number of potential and actual discharges resolved within 10 working days or otherwise deemed resolved in a longer but still timely manner (C.4.d.iii.(2)(c))	<b>3</b>	<b>100%</b>
Comments:		

**C.4.d.iii.(2)(a) & (c) ► Facility Inspections – City of Foster City Inspections**

Fill out the following table or attach a summary of the following information. Indicate your reporting methodology below.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Permittee reports multiple discrete potential and actual discharges as one enforcement action.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Permittee reports the total number of discrete potential and actual discharges on each site.	
	<b>Number</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Total number of inspections conducted (C.4.d.iii.(2)(a))	<b>33</b>	
Number of enforcement actions or discreet number of potential and actual discharges	<b>0</b>	
Violations Enforcement actions or discreet number of potential and actual discharges resolved within 10 working days or otherwise deemed resolved in a longer but still timely manner (C.4.d.iii.(2)(c))	<b>0</b>	<b>100%</b>
Comments: <b>None</b>		

**C.4.d.iii.(2)(b) ► Frequency and Type of Enforcement Conducted – CEH Inspections**

Fill out the following table or attach a summary of the following information.

	<b>Enforcement Action</b> (as listed in ERP) <sup>52</sup>	<b>Number of Enforcement Actions Taken</b>
Level 1	<b>Verbal Warning/Warning Notice</b>	<b>3</b>
Level 2	<b>Notice of Violation</b>	<b>0</b>
Level 3	<b>Administrative Order</b>	<b>0</b>
Level 4	<b>Administrative Penalty/Legal Action</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>3</b>

**C.4.d.iii.(2)(b) ► Frequency and Type of Enforcement Conducted – City Inspections**

Fill out the following table or attach a summary of the following information.

	<b>Enforcement Action</b> (as listed in ERP) <sup>53</sup>	<b>Number of Enforcement Actions Taken</b>
Level 1	<b>Verbal Warning/Warning Notice</b>	<b>0</b>
Level 2	<b>Notice of Violation</b>	<b>0</b>
Level 3	<b>Administrative Order</b>	<b>0</b>
Level 4	<b>Administrative Penalty/Legal Action</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>

<sup>52</sup>Agencies to list specific enforcement actions as defined in their ERPs.

<sup>53</sup>Agencies to list specific enforcement actions as defined in their ERPs.

**C.4.d.iii.(2)(d) ► Frequency of Potential and Actual Non-stormwater Discharges by Business Category – CEH Inspections**

Fill out the following table or attach a summary of the following information.

Business Category <sup>54</sup>	Number of Actual Discharges	Number of Potential Discharges
Haz Mat	0	0
Food	0	3

**C.4.d.iii.(2)(d) ► Frequency of Potential and Actual Non-stormwater Discharges by Business Category – City Inspections**

Fill out the following table or attach a summary of the following information.

Business Category <sup>55</sup>	Number of Actual Discharges	Number of Potential Discharges
Facilities in a common area	0	0
Other facilities	0	0

**C.4.d.iii.(2)(e) ► Non-Filers**

List below or attach a list of the facilities required to have coverage under the Industrial General Permit but have not filed for coverage:

**There were no industries identified as non-filers during this fiscal year.**

<sup>54</sup>List your Program's standard business categories.

<sup>55</sup>List your Program's standard business categories.

<b>C.4.e.iii. ► Staff Training Summary</b>						
<b>Training Name</b>	<b>Training Dates</b>	<b>Topics Covered</b>	<b>No. of Industrial/ Commercial Site Inspectors in Attendance</b>	<b>Percent of Industrial/ Commercial Site Inspectors in Attendance</b>	<b>No. of IDDE Inspectors in Attendance</b>	<b>Percent of IDDE Inspectors in Attendance</b>
<b>Commercial and Industrial Inspections and Illicit Discharge (Complaint) Investigations</b>	<b>1/12/2017</b>	<b>"1. Introduction from the Office of Sustainability 2. Municipal Regional Permit (MRP) Changes 3. Regional Board NOV 4. Business Inspection Plan Training Requirements 5. Enforcement Response Plan Training Requirements 6. Changes to the Inspection Report 7. Inspector Questions"</b>	<b>29 (CEH)</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>94%</b>
<b>Reissued MRP: What do CEH Inspectors need to know</b>	<b>1/12/2017</b>	<b>See above</b>	<b>29 (CEH)</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>94%</b>
<b>One-on-one Stormwater Training</b>	<b>3/1/2017</b>	<b>Utilization of field-based software, MRP requirements, Inspection basics</b>	<b>1 (CEH)</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3%</b>
<b>One-on-one Stormwater Training</b>	<b>5/9/2017</b>	<b>Utilization of field-based software, MRP requirements, Inspection basics</b>	<b>1 (CEH)</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3%</b>
<b>One-on-one Stormwater Training</b>	<b>5/31/2017</b>	<b>Utilization of field-based software, MRP requirements, Inspection basics</b>	<b>1 (CEH)</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3%</b>
<p>Comments:</p> <p><b>In addition, as stated in the County of San Mateo's Response to Notice of Violation dated November 15, 2016, CEH conducted training to Consumer Protection Division inspectors on October 17, 2016 and Hazardous Materials Division inspectors on November 2, 2016 on their updated inspection procedures in the revised BIP and ERP.</b></p>						

Section 5 – Provision C.5 Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination

<b>Program Highlights and Evaluation</b>
<b>Highlight/summarize activities for reporting year:</b>
Provide background information, highlights, trends, etc.
Summary: <b>City staff participated in the SMCWPPP CII Subcommittee.</b>
<b>Foster City receives support from inspectors in the City of San Mateo. As a joint owner of the Wastewater Treatment Plant, Foster City jointly pays for the San Mateo provided inspectors.</b>
<b>Foster City’s Stormwater NPDES ERP was created on March 31, 2010, revised on June 7, 2016, and updated on June 30, 2017.</b>
<b>Refer to the C.5 Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination section of the SMCWPPP FY 16-17 Annual Report for description of activities at the countywide or regional level.</b>

<b>C.5.c.iii. ► Complaint and Spill Response Phone Number</b>
Summary of any changes made during FY 16-17: <b>No Change</b>

<b>C.5.d.iii.(1)-(3) ► Spill and Discharge Complaint Tracking</b>		
Spill and Discharge Complaint Tracking (fill out the following table or include an attachment of the following information)		
	<b>Number</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Discharges reported (C.5.d.iii.(1))	<b>2</b>	
Discharges reaching storm drains and/or receiving waters (C.5.d.iii.(2))	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Discharges resolved in a timely manner (C.5.d.iii.(3))	<b>2</b>	<b>100%</b>
Comments: <b>None</b>		

<b>C.5.e.iii.(1) ► Control of Mobile Sources</b>
<p>(a) Provide your agency's minimum standards and BMPs for various types of mobile businesses (C.5.e.iii.(1)(a))</p> <p><b>The City of Foster City follows the minimum standards and BMPs described in the “Mobile Businesses - Best Management Practices” brochure developed by the SMCWPPP CII Subcommittee in in March 2015 for the following mobile business categories: automobile washers/detailers, power washers, carpet cleaners, steam cleaners, pet care services. The BMP brochure is included in the Program Annual Report.</b></p>
<p>(b) Provide your agency's enforcement strategy for mobile businesses (C.5.e.iii.(1)(b))</p> <p><b>Mobile food trucks are limited to a specific area in Foster City (weekly from April through October). The City’s Parks Department sweeps following each food truck event. Should the area need additional cleaning, power washing is done with all wash water collected and disposed of in the sanitary sewer, preventing any grease or debris from entering the storm drain system. All other food trucks contracted by businesses are required to obtain a City permit which would require clean-up following the event.</b></p> <p><b>City staff are instructed to report to their supervisors any illicit discharge found from mobile businesses, and the issue would be handled in accordance to the City’s Enforcement Response Plan (Figures 2 and 3 of the ERP).</b></p> <p><b>Enforcement actions stormwater inspectors may take are detailed in our Enforcement Response Plan (ERP). Due to the unique nature of mobile businesses it can be difficult to track enforcement of a single business across jurisdictions. The current strategy is for agencies to share information on mobile business enforcement actions with the SMCWPPP CII Subcommittee facilitator. The Subcommittee facilitator periodically updates the Mobile Business Enforcement Information table that resides on the members only section of the Program’s website (flowstobay.org).</b></p>
<p>(c) Provide a list and summary of the specific outreach events and education conducted by your agency to the different types of mobile businesses operating within your jurisdiction (C.5.e.iii.(1)(c))</p> <p><b>The Program developed a regional inventory of mobile businesses in the standard BMP categories listed in the “Mobile Businesses – Best Management Practices” brochure. The BMP brochure and a transmittal letter were mailed to the business. The Mobile Cleaner Businesses BMP brochure is posted on the SMCWPPP website. The CII Subcommittee also worked with the PIP Subcommittee to send outreach messages through social media. These activities are discussed in the SMCWPPP FY16-17 Annual Report.</b></p>

<p>(d) Provide number of inspections conducted at mobile businesses and/or job sites in 2016-2017 (C.5.e.iii.(1)(d)):</p>	<p><b>0</b></p>
<p>(e) Discuss enforcement actions taken against mobile businesses in 2016-2017 (C.5.e.iii.(1)(e))  <b>Enforcement actions are typically taken in response to a complaint or illicit discharge through our IDDE Program. Enforcement actions are tracked in the city's spill and discharge complaint tracking system required by MRP C.5.d.ii. This FY there were zero enforcement actions taken for mobile businesses.</b></p>	
<p>(f) List below or attach the list of mobile businesses operating within your agency's jurisdiction (C.5.e.iii.(1)(f))  <b>In FY16-17 the CII Subcommittee requested the Program compile a regional inventory of mobile businesses located in San Mateo County. The inventory was developed from individual city lists and internet searches of google, yelp and yellow pages. The inventory includes automotive washing, steam cleaning, power washing, pet grooming and carpet cleaning mobile businesses. The inventory will be periodically updated with mobile businesses stormwater inspectors observe during routine field activities. The inventory is available to all Co-permittees on the members only webpage of the SMCWPPP website. The inventory is included in the SMCWPPP FY16-17 Annual Report.</b></p>	
<p>(g) Provide a list and summary of the county-wide or regional activities conducted, including sharing of mobile business inventories, BMP requirements, enforcement action information, and education (C.5.e.iii.(1)(g))  <b>Refer to the C.5 Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination section of the SMCWPPP FY 16-17 Annual Report for description of activities at the countywide or regional level.</b></p>	



Section 6 – Provision C.6 Construction Site Controls

<b>C.6.e.iii.(3)(a)-(d) ► Site/Inspection Totals</b>			
<b>Number of active Hillside Sites (sites disturbing &lt; 1 acre of soil requiring storm water runoff quality inspection) (C.6.e.iii.(3)(a))</b>	<b>Number of High Priority Sites (sites disturbing &lt; 1 acre of soil requiring storm water runoff quality inspection) (C.6.e.iii.(3)(c))</b>	<b>Number of sites disturbing ≥ 1 acre of soil (C.6.e.iii.(3)(b))</b>	<b>Total number of storm water runoff quality inspections conducted (include only Hillside Sites, High Priority Sites and sites disturbing 1 acre or more) (C.6.e.iii.(3)(d))</b>
<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>67</b>
Comments: 1297 Chess Drive – Restaurant – 7 inspections 1299 Chess Drive – Hotel – 7 inspections 700 Foster City Blvd. – Foster Square – 8 inspections 88/1166 Triton Drive – Waverly – 11 inspections 200 Lincoln Centre Drive – BioMed – 10 inspections 309 Velocity Way – 7 inspections 357 Lakeside Drive – 8 inspections 324 Lakeside Drive – 9 inspections			

**C.6.e.iii.(3)(e) ► Construction Related Storm Water Enforcement Actions**

	Enforcement Action (as listed in ERP) <sup>56</sup>	Number Enforcement Actions Issued
Level 1 <sup>57</sup>	Verbal Warning	5
Level 2	Written Warning/Notice of Violation	3
Level 3	Notice to Comply	0
Level 4	Legal Action	0
<b>Total</b>		<b>8</b>

**C.6.e.iii.(3)(f) ► Illicit Discharges**

	Number
Number of illicit discharges, actual and those inferred through evidence at hillside sites, high priority sites and sites that disturb 1 acre or more of land (C.6.e.iii.(3)(f))	0

**C.6.e.iii.(3)(g) ► Corrective Actions**

Indicate your reporting methodology below.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Permittee reports multiple discrete potential and actual discharges as one enforcement action.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Permittee reports the total number of discrete potential and actual discharges on each site.

	Number
<b>Enforcement actions or discrete potential and actual discharges fully corrected within 10 business days after violations are discovered</b> or otherwise considered corrected in a timely period (C.6.e.iii.(3)(g)).	7
<b>Total number of enforcement actions or discrete potential and actual discharges for the reporting year</b>	<b>8</b>

**Comments:**

**Additional time was requested through a follow-up phone call to Contractor; Contractor scheduled additional crew thereafter to resolve.**

<sup>56</sup>Agencies should list the specific enforcement actions as defined in their ERPs.

<sup>57</sup>For example, Enforcement Level 1 may be Verbal Warning.

**C.6.e.iii.(4) ► Evaluation of Inspection Data**

Describe your evaluation of the tracking data and data summaries and provide information on the evaluation results (e.g., data trends, typical BMP performance issues, comparisons to previous years, etc.).

Description:  
**For all the sites, there were a total of five (5) verbal warnings given for Sediment Control Measures, Active Treatment System, Site Management, and Non-Stormwater Management. Three (3) of these five (5) verbal warnings required escalated enforcement to written warning/Notice of Violation until corrections were made to the satisfaction of the inspectors.**

**C.6.e.iii.(4) ► Evaluation of Inspection Program Effectiveness**

Describe what appear to be your program's strengths and weaknesses, and identify needed improvements, including education and outreach.

Description:  
**In FY 16-17, staff conducted inspections with the revised stormwater construction inspection forms and inspection data tracking spreadsheet. Staff also participated in the New Development Subcommittee. The City has established a procedure to provide to permit applicants the updated BMP plan sheet. The City also provided training opportunities to inspectors/staff. In FY 17-18, staff will be conducting inspections with the new form updated on August 9, 2017.**

**C.6.f.iii. ► Staff Training Summary**

Training Name	Training Dates	Topics Covered	No. of Inspectors in Attendance
CalBIG Training – 2016 Stormwater Requirements for Construction Sites	9/21/16	(i) Review of stormwater requirements for construction sites (ii) Documenting and tracking inspections (iii) When to take enforcement actions and when to escalate enforcement (iv) Tips for keeping your stormwater program in compliance (v) Mandatory/updated SMCWPPP guidelines/resources	4
Construction Site (C.6) & Post-Construction (C.3) Stormwater Inspection Workshop	2/1/17	(i) MRP C.6: FY 2016-17 Implementation (ii) Case Study: Local Coordination with Caltrans' Projects (iii) Inspecting Construction Sites	1

		(iv) MRP C.3.h: FY 2016-17 Implementation (v) Installation Inspection Experience (vi) O&M Inspection Experience	
<b>Certified Stormwater Inspector Training</b>	3/13/17 & 3/14/17	(i) Clean Water Act & NPDES (ii) MS 4 Permit (iii) Construction Permit (iv) Industrial Permit and Inspections (v) Stormwater Hydrology (vi) Sampling Made Simple (vii) The Good, the Bad, and the Ugly	2
<b>Stormwater Controls for Regulated Development Projects &amp; Green Infrastructure Projects</b>	6/21/17	(i) C.3 Regulated Projects – Basic Training on MRP Provision C.3 and LID (ii) C.3 Regulated Projects – Preparing and Reviewing Stormwater Control Plans (iii) C.3 Regulated Projects – Stormwater Control Measure Design and Sizing (iv) GI Projects – Overview of Provision C.3.j (GI) Requirements (v) GI Projects – Overview of New GI Guidance Documents (vi) GI Projects – Integration with Pedestrian & Cyclist Infrastructure (vii) School Districts and GI Projects (viii) GI Projects Group Exercise	1

**Section 7 – Provision C.7. Public Information and Outreach**

**C.7.b.i.(1) ► Outreach Campaign**

Summarize outreach campaign. Include details such as messages, creative developed, and outreach media used. The detailed outreach campaign report may be included as an attachment. If outreach campaign is being done by participation in a countywide or regional program, refer to the separate countywide or regional Annual Report.

Summary:

**See Section 7 and Section 9 of the SMCWPPP FY 16-17 Annual Report for a description of activities conducted at Countywide level.**

**C.7.c. ► Stormwater Pollution Prevention Education**

**No Change**

**C.7.d. ► Public Outreach and Citizen Involvement Events**

Describe general approach to event selection. Provide a list of outreach materials and giveaways distributed. Use the following table for reporting and evaluating public outreach events

See the C.7 Public Outreach and Citizen Involvement Events section of SMCWPPP FY 16-17 Annual Report for a summary of activities.

Event Details	Description (messages, audience)	Evaluation of Effectiveness
<p>cityFEST, a local event. The event was held at Leo J. Ryan Park, Foster City, June 2-4, 2017</p>	<p>The cityFEST is a community event with entertainment, rides and vendor booths. Comprehensive literature is available to attendees such as: – Too Toxic to Trash guides, Kids materials, IPM Pest information, proper oil disposal, and car washing BMP's and water way conservancy. Reusable shopping bags were also given away.</p>	<p>The event attendance was estimated to be over 40,000 with numerous visitors to the booth. As in past years, most of the questions were drought related. There was some interest in the too toxic guides. The audience was varied as there were lots of games for kids nearby as well as the wine section. There were also a lot of pest questions because it is summertime and many liked the fact sheet booklet.</p>
<p>E-Waste Recycling Event. The event was held at City Hall, Foster City, September 10, 2016</p>	<p>E-waste event hosted by City of Foster City, with disposal provided by Recology.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-CRT's: 18 units weighing 983 lbs.</li> <li>-Flat Screens: 26 units weighing 551 lbs.</li> <li>-CPU's: 553 lbs.</li> <li>-Laptops: 211 lbs.</li> <li>-Misc. e-waste: 3,595 lbs.</li> <li>-Fluorescent Tubes: 160 total feet of tubes</li> <li>-Light Bulbs (CFL's and incandescents): 80 units</li> <li>-Batteries: 96 lbs.</li> </ul>
<p>E-Waste Recycling Event. The event was held at City Hall, Foster City, May 6, 2017</p>	<p>E-waste event hosted by City of Foster City, with disposal provided by Recology.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-CRT's: 26 units weighing 1,294 lbs.</li> <li>-Flat Screens: 36 units weighing 605 lbs.</li> <li>-CPU's: 1,195 lbs.</li> <li>-Laptops: 215 lbs.</li> <li>-Misc. e-waste: 4,993 lbs.</li> <li>-Fluorescent Tubes: 168 total feet of tubes (42-4ft. tubes)</li> <li>-Light Bulbs (CFL's and incandescents): 174 units</li> </ul>

		-Batteries: 151 lbs.
Refer to the C.7 Section of SMCWPPP's FY 16-17 Annual Report for a description of Coastal Cleanup Day, September 17, 2016	While there were no Coastal Cleanup locations within Foster City, any volunteers would be referred to the nearby events in San Mateo or Redwood City. Based on the inspection of the Bayshore frontage along the City of Foster City from the City Limit with City of San Mateo to the San Mateo-Hayward Bridge did not reveal any trash needing removal.	See SMCWPPP's FY 16-17 Annual Report for participation numbers of countywide residents and program effectiveness.

**C.7.e. ► Watershed Stewardship Collaborative Efforts**

Summarize watershed stewardship collaborative efforts and/or refer to a regional report that provides details. Describe the level of effort and support given (e.g., funding only, active participation etc.). State efforts undertaken and the results of these efforts. If this activity is done regionally refer to a regional report.

Evaluate effectiveness by describing the following:

- Efforts undertaken
- Major accomplishments

Summary:  
**See the C.7 Watershed Stewardship Collaborative Efforts section of SMCWPPP FY 16-17 Annual Report for a summary of activities.**

**C.7.f. ► School-Age Children Outreach**

Summarize school-age children outreach programs implemented. A detailed report may be included as an attachment. Use the following table for reporting school-age children outreach efforts.

See the C.7 School-Age Children Outreach section of SMCWPPP FY 16-17 Annual Report for a summary of activities.

Program Details	Focus & Short Description	Number of Students/Teachers reached	Evaluation of Effectiveness
Earthcapades –  Elementary School – K-6th	School assemblies that teach water science and conservation to students, including local water source and watershed education and specific information pertaining to the EMID service area.	Foster City Library 1st–4th (~200 students)  Foster City Elementary K, 1st, 5th (250 students)  Brewer Island Elementary K-5th (690 students)  Ronald C. Wornick Jewish Day School 2nd – 6th Grade (165 students)	End of program evaluation surveys with teachers providing feedback to the program.
Refer to Countywide Program	Refer to Countywide Program	Refer to Countywide Program	Refer to Countywide Program



Section 9 – Provision C.9 Pesticides Toxicity Controls

<b>C.9.a. ► Implement IPM Policy or Ordinance</b>							
Is your municipality implementing its IPM Policy/Ordinance and Standard Operating Procedures?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
If no, explain:							
Report implementation of IPM BMPs by showing trends in quantities and types of pesticides used, and suggest reasons for increases in use of pesticides that threaten water quality, specifically organophosphates, pyrethroids, carbamates fipronil, indoxacarb, diuron, and diamides. A separate report can be attached as evidence of your implementation. <b>Starting FY 16-17, Permittees are required to report the total quantity of the active ingredient used, not the total quantity of product used.</b>							
<b>Trends in Quantities and Types of Pesticide Active Ingredients Used<sup>58</sup></b>							
Pesticide Category and Specific Pesticide Active Ingredient Used	Amount <sup>59</sup>						
	FY 15-16	FY 16-17	FY 17-18	FY 18-19	FY 19-20	FY 20-21	
<b>Organophosphates</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>					
Active Ingredient Chlorpyrifos		0					
Active Ingredient Diazinon		0					
Active Ingredient Malathion		0					
<b>Pyrethroids (see footnote #57 for list of active ingredients)</b>	<b>0</b>						
Active Ingredient Type X		0					
Active Ingredient Type Y		0					
<b>Carbamates</b>	<b>0</b>						
Active Ingredient Carbaryl		0					
Active Ingredient Aldicarb		0					
<b>Fipronil</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>					
<b>Indoxacarb</b>	Reporting	<b>8.46 grams</b>					

<sup>58</sup>Includes all municipal structural and landscape pesticide usage by employees and contractors.

<sup>59</sup>Weight or volume of the active ingredient, using same units for the product each year. Please specify units used. The active ingredients in any pesticide are listed on the label. The list of active ingredients that need to be reported in the pyrethroids class includes: metofluthrin, bifenthrin, cyfluthrin, beta-cyfluthrin, cypermethrin, deltamethrin, esfenvalerate, lambdacyhalothrin, and permethrin.

	not required in FY 15-16					
Diuron	Reporting not required in FY 15-16	0				
Diamides	Reporting not required in FY 15-16	0				
Active Ingredient Chlorantraniliprole		0				
Active Ingredient Cyantraniliprole		0				
<p>This past year we hired a pest control company to mitigate a Ground Squirrel problem.                  IPM Tactics used for Ground Squirrel abatement:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Several attempts were used, such as burying holes with dirt and concrete before hiring pest control company.</li> <li>• Burrow Fumigation and Bait Stations were used, instead of broadcast pesticides.</li> <li>• Bait-stations were capped off before any potential rainfall to prevent any contamination of any surrounding area.</li> <li>• Bait-stations are securely mounted and tied down.</li> </ul> <p>Clark Pest Control:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Use of baits and traps instead of broadcast pesticides</li> <li>• Preventive actions such as sealing holes and gaps in structures, improving sanitation</li> </ul> <p>IPM Tactics and Strategies used for <u>Weed Control</u>:</p> <p>Use of non-chemical strategies such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Monitoring</li> <li>• Mechanical Mowing and/or Line-trimming of weeds</li> <li>• Hand-pulling weeds</li> <li>• Mulching</li> </ul>						

<b>C.9.b. ► Train Municipal Employees</b>	
Enter the number of employees that applied or used pesticides (including herbicides) within the scope of their duties this reporting year.	<b>12</b>
Enter the number of these employees who received training on your IPM policy and IPM standard operating procedures within this reporting year.	<b>12</b>
Enter the percentage of municipal employees who apply pesticides who have received training in the IPM policy and IPM standard operating procedures within this reporting year.	<b>100%</b>
Type of Training: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>02-16-2017 - Staff Training on IPM &amp; Pesticide Safety, incl. IPM Policy and IPM SOP (16 Full Time Parks staff attendees + 2 p/t staff + 4 PW Staff)</b></li> <li>2. <b>03-08-2017 SMCSWPPP Landscape IPM Training held in Foster City. – 18 Parks Staff + 4 Contractor Staff attended.</b></li> <li>3. <b>Various Tail-gate meetings through-out the year, that doesn't get formerly recorded, but just is a matter of practice.</b></li> <li>4. <b>The City's Parks Dept has 5 staff employees (+1 part time) that are QAC certified. 1 Parks Manager is PCA certified as well.</b></li> <li>5. <b>All QAC's and PCA attends minimal 1-2 additional IPM classes through-out the year. (PAPA, CAPCA, ISA/Arboriculture Seminars, etc.)</b></li> <li>6. <b>This past year we had 4 Parks Staff complete the Green Gardener Training in the South Bay.</b></li> <li>7. <b>Among Parks Staff are also 2 Master Gardeners and 2 Arborists, who also attends various IPM Trainings through-out the year.</b></li> </ol>	

<b>C.9.c. ► Require Contractors to Implement IPM</b>			
Did your municipality contract with any pesticide service provider in the reporting year, for either landscaping or structural pest control?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
If yes, did your municipality evaluate the contractor's list of pesticides and amounts of active ingredients used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>If your municipality contracted with any pesticide service provider, briefly describe how contractor compliance with IPM Policy/Ordinance and SOPs was monitored</p> <p><b>The City of Foster City's IPM Policy and contract specifications require that contractors follow IPM techniques, and use pesticides only as a last resort. This is routinely conveyed to the contractor via on-site meetings, phone calls, and/or emails.</b></p> <p><b>The City of Foster City's Landscape Maintenance Contractor has attended the Countywide IPM Training Annually for the past several years, with 2-4 Contract staff members present.</b></p> <p><b>Contractors are required to obtain City of Foster City staff's approval before applying pesticides.</b></p> <p><b>City of Foster City staff conducts field visits to confirm the use of IPM methods, such as monitoring for pests and use of alternative methods.</b></p> <p>1) The City contracts with Clark Pest Control for in-and outside building pesticide treatments. Our contact is:            Aaron Laurant            Clark Pest Control            Office (650) 596-1270  <a href="mailto:alaurant@clarkpest.com">alaurant@clarkpest.com</a>            Clark Pest Control is "Green Pro" and "Quality Pro" Certified.</p> <p>2) This year, the City of Foster City contracted with ADM Animal Damage Management for Ground Squirrel abatement. This process was closely monitored by staff via field visits on-site as well as phone calls. Our contact with ADM is:            Steve Aguilar, Area Manager            ADM – Animal Damage Management            Office: (800) 292-9845</p> <p>Overall, Staff has routine communication with contractors, (Structural as well as Landscape contractor), reviews list of pesticides and control methods, evaluates alternative measures, to ensure only approved pesticides are used, and only after IPM methods were tried. City staff verifies that pest monitoring and non-chemical methods of pest control are employed prior to pesticide usage.</p>			

<b>C.9.d. ► Interface with County Agricultural Commissioners</b>			
Did your municipality communicate with the County Agricultural Commissioner to: (a) get input and assistance on urban pest management practices and use of pesticides or (b) inform them of water quality issues related to pesticides?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
<p>If yes, summarize the communication. If no, explain.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) See Section 9 of the SMCWPPP FY 16-17 Annual Report for summary of communication with the San Mateo County Agricultural Commissioner.</li> <li>2) The County Ag Commissioner performs Head-Quarter’s inspection annually, and answers any questions that might come up during that inspection.</li> <li>3) Also, Park Staff attends several meetings through-out the year, where the County Ag Commissioner staff presents Laws and Regulations and other valuable information.</li> </ol>			
Did your municipality report any observed or citizen-reported violations of pesticide regulations (e.g., illegal handling and applications of pesticides) associated with stormwater management, particularly the California Department of Pesticide Regulation (DPR) surface water protection regulations for outdoor, nonagricultural use of pyrethroid pesticides by any person performing pest control for hire?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<p>If yes, provide a summary of improper pesticide usage reported to the County Agricultural Commissioner and follow-up actions taken to correct any violations. A separate report can be attached as your summary.</p> <p><b>NA</b></p>			

<b>C.9.e.ii.(1) ► Public Outreach: Point of Purchase</b>	
Provide a summary of public outreach at point of purchase, and any measurable awareness and behavior changes resulting from outreach (here or in a separate report); <b>OR</b> reference a report of a regional effort for public outreach in which your agency participates.	
<p>Summary:</p> <p><b>See the C.9 Pesticides Toxicity Control section of the SMCWPPP FY 16-17 Annual Report for information on point of purchase public outreach conducted countywide and regionally.</b></p>	

**C.9.e.ii.(2) ► Public Outreach: Pest Control Contracting Outreach**

Provide a summary of outreach to residents who use or contract for structural pest control and landscape professionals); **AND/OR** reference a report of a regional effort for outreach to residents who hire pest control and landscape professionals in which your agency participates.

Summary:

**See the C.9 Pesticides Toxicity Control section of the SMCWPPP FY 16-17 Annual Report for a summary of public outreach to residents who hire pest control and landscape professionals.**

**C.9.e.ii.(3) ► Public Outreach: Pest Control Operators**

Provide a summary of public outreach to pest control operators and landscapers and reduced pesticide use (here or in a separate report); **AND/OR** reference a report of a regional effort for outreach to pest control operators and landscapers in which your agency participates.

Summary:

**See the C.9 Pesticides Toxicity Control section of SMCWPPP FY 16-17 Annual Report for a summary of outreach to pest control operators and landscapers to reduce pesticide use.**

**C.9.f. ► Track and Participate in Relevant Regulatory Processes**

Summarize participation efforts, information submitted, and how regulatory actions were affected; **AND/OR** reference a regional report that summarizes regional participation efforts, information submitted, and how regulatory actions were affected.

Summary:

**During FY 16-17, we participated in regulatory processes related to pesticides through contributions to the Program, BASMAA and CASQA. For additional information, see the Regional Report submitted by BASMAA on behalf of all MRP Permittees.**

**Section 10 - Provision C.10 Trash Load Reduction**

**C.10.a.i ► Trash Load Reduction Summary**

For population-based Permittees, provide the overall trash reduction percentage achieved to-date within the jurisdictional area of your municipality that generates problematic trash levels (i.e., Very High, High or Moderate trash generation). Base the reduction percentage on the information presented in C.10.b i-iv and C.10.e.i-ii. Provide a discussion of the calculation used to produce the reduction percentage, including whether the 70% mandatory trash load reduction deadline was attained. If not attained, attach and include reference to a Plan to comply with the deadline in a timely manner, which should include the Permittee’s plan and schedule to install full capture systems/devices.

<b>Trash Load Reductions</b>	
Percent Trash Reduction in All Trash Management Areas (TMAs) due to <b>Trash Full Capture Systems</b> (as reported C.10.b.i)	16.3%

Percent Trash Reduction in all TMAs due to <b>Control Measures Other than Trash Full Capture Systems</b> (as reported in C.10.b.ii) <sup>1</sup>	57.6%
Percent Trash Reduction due to <b>Jurisdictional-wide Source Control Actions</b> (as reported in C.10.b.iv) <sup>1</sup>	10.0%
<b>SubTotal for Above Actions</b>	<b>83.9%</b>
<b>Trash Offsets (Optional)</b>	
Offset Associated with Additional Creek and Shoreline Cleanups (as reported in C.10.e.i)	0.0%
Offset Associated with Direct Trash Discharges (as reported in C.10.e.ii)	0.0%
<b>Total (Jurisdictional-wide) % Trash Load Reduction in FY 16-17</b>	<b>83.9%</b>

**Discussion of Trash Load Reduction Calculation and Attainment of the 70% Mandatory Deadline:**  
 The City attained and reported an 84% trash load reduction in its FY 15-16 Annual Report, exceeding the non-mandatory performance guideline of 60% by July 1, 2016. In FY 16-17, the City attained an 84% trash load reduction (including trash offsets), which exceeds the mandatory trash load reduction requirement of 70% by July 1, 2017. Descriptions of the actions taken to reduce trash in the City are summarized in this section of the annual report. Methods used to calculate the reduction are consistent with the methods described in the MRP.

The City anticipates installing a Trash Full Capture System to treat TMA 1 prior to the end of FY 2018-2019.

<sup>1</sup> See Appendix 10-1 for changes in trash levels by TMA between 2009 and FY 16-17.

<b>C.10.a.iii ► Mandatory Trash Full Capture Systems</b>		
Provide the following:		
1) Total number and types of full capture systems (publicly and privately-owned) installed prior to FY 16-17, during FY 16-17, and to-date, including inlet-based and large flow-through or end-of-pipe systems, and qualifying low impact development (LID) required by permit provision C.3.		
2) Total land area (acres) treated by full capture systems for population-based Permittees and total number of systems for non-population based Permittees compared to the total required by the permit.		
<b>Type of System</b>	<b># of Systems</b>	<b>Areas Treated (Acres)</b>
<b>Installed Prior to FY 16-17</b>		
Storm Flo Screen (Public)	1	159.4
<b>Installed in FY 16-17</b>		
NA	NA	NA
<b>Total for all Systems Installed To-date</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>159.4</b>
<b>Treatment Acreage Required by Permit (Population-based Permittees)</b>		<b>20</b>
<b>Total # of Systems Required by Permit (Non-population-based Permittees)</b>		<b>N/A</b>



**C.10.b.i ► Trash Reduction - Full Capture Systems**

Provide the following:

- 1) Jurisdiction-wide trash reduction in FY 16-17 attributable to trash full capture systems implemented in each TMA;
- 2) The total number of full capture systems installed to-date in your jurisdiction;
- 3) The percentage of systems in FY 16-17 that exhibited significant plugged/blinded screens or were >50% full when inspected or maintained;
- 4) A narrative summary of any maintenance issues and the corrective actions taken to avoid future full capture system performance issues; and
- 5) A certification that each full capture system is operated and maintained to meet the full capture system requirements in the permit.

TMA	Jurisdiction-wide Reduction (%)	Total # of Full Capture Systems	% of Systems Exhibiting Plugged/Blinded Screens or >50% full in FY 16-17	Summary of Maintenance Issues and Corrective Actions
1	0.0%	1	<10%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Currently, the lone device the City is a customized application of a Roscoe Moss Device. The device was constructed with the same materials used in their standard system only it was installed in a vertical instead of horizontal direction. The device is checked for trash accumulation at least weekly. The device was installed late in FY13/14 and weekly inspections indicate that the device is working correctly. This device treats 159 acres to the minimum of 5 mm level.</li> <li>• Maintenance of the lone full trash capture device includes bi-weekly inspection and removal of any floating debris.</li> <li>• Maintenance crews continue to visually inspect all catch basins located in public right-of-way and remove debris as needed.</li> <li>• Two special items to note. 1) The storm drain system in Foster City is entirely submerged. Any plastic bottles or floating trash that enters through a storm inlet remains trapped in the storm inlet. The pipe is connected below the waterline. 2) It should also be noted that unlike traditional stormwater catch basins, catch basins in Foster City should be more correctly identified and detention basins. Each catch basin has a 12" sump. The benefit of the "sump" is that any material that enters the catch basin that doesn't float sinks to the sump area and is not conveyed into the stormwater pipes.</li> </ul>
2	16.3%			
3	0.0%			
4	0.0%			
5	0.0%			
<b>Total</b>	<b>16.3%</b>			

**Certification Statement:**

The City of Foster City certifies that a full capture system maintenance and operation program is currently being implemented to maintain all applicable systems in manner that meets the full capture system requirements included in the Permit.

•

**C.10.b.ii ► Trash Reduction – Other Trash Management Actions (PART A)**

Provide a summary of trash control actions other than full capture systems or jurisdictional source controls that were implemented within each TMA, including the types of actions, levels and areal extent of implementation, and whether actions are new, including initiation date.

TMA	Summary of Trash Control Actions Other than Full Capture Systems
1-5	Street Sweeping – Weekly street sweeping of commercial area
1-5	Storm Drain Inlet Cleaning – Annual cleaning of storm drain inlets throughout the City

**C.10.b.ii ► Trash Reduction – Other Trash Management Actions (PART B)**

Provide the following:

- 1) A summary of the on-land visual assessments in each TMA (or control measure area), including the street miles or acres available for assessment (i.e., those associated with VH, H, or M trash generation areas not treated by full capture systems), the street miles or acres assessed, the % of available street miles or acres assessed, and the average number of assessments conducted per site within the TMA; and
- 2) Percent jurisdictional-wide trash reduction in FY 16-17 attributable to trash management actions other than full capture systems implemented in each TMA.

TMA ID <i>or (as applicable)</i> Control Measure Area	Total Street Miles <sup>2</sup> Available for Assessment	Summary of On-land Visual Assessments <sup>3</sup>			Jurisdictional-wide Reduction (%)
		Street Miles Assessed	% of Applicable Street Miles Assessed	Average # of Assessments Conducted at Each Site <sup>4</sup>	
<b>1</b>	0.75	0.43	57.54%	7.0	22.0%
<b>2</b>	0.08	0.08	100.00%	8.0	14.8%
<b>3</b>	0.39	0.39	100.00%	7.0	18.9%
<b>4</b>	0.57	0.40	69.43%	6.0	1.9%
<b>5*</b>	0.00	NA	NA	NA	NA
<b>Total</b>		<b>1.30</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>57.6%</b>

\*TMA 5 is comprised entirely of low trash generating areas.

<sup>2</sup> Linear feet are defined as the street length and do not include street median curbs.

<sup>3</sup> Assessments conducted between July 2015 and July 2017 are assumed to be representative of trash levels in FY 16-17 and were therefore used to calculate the jurisdictional-wide reductions reported in this section.

<sup>4</sup> Each assessment site is roughly 1,000 feet in length.

**C.10.b.iv ► Trash Reduction – Source Controls**

Provide a description of each jurisdictional-wide trash source control action implemented to-date. For each control action, identify the trash reduction evaluation method(s) used to demonstrate on-going reductions, summarize the results of the evaluation(s), and estimate the associated reduction of trash within your jurisdictional area. Note: There is a maximum of 10% total credit for source controls.

Source Control Action	Summary Description & Dominant Trash Sources and Types Targeted	Evaluation/Enforcement Method(s)	Summary of Evaluation/Enforcement Results To-date	% Reduction	Total Reduction Credit (%)
Single Use Bag Ordinance	<p>Reusable Bag Ordinance, adopted April 22, 2013. Ordinance #571</p> <p>Retail establishments in Foster City have been prohibited from distributing free single use carryout bags. Single use paper bags with minimum recycled content or reusable bags may be sold for a minimum charge of \$0.10.</p> <p>The City Council adopted the County of San Mateo's ordinance (as have many jurisdictions in the County) and the County enforces the ordinance. The County has set up a website with tips for business owners and residents. All retail establishments, including, but not limited to grocery, clothing, convenience, pharmacy stores in San Mateo County are affected.</p>	<p>On behalf of all SMCWPPP Permittees, the County of San Mateo conducted assessments evaluating the effectiveness of the single-use plastic bag ban in municipalities within San Mateo County. Assessments conducted by the County included audits of businesses and surveys of customer bag usage at many businesses in San Mateo County. Additionally, the number of complaints by customers was also tracked by the County. The results of assessments conducted by these cities are assumed to be representative of all SMCWPPP Permittees, given the consistency between the scope, implementation, and enforcement of the ordinances among the municipalities.</p> <p>Foster City developed its % trash reduced estimate using the following assumptions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1.) Single-use plastic bags comprise 8% of the trash discharged from stormwater conveyances, based on the Regional Trash Generation Study conducted by BASMAA;</li> <li>2.) 95% of the single-use plastic bags distributed in the City are affected by the implementation of the ordinance, based on the County of San Mateo's EIR; and</li> <li>3.) Of the bags affected by the ordinance, there are now 90% less bags being distributed, based on customer complaints received by the County's Department of Environmental Health Services.</li> </ol>	<p>Results of assessments conducted by the County of San Mateo on behalf of all municipalities in San Mateo County indicate that Foster City's ordinance is effective in reducing the number of single-use plastic bags in stormwater discharges. This preliminary conclusion is based on the very small number of complaints received from customers about businesses in San Mateo County that are continuing to use single-use plastic bags after ordinances were adopted. Assuming single-use plastic bags are 8% of the trash observed in stormwater discharges, Foster City concludes that there has been a 7% (i.e. 8% x 86% effectiveness in reducing bags) reduction in trash in stormwater discharges as a result of Foster City's ordinance.</p> <p>The effectiveness of the single-use plastic bag ordinance is also witnessed by the reduced number of plastic bags found in Trash Hot Spot FCY01.</p>	<b>7%</b>	<b>10.0%</b>

**C.10.b.iv ► Trash Reduction – Source Controls**

Provide a description of each jurisdictional-wide trash source control action implemented to-date. For each control action, identify the trash reduction evaluation method(s) used to demonstrate on-going reductions, summarize the results of the evaluation(s), and estimate the associated reduction of trash within your jurisdictional area. Note: There is a maximum of 10% total credit for source controls.

<p>Expanded Polystyrene Food Service Ware Ordinance</p>	<p>Polystyrene ban for restaurants and food vendors, adopted October 17, 2011. Ordinance #567</p>	<p>Although Foster City has adopted and implemented an ordinance prohibiting the distribution of EPS food ware by food vendors, evaluations of the effectiveness of the ordinance have not yet been conducted. For the purpose of estimating trash reductions in stormwater discharges associated with the ordinance, the results of assessments conducted by the cities of Los Altos and Palo Alto were used to represent the reduction of trash associated with Foster City's ordinance. Assessments conducted by these cities were conducted prior to and following the effective date of their ordinances, and include audits of businesses and/or assessments of EPS food ware observed on streets, storm drains and local creeks. The results of assessments conducted by these cities are assumed to be representative of the effectiveness of the Foster City's ordinance because the implementation (including enforcement) of Foster City's ordinance is similar to the City of Los Altos' and Palo Alto's.</p> <p>Foster City developed its % trash reduced estimate using the following assumptions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1.) EPS food ware comprises 6% of the trash discharged from stormwater conveyances, based on the Regional Trash Generation Study conducted by BASMAA;</li> <li>2) 80% of EPS food ware distributed by food vendors or sold via stores in Foster City is affected by the implementation of the ordinance; and</li> <li>3) There is now 95% less EPS food ware being distributed, sold and/or observed in the environment, based on assessments conducted by the City of Palo Alto and City of Los Altos.</li> </ol>	<p>Results of assessments that are representative of Foster City, but were conducted by the cities of Los Altos and Palo Alto, indicate that Foster City's ordinance is effective in reducing EPS food ware in stormwater discharges. This conclusion is based on the following assessment result - an average of 95% of businesses affected by the ordinance is no longer distributing EPS food ware post-ordinance. Based on these results, the estimated average reduction of EPS food ware in stormwater discharges is 90%. Assuming EPS food ware is 6% of the trash observed in stormwater discharges, Foster City concludes that there has been a 5% (i.e., 6% x 90%) reduction in trash in stormwater discharges as a result of the ordinance.</p>	<p>5%</p>	
---	---	--	--	-----------	--

**C.10.c ► Trash Hot Spot Cleanups**

Provide the FY 16-17 cleanup date and volume of trash removed during each MRP-required Trash Hot Spot cleanup during each fiscal year listed. Indicate whether the site was a new site in FY 16-17.

Trash Hot Spot	New Site in FY 16-17 (Y/N)	FY 16-17 Cleanup Date(s)	Volume of Trash Removed (cubic yards)				
			FY 2012-13	FY 2013-14	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17
FCY01	N	08/17/16	1.0	1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0

Upon evaluation of the lagoon and bay shoreline, FCY01 was the only trash hot spot identified.

**C.10.d ► Long-Term Trash Load Reduction Plan**

Provide descriptions of significant revisions made to your Long-term Trash Load Reduction Plan submitted to the Water Board in February 2014. Describe significant changes made to primary or secondary trash management areas (TMA), baseline trash generation maps, control measures, or time schedules identified in your plan. Indicate whether your baseline trash generation map was revised and if so what information was collected to support the revision. If your baseline trash generation map was revised, attach it to your Annual Report.

<b>Description of Significant Revision</b>	<b>Associated TMA</b>
<p>In FY 15-16, consistent with all MRP Permittees, all public K-12 schools, college and university parcels were made non-jurisdictional on the City's baseline trash generation maps. Under California Government Code Sections 4450 through 4461, the construction, modification, or alternation of facilities and/or structures on these parcels are under the jurisdiction of the California Division of State Architect and not the City. The public right-of-way (e.g., streets and sidewalks) surrounding these parcels remain as jurisdictional on the City's baseline trash generation maps. Revised maps that incorporate these revisions were included as Appendix 10-2 to the City's FY 2015-2016 Annual Report.</p>	<p>All applicable</p>

**C.10.e. ► Trash Reduction Offsets (Optional)**

Provide a summary description of each offset program implemented, the volume of trash removed, and the offset claimed in FY 16-17. Also, for additional creek and shoreline cleanups, describe the number and frequency of cleanups conducted, and the locations and cleanup dates. For direct discharge control programs approved by the Water Board Executive Officer, also describe the results of the assessments conducted in receiving waters to demonstrate the effectiveness of the control program. Include an Appendix that provides the calculations and data used to determine the trash reduction offset.

Offset Program	Summary Description of Actions and Assessment Results	Volume of Trash (CY) Removed/Controlled in FY 16-17	Offset (% Jurisdiction-wide Reduction)
Additional Creek and Shoreline Cleanups (Max 10% Offset)	NA	NA	NA
Direct Trash Discharge Controls (Max 15% Offset)	NA	NA	NA



Appendix 10-1. Baseline trash generation and areas addressed by full capture systems and other control measures in Fiscal Year 16-17.

TMA	2009 Baseline Trash Generation (Acres)					Trash Generation (Acres) in FY 16-17 After Accounting for Full Capture Systems					Jurisdiction-wide Reduction via Full Capture Systems (%)	Trash Generation (Acres) in FY 16-17 After Accounting for Full Capture Systems and Other Control Measures					Jurisdiction-wide Reduction via Other Control Measures (%)	Jurisdiction-wide Reduction via Full Capture AND Other Control Measures (%)
	L	M	H	VH	Total	L	M	H	VH	Total		L	M	H	VH	Total		
1	0	37	0	0	37	0	37	0	0	37	0%	24	13	0	0	37	22%	22%
2	0	39	0	0	39	18	21	0	0	39	16.3%	34	5	0	0	39	14.8%	31.1%
3	0	26	0	0	26	0	26	0	0	26	0%	21	5	0	0	26	18.9%	18.9%
4	0	7	0	0	7	0	7	0	0	7	0%	4	3	1	0	7	1.9%	1.9%
5	2,151	0	0	0	2,151	2,151	0	0	0	2,151	0%	2,151	0	0	0	2,151	0%	0%
Totals	2,151	109	0	0	2,260	2,169	91	0	0	2,260	16.3%	2,234	26	1	0	2,260	57.5%	73.9%

Section 11 - Provision C.11 Mercury Controls

**C.11.a. ► Implement Control Measures to Achieve Mercury Load Reductions**  
**C.11.b. ► Assess Mercury Load Reductions from Stormwater**

See the Program's FY 2016-17 Annual Report for:

- Documentation of mercury control measures implemented in our agency's jurisdictional area for which load reductions will be reported and the associated management areas;
- A description of how the BASMAA Interim Accounting Methodology<sup>61</sup> was used to calculate the mercury load reduced by each control measure implemented in our agency's jurisdictional area and the calculation results (i.e., the estimated mercury load reduced by each control measure); and
- Supporting data and information necessary to substantiate the load reduction estimates.

**C.11.c. ► Plan and Implement Green Infrastructure to Reduce Mercury Loads**

If the regional or countywide mercury load reductions required by this sub-provision via Green Infrastructure by the end of the permit term are not met, will Permittees in your county use the default population-based method to calculate the portion of the countywide load reduction required of each Permittee?

<b>X</b>	<b>Yes</b>		<b>No</b>
----------	------------	--	-----------

**C.11.e. ► Implement a Risk Reduction Program**

A summary of Program and regional accomplishments for this sub-provision are included in the C.11 Mercury Controls section of the Program's FY 2016-17 Annual Report and/or a BASMAA regional report.

<sup>61</sup>BASMAA 2017. Interim Accounting Methodology for TMDL Loads Reduced, Version 1.0. Prepared for BASMAA by Geosyntec Consultants and EOA, Inc., September 19, 2016.

Section 12 - Provision C.12 PCBs Controls

**C.12.a. ► Implement Control Measures to Achieve PCBs Load Reductions**  
**C.12.b. ► Assess PCBs Load Reductions from Stormwater**

See the Program's FY 2016-17 Annual Report for:

- Documentation of PCBs control measures implemented in our agency's jurisdictional area for which load reductions will be reported and the associated management areas;
- A description of how the BASMAA Interim Accounting Methodology<sup>62</sup> was used to calculate the PCBs load reduced by each control measure implemented in our agency's jurisdictional area and the calculation results (i.e., the estimated PCBs load reduced by each control measure); and
- Supporting data and information necessary to substantiate the load reduction estimates.

If the regional and countywide PCBs load reductions required by C.12.a are not met, will Permittees in your county use the default population-based method to calculate the portion of the countywide load reduction required of each Permittee?	<b>X</b>	<b>Yes</b>		<b>No</b>
--	----------	------------	--	-----------

**C.12.f. ► Manage PCB-Containing Materials and Wastes During Building Demolition Activities So That PCBs Do Not Enter Municipal Storm Drains**

A summary of Program and regional accomplishments for this sub-provision is included in the C.12 PCBs Controls section of Program's FY 2016-17 Annual Report and/or a BASMAA regional report.

Does your agency plan to seek exemption from this requirement?		<b>Yes</b>	<b>X</b>	<b>No</b>
--	--	------------	----------	-----------

<sup>62</sup>BASMAA 2017. Interim Accounting Methodology for TMDL Loads Reduced, Version 1.0. Prepared for BASMAA by Geosyntec Consultants and EOA, Inc., September 19, 2016.

**C.12.g. ► Fate and Transport Study of PCBs: Urban Runoff Impact on San Francisco Bay Margins**

A summary of Program and regional accomplishments for this sub-provision are included in the C.12 PCBs Controls section of the Program's FY 2016-17 Annual Report and/or a BASMAA regional report.

**C.12.h. ► Implement a Risk Reduction Program**

A summary of Program and regional accomplishments for this sub-provision are included in the C.12 PCBs Controls section of the Program's FY 2016-17 Annual Report and/or a BASMAA regional report.

Section 13 - Provision C.13 Copper Controls

**C.13.a.iii. ► Manage Waste Generated from Cleaning and Treating of Copper Architectural Features**

Provide summaries of permitting and enforcement activities to manage waste generated from cleaning and treating of copper architectural features, including copper roofs, during construction and post-construction.

Summary:

**Foster City's building permit applications are reviewed for the use of copper architectural features. The use of copper architectural features is only allowed if the copper is painted. This prevents the copper from entering the storm system.**

**Enforcement actions for noncompliance follow the City's Enforcement Response Plan. There were no enforcement actions for noncompliance copper architectural features BMPs during the FY 16-17 reporting period. Inspectors are instructed to look for exposed architectural copper. If exposed, the City requires the owner to paint the architectural copper to prevent it from entering the storm system. There was no instance of architectural copper was found in FY 16-17.**

**C.13.b.iii. ► Manage Discharges from Pools, Spas, and Fountains that Contain Copper-Based Chemicals**

Provide summaries of any enforcement activities related to copper-containing discharges from pools, spas, and fountains.

Summary:

**In FY 16-17, Public Works staff did not receive any requests to discharge pools, spas, or fountain water.**

**Upon review of our Provision C.5 illicit discharge inspection data we found no enforcement activities related to copper-containing discharges from pools, spas, and fountains.**

**C.13.c.iii. ► Industrial Sources Copper Reduction Results**

Based upon inspection activities conducted under Provision C.4, highlight copper reduction results achieved among the facilities identified as potential users or sources of copper, facilities inspected, and BMPs addressed.

Summary:

**There were no industries as possible sources of copper inspected this FY as part of C.4**

Section 15 -Provision C.15 Exempted and Conditionally Exempted Discharges

**C.15.b.vi.(2) ► Irrigation Water, Landscape Irrigation, and Lawn or Garden Watering**

Provide implementation summaries of the required BMPs to promote measures that minimize runoff and pollutant loading from excess irrigation. Generally the categories are:

- Promote conservation programs
- Promote outreach for less toxic pest control and landscape management
- Promote use of drought tolerant and native vegetation
- Promote outreach messages to encourage appropriate watering/irrigation practices
- Implement Illicit Discharge Enforcement Response Plan for ongoing, large volume landscape irrigation runoff.

Summary:

- **Promote conservation programs - Foster City adopted the Outdoor Landscape Efficiency Ordinance in January 2010. The City also promotes conservation programs including Irrigation controllers and synthetic turf rebate programs through water bill inserts and application materials in public places.**
- **Although the State has lifted mandatory reductions for water agencies, the City continues to encourage its customers to reduce outdoor landscape watering. Should the State modify its water reduction targets, the City will react accordingly.**
- **Promote outreach for less toxic pest control and landscape management - The City uses IPM methods in its parks maintenance and all contracts for City landscape and building pest control contractors are required to use of IPM (refer to Section C.9).**
- **Promote use of drought tolerant and native vegetation - The City participates in the “Lawn Be Gone” program and has Tiered water rates which results in reduced outside water use. Interested residents/HOAs can find detailed information of the program on our City website.**
- **Implement Illicit Discharge Enforcement Response Plan for ongoing, large volume landscape irrigation runoff. - The City has a code enforcement person that will contact property owner for water wasting.**

**Attachment 1**  
**City Resolution No. 2017-37**

RESOLUTION NO. 2017-37

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF FOSTER CITY APPROVING A WORKPLAN TO DEVELOP A GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PLAN IN ACCORDANCE WITH PROVISION C.3.J OF THE MUNICIPAL REGIONAL STORMWATER NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM PERMIT

CITY OF FOSTER CITY

WHEREAS, the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board's Municipal Regional Permit (MRP) regulates stormwater discharges from municipal storm drain systems throughout San Mateo County, including the City of Foster City; and

WHEREAS, Provision C.3.j of the MRP requires each permittee to develop a Green Infrastructure Plan that demonstrates how permittees will gradually shift from the traditional "gray" storm drain infrastructure, which channels polluted runoff directly into receiving waters without treatment to a more resilient and sustainable storm drain system comprised of "green" infrastructure that captures, stores, and treats stormwater; and

WHEREAS, the MRP also requires that Green Infrastructure Plans be collectively designed to achieve specific reductions in mercury and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) within specific time horizons; and

WHEREAS, all permittees under the MRP are required to approve a workplan for developing a Green Infrastructure Plan by June 30, 2017; and

WHEREAS, the City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County (C/CAG) has been working with its member agencies, including the City of Foster City, to develop model green infrastructure planning documents, including a model workplan; and

WHEREAS, the City of Foster City's workplan details the required tasks to develop a Green Infrastructure Plan compliant with MRP requirements, including those aspects that will be implemented by C/CAG and those by local agencies; and

WHEREAS, it is the intent of the City of Foster City to allocate sufficient resources to ensure the timely development of a Green Infrastructure Plan in accordance with MRP requirements; and

WHEREAS, the City of Foster City is committed to complying with requirements of the MRP.



NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the City Council of the City of Foster City hereby approves the workplan for developing a Green Infrastructure Plan through FY 2019/2020.

PASSED AND ADOPTED as a resolution of the City Council of the City of Foster City at the regular meeting held on the 5th day of June, 2017, by the following vote:

AYES: Councilmembers Hindi, Mahanpour, Perez, Pollard and Mayor Bronitsky

NOES: None

ABSENT: None

ABSTAIN: None



\_\_\_\_\_  
CHARLIE BRONITSKY, MAYOR

ATTEST:



\_\_\_\_\_  
DORIS L. PALMER, CITY CLERK

**Attachment 2**  
**BIP Complete Inventory List**

## B-2 – Complete Facility List (as of 7/1/17)

### Medium Priority Businesses (Inspected Every 2 Years)

Name	Site Address	Facility ID	Record ID	Inspected by	Inspection Freq.
5 JOY RESTAURANT	1489 BEACH PARK	FA0002529	PR0070128	CEH	2 Yrs.
99 RANCH MARKET	1070 FOSTER CITY	FA0026510	PR0070106	CEH	2 Yrs.
ABC SEAFOOD RESTAURANT	973 HILLSDALE	FA0006100	PR0069881	CEH	2 Yrs.
AKISU SUSHI BAR AND GRILL	1457 BEACH PARK	FA0059136	PR0081861	CEH	2 Yrs.
ARCO 06139	880 E HILLSDALE	FA0018066	PR0069734	CEH	2 Yrs.
ASCEND GENOMICS	320 HATCH	FA0054938	PR0077367	CEH	2 Yrs.
AUDUBON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	841 GULL	FA0011634	PR0070159	CEH	2 Yrs.
BAYSHORE AMBULANCE	370 HATCH	FA0029717	PR0069727	CEH	2 Yrs.
BOWDITCH MIDDLE SCHOOL	1450 TARPON	FA0037654	PR0069722	CEH	2 Yrs.
BREWER ISLAND SCHOOL	1151 POLYNESIA	FA0024989	PR0070120	CEH	2 Yrs.
BUDGET TRUCK RENTAL, LLC	1133 CHESS	FA0028334	PR0069717	CEH	2 Yrs.
BURI TARA THAI CUISINE	939 EDGEWATER	FA0051598	PR0071912	CEH	2 Yrs.
CAFE AT THE J	800 FOSTER CITY	FA0028456	PR0070155	CEH	2 Yrs.
CAFE SAVINI	950 TOWER	FA0005220	PR0070171	CEH	2 Yrs.
CALTRANS-WEST BAY REGION	380 FOSTER CITY	FA0009596	PR0069728	CEH	2 Yrs.
CARL'S JR #705	1140 TRITON	FA0002146	PR0070117	CEH	2 Yrs.
CHALET TICINO	1058 SHELL	FA0010237	PR0070102	CEH	2 Yrs.
CHATIME	969 EDGEWATER	FA0055023	PR0075900	CEH	2 Yrs.
CHEVRON STATION	1101 HILLSDALE	FA0018062	PR0069716	CEH	2 Yrs.
CHEVRON STATION # 9-2600	1101 HILLSDALE	FA0025217	PR0070114	CEH	2 Yrs.
CHOW DOWN CATERING	1064 SHELL	FA0051337	PR0071324	CEH	2 Yrs.
CITY OF FC-LIFT STATION 1	PILGRIM	FA0028838	PR0069747	CEH	2 Yrs.
CITY OF FC-LIFT STATION 9	HILLSDALE	FA0028837	PR0069742	CEH	2 Yrs.
CITY OF FC-LIFT STATION 10	GULL	FA0028836	PR0069744	CEH	2 Yrs.
CITY OF FC-LIFT STATION 12	KILDEER	FA0028835	PR0069745	CEH	2 Yrs.
CITY OF FC-LIFT STATION 14	TURNSTONE	FA0028834	PR0069750	CEH	2 Yrs.
CITY OF FC-LIFT STATION 15	CHESS	FA0028833	PR0069746	CEH	2 Yrs.
CITY OF FC-LIFT STATION 16	BLUEFISH	FA0028832	PR0069741	CEH	2 Yrs.
CITY OF FC-LIFT STATION 18	POMPANO	FA0028831	PR0069748	CEH	2 Yrs.
CITY OF FC-LIFT STATION 22	SHELL	FA0028830	PR0069749	CEH	2 Yrs.
CITY OF FC-LIFT STATION 28	VELOCITY	FA0028829	PR0069751	CEH	2 Yrs.
CITY OF FC-LIFT STATION 34	EDGEWATER	FA0028828	PR0069743	CEH	2 Yrs.
CITY OF FOSTER CITY - CITY HALL	610 FOSTER CITY	FA0024667	PR0069731	CEH	2 Yrs.
COOKING PAPA	949 EDGEWATER	FA0048960	PR0070170	CEH	2 Yrs.
CORNER BAKERY CAFE	977 HILLSDALE	FA0058933	PR0081597	CEH	2 Yrs.
COSTCO WHOLESALE	1001 METRO CENTER	FA0022285	PR0070097	CEH	2 Yrs.
COSTCO WHOLESALE #147	1001 METRO CENTER	FA0022443	PR0069713	CEH	2 Yrs.

## **Medium Priority Businesses (Inspected Every 2 Years)**

Name	Site Address		Facility ID	Record ID	Inspected by	Inspection Freq.
COURTYARD BY MARRIOTT (THE MARKET)	550	SHELL	FA0028023	PR0070153	CEH	2 Yrs.
CROWNE PLAZA	1221	CHESS	FA0029780	PR0069721	CEH	2 Yrs.
CVS/PHARMACY #9879	987	HILLSDALE	FA0041094	PR0069739	CEH	2 Yrs.
EL TORITO RESTAURANT	388	VINTAGE PARK	FA0051242	PR0071031	CEH	2 Yrs.
EUREST @ VISA	800	METRO CENTER	FA0014283	PR0070157	CEH	2 Yrs.
EUREST @ VISA	801	METRO CENTER	FA0027934	PR0070158	CEH	2 Yrs.
EUREST @ VISA	901	METRO CENTER	FA0029002	PR0070164	CEH	2 Yrs.
FASHION WOK RESTAURANT	929 A	EDGEWATER	FA0058774	PR0081391	CEH	2 Yrs.
FIVE GUYS BURGERS AND FRIES	933	HILLSDALE	FA0058202	PR0080700	CEH	2 Yrs.
FOSTER CITY CORP YARD	100	LINCOLN CENTRE	FA0003100	PR0069912	CEH	2 Yrs.
FOSTER CITY ELEMENTARY	461	BEACH PARK	FA0011635	PR0070135	CEH	2 Yrs.
FOSTER CITY LIFT STA #29	909	HILLSDALE	FA0009877	PR0069736	CEH	2 Yrs.
FOSTER CITY TOUCHLESS CAR WASH	390	FOSTER CITY	FA0018067	PR0069729	CEH	2 Yrs.
GENERAL NUTRITION CENTER	969	EDGEWATER	FA0024426	PR0070172	CEH	2 Yrs.
GILEAD SCIENCES INC	303	VELOCITY	FA0053010	PR0073394	CEH	2 Yrs.
GILEAD SCIENCES INC	351	FOSTER CITY	FA0057962	PR0080403	CEH	2 Yrs.
GILEAD SCIENCES INC	384	FOSTER CITY	FA0052491	PR0072767	CEH	2 Yrs.
GILEAD SCIENCES INC	310	LAKESIDE	FA0030359	PR0069914	CEH	2 Yrs.
GILEAD SCIENCES INC	335	LAKESIDE	FA0022943	PR0069919	CEH	2 Yrs.
GILEAD SCIENCES INC	342	LAKESIDE	FA0028190	PR0069920	CEH	2 Yrs.
GILEAD SCIENCES INC	344	LAKESIDE	FA0006755	PR0070257	CEH	2 Yrs.
GILEAD SCIENCES INC	346	LAKESIDE	FA0022784	PR0070259	CEH	2 Yrs.
GILEAD SCIENCES INC	353	LAKESIDE	FA0016745	PR0070260	CEH	2 Yrs.
GILEAD SCIENCES INC	355	LAKESIDE	FA0057961	PR0080399	CEH	2 Yrs.
GILEAD SCIENCES INC	362	LAKESIDE	FA0034956	PR0070258	CEH	2 Yrs.
GILEAD SCIENCES INC	368	LAKESIDE	FA0053272	PR0075648	CEH	2 Yrs.
GILEAD SCIENCES INC	101	LINCOLN CENTRE	FA0056709	PR0078068	CEH	2 Yrs.
GILEAD SCIENCES INC	301	VELOCITY	FA0046028	PR0069913	CEH	2 Yrs.
GILEAD SCIENCES INC	309	VELOCITY	FA0060247	PR0082755	CEH	2 Yrs.
GRAB N GO	101	LINCOLN CENTRE	FA0056753	PR0078757	CEH	2 Yrs.
GUCKENHEIMER AT GILEAD	300	LAKESIDE	FA0058819	PR0081464	CEH	2 Yrs.
GUCKENHEIMER AT GILEAD	333	LAKESIDE	FA0058821	PR0081466	CEH	2 Yrs.
GUIDEWIRE SOFTWARE INC	1001	HILLSDALE	FA0054090	PR0074753	CEH	2 Yrs.
HUDSON METRO CENTER, LLC						
HUDSON METRO CENTER, LLC	950	TOWER	FA0058281	PR0080800	CEH	2 Yrs.
IHOP #3063	531	FOSTER CITY	FA0028649	PR0070152	CEH	2 Yrs.
INDIA CASH & CARRY	1058	SHELL	FA0026939	PR0070101	CEH	2 Yrs.
JAMBA JUICE #42	1000	METRO CENTER	FA0058571	PR0081154	CEH	2 Yrs.
KENT CLEANERS	999	EDGEWATER	FA0029646	PR0069740	CEH	2 Yrs.

## **Medium Priority Businesses (Inspected Every 2 Years)**

Name	Site Address		Facility ID	Record ID	Inspected by	Inspection Freq.
KENTA RAMEN	1495	BEACH PARK	FA0053197	PR0073646	CEH	2 Yrs.
KHAO SAN THAI CUISINE	1088-A	SHELL	FA0053583	PR0074047	CEH	2 Yrs.
KOBE JAPANESE CUISINE & BAR	929	EDGEWATER	FA0013252	PR0070166	CEH	2 Yrs.
LINKS AT MARINERS POINT	2401	3RD	FA0023677	PR0069723	CEH	2 Yrs.
LUCKY #710	919	EDGEWATER	FA0002622	PR0070165	CEH	2 Yrs.
MARINERS POINT BAR LLC	2401	3RD	FA0027893	PR0070131	CEH	2 Yrs.
MCDONALDS RESTAURANT	1101	TRITON	FA0002913	PR0070115	CEH	2 Yrs.
METRO CLEANERS	923	HILLSDALE	FA0058829	PR0081481	CEH	2 Yrs.
MOD PIZZA *	1297	CHESS			CEH	2 Yrs.
MOO MOO YOGURT	969	EDGEWATER	FA0060254	PR0082767	CEH	2 Yrs.
N BOWDITCH MIDDLE SCHOOL	1450	TARPON	FA0011637	PR0069926	CEH	2 Yrs.
NEW SAIGON RESTAURANT	1088	FOSTER CITY	FA0052575	PR0072881	CEH	2 Yrs.
NEWCOMB MECHANICAL INC	1169	CHESS	FA0055540	PR0076575	CEH	2 Yrs.
NOAHS BAGELS	1000	METRO CENTER	FA0023797	PR0070095	CEH	2 Yrs.
OLIVE	969	EDGEWATER	FA0029176	PR0070173	CEH	2 Yrs.
ORCHARD SUPPLY HARDWARE #430	1010	METRO CENTER	FA0024474	PR0069715	CEH	2 Yrs.
PENELOPE COFFEE AND TEA	1151	TRITON	FA0053984	PR0081556	CEH	2 Yrs.
PHILIPS LIQUOR	999	EDGEWATER	FA0026269	PR0070177	CEH	2 Yrs.
PICADILLY CATERING	1169	CHESS	FA0055942	PR0077097	CEH	2 Yrs.
PIEOLOGY PIZZERIA #6022	1000	METRO CENTER	FA0058524	PR0081077	CEH	2 Yrs.
PIZZA HUT #281095	1141	TRITON	FA0000804	PR0070118	CEH	2 Yrs.
PLAZA GOURMET	929	EDGEWATER	FA0002238	PR0070168	CEH	2 Yrs.
QUICKLY	969	EDGEWATER	FA0058288	PR0080815	CEH	2 Yrs.
RICKSHAW CORNER RESTAURANT	901	EDGEWATER	FA0000729	PR0070162	CEH	2 Yrs.
ROUND TABLE PIZZA	1084	FOSTER CITY	FA0052176	PR0072579	CEH	2 Yrs.
SAFeway 0999	921	HILLSDALE	FA0003586	PR0069879	CEH	2 Yrs.
SANDWICH MONKEY	3	PLAZA VIEW	FA0053385	PR0074947	CEH	2 Yrs.
SOON KOREAN BBQ	1062	FOSTER CITY	FA0049627	PR0069868	CEH	2 Yrs.
SPECIALTYS CAFE AND BAKERY	1001	HILLSDALE	FA0056589	PR0077903	CEH	2 Yrs.
STARBUCKS COFFEE	1066	FOSTER CITY	FA0026513	PR0070105	CEH	2 Yrs.
STARBUCKS COFFEE	1000	METRO CENTER	FA0023779	PR0070094	CEH	2 Yrs.
SWEET BASIL THAI CUISINE	1473	BEACH PARK	FA0004447	PR0070127	CEH	2 Yrs.
TABLA INDIAN RESTAURANT	1088-C	SHELL	FA0055821	PR0076925	CEH	2 Yrs.
TACO BELL #30788	1131	TRITON	FA0056556	PR0077869	CEH	2 Yrs.
TAI WU SEAFOOD RESTAURANT	1080	FOSTER CITY	FA0027083	PR0070108	CEH	2 Yrs.
THE BODY STUDIO EXPRESS	1455	BEACH PARK	FA0028675	PR0070124	CEH	2 Yrs.
THE HABIT BURGER GRILL *	1297	CHESS			CEH	2 Yrs.
TOKIES TERIYAKI HOUSE	1058	SHELL	FA0003137	PR0070103	CEH	2 Yrs.
TR PARKSIDE TOWERS CORP	1001	HILLSDALE	FA0037702	PR0069712	CEH	2 Yrs.

## Medium Priority Businesses (Inspected Every 2 Years)

Name	Site Address		Facility ID	Record ID	Inspected by	Inspection Freq.
VALERO	501	FOSTER CITY	FA0014978	PR0070136	CEH	2 Yrs.
VALERO STORE #7044	501	FOSTER CITY	FA0018068	PR0069730	CEH	2 Yrs.
VISA INTERNATIONAL	900	METRO CENTER	FA0033101	PR0069921	CEH	2 Yrs.
VISA INTERNATIONAL	800	METRO CENTRE	FA0033103	PR0069732	CEH	2 Yrs.
VISA INTERNATIONAL	801	METRO CENTRE	FA0033102	PR0069733	CEH	2 Yrs.
VISA METRO 1 CAFE	900	METRO CENTER	FA0046898	PR0070161	CEH	2 Yrs.
WAREHOUSE BUFFET *	979	EDGEWATER	FA0062203	PR0085041	CEH	2 Yrs.
WAREHOUSE DEMO SERVICES # 147	1001	METRO CENTER	FA0055015	PR0075878	CEH	2 Yrs.
WATERFRONT PIZZA	969	EDGEWATER	FA0050563	PR0070074	CEH	2 Yrs.
ZOUVES FERTILITY CENTER	1241	HILLSDALE	FA0040777	PR0083171	CEH	2 Yrs.

\* - New Since Last Update

## Low Priority Businesses (Inspected Every 5 Years)

Name	Site Address	Facility ID/ City Bus. ID	Record ID/Bus. Type	Inspected by	Inspection Freq.
CITY WIDE OF SILICON VALLEY	1155 CHESS DR, STE 112	7349	BUILDING CLEANING & MTNC SVC	FC	5 Yrs.
AUTO WERKS DETAILING	960 EDGEWATER BLVD	7549	AUTOMOTIVE SVCS, EXCEPT REPAIR/CARWASHES	FC	5 Yrs.
BEAUTY HAIR & NAIL SERVICE	1088 SHELL BLVD E	7231	BEAUTY SHOPS	FC	5 Yrs.
BELLA GIRLS MAKEUP & COSMETICS	1139 FLYING FISH ST	9999	NONCLASSIFIABLE ESTABLISHMENTS	FC	5 Yrs.
CAPOFERRI DENTAL DESIGN	1291 E HILLSDALE BLVD #142	8072	DENTAL LABORATORIES	FC	5 Yrs.
CAPRI MARKETING COMPANY	557 PILGRIM DR A1	5499	MISCELLANEOUS FOOD STORES	FC	5 Yrs.
CETACEA CORPORATION	1140 CHESS DR	3999	ELEC MACH/EQUIP/SUPPLIES	FC	5 Yrs.
CITY PRINT & MAIL	1163 CHESS DR STE M	7334	PHOTOCOPYING AND DUPLICATING SERVICES	FC	5 Yrs.
ECCO APPLIANCES AND SERVICES	801 FOSTER CITY BLVD #316	7699	REPAIR SHOPS AND RELATED SERVICES	FC	5 Yrs.
ENTER DESIGN AND LIGHTS	1167 CHESS DR #B	9999	NONCLASSIFIABLE ESTABLISHMENTS	FC	5 Yrs.
EXPRESS PHOTO & GRAPHICS	951 EDGEWATER BLVD D	7384	PHOTOFINISHING LABORATORIES	FC	5 Yrs.
EYEKICK VISUAL, LLC	1159 CHESS DR	2759	COMMERCIAL PRINTING	FC	5 Yrs.
FOSTER CITY FLOWERS & GIFTS	1160 CHESS DRIVE, #1	5992	FLORISTS	FC	5 Yrs.
FRANCESCO MUCI	1000 FOSTER CITY BLVD	4225	GENERAL WAREHOUSING AND STORAGE	FC	5 Yrs.
GARY'S KIDS CUTS	939K EDGEWATER BLVD	7231	BEAUTY SHOPS	FC	5 Yrs.
HAIR DESIGNERS	1064 FOSTER CITY BLVD	7231	BEAUTY SHOPS	FC	5 Yrs.
JULIU ZHANG'S KNITTING	922 BEACH PARK BLVD #25	9999	NONCLASSIFIABLE ESTABLISHMENTS	FC	5 Yrs.
JUST 'N TIME	1169 CHESS DR, STE I	3269	POTTERY PRODUCTS,NOT ELSEWHERE CLAFFIF'D	FC	5 Yrs.
KAVAI SALON	1497 BEACH PARK BLVD	7231	BEAUTY SHOPS	FC	5 Yrs.
KID'S EXPRESS	734 BOUNTY DR 3417	4789	TRANSPORTATION SERVICES	FC	5 Yrs.

## **Low Priority Businesses (Inspected Every 5 Years)**

Name	Site Address	Facility ID/ City Bus. ID	Record ID/Bus. Type	Inspected by	Inspection Freq.
MATTSON & CO. INC	383 VINTAGE PARK DR	9999	NONCLASSIFIABLE ESTABLISHMENTS	FC	5 Yrs.
MP&V REFRIGERATION	760 BOUNTY DR 6005	7699	REPAIR SHOPS AND RELATED SERVICES	FC	5 Yrs.
NAILS 4 U	939 EDGEWATER BLVD G	7231	BEAUTY SHOPS	FC	5 Yrs.
NATIONAL AUTOMOBILE CLUB	373 VINTAGE PARK DR., STE E	7549	AUTOMOTIVE SVCS, EXCEPT REPAIR/CARWASHES	FC	5 Yrs.
NTSR INDUSTRIES LLC	1098 FOSTER CITY BLVD 2001 /10	3999	ELEC MACH/EQUIP/SUPPLIES	FC	5 Yrs.
POWERTRONIX CORPORATION	1120 CHESS DR	3999	ELEC MACH/EQUIP/SUPPLIES	FC	5 Yrs.
SALON Q	3 PLAZA VIEW LN #Q	7231	BEAUTY SHOPS	FC	5 Yrs.
SALON SALON FANCY NAILS & HAIR	975 E HILLSDALE BLVD	7231	BEAUTY SHOPS	FC	5 Yrs.
SCIENTIFIC CONCEPT, INC.	303 VINTAGE PARK DR 220	7349	BUILDING CLEANING & MTNC SVC	FC	5 Yrs.
SERVICE MASTER BUILDING MAINT	561 B PILGRIM DR	7349	BUILDING CLEANING & MTNC SVC	FC	5 Yrs.
SHUTTER MART OF SAN MATEO	1161 CHESS DR B	7699	REPAIR SHOPS AND RELATED SERVICES	FC	5 Yrs.
TERRY DEMARCO'S BARBERIA	939 EDGEWATER BLVD E	7231	BEAUTY SHOPS	FC	5 Yrs.
VIVIAN-LE FULL SERVICE	1497 BEACH PARK BLVD	7231	BEAUTY SHOPS	FC	5 Yrs.
VIVIAN-LE FULL SERVICE	1497 BEACH PARK BLVD	7231	BEAUTY SHOPS	FC	5 Yrs.